

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + Make non-commercial use of the files We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + Maintain attribution The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + Keep it legal Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

#### About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/





3.2.5.

•

•



18636

## THE NEW READINGS

CONTAINED IN

# HERMANN'S POSTHUMOUS EDITION

OF

# ÆSCHYLUS.

TRANSLATED AND CONSIDERED

BY GEORGE BURGES, A.M.

FORMING

AN APPENDIX

TO THE

PROSE TRANSLATION OF ÆSCHYLUS,

PUBLISHED IN

**BOHN'S CLASSICAL LIBRARY** 

### LONDON:

HENRY G. BOHN, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

MDCCCLIII.

888 A2 **t**B95

PRINTED BY HARRISON AND SONS, LONDON GAZETTE OFFICE, ST. MARTIN'S LANE;

ORCHARD STREET, WESTMINSTER.

## PREFACE.

The prose Version of Æschylus, published in "Bohn' Classical Library," having been accommodated to the text of Dindorf, as the one most in repute, it has been thought advisable to subjoin an Appendix, pointing out the passages, where it differs from the emendations proposed by Hermann, in the recent edition published by his executors. To prevent, however, the uncritical reader from being led by the authority of a name, to admit emendations, which in many instances are, at least, open to objection, the editor has called attention to those passages which he think Hermann would either have rejected or modified, had he lived to revise his work.





INTO HERMANN'S POSTHUMOUS EDITION OF THE GREEK TEXT,
PUBLISHED AT LEIPSIC, 1852.

\*\*\* The figures on the left-hand of the page dences the line of the Greek text according to Hermann's edition; those on the right-hand, the page and line of the prose version, published in "Bohn's Classical Library."

### PROMETHEUS CHAINED.

Line in Greek Te					Referen Bohn's	
2.	άβροτον					
	To a desert,	where there	is no mo	rtal man.¹	page 2 lin	ne 1
13.	—κοὐδὲν e And there is	μποδ <b>ὼν ἔτι.</b> s nothing any	longer i	in the way	2	11
49.		$ heta\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta} u$ $ heta\epsilon$ oî $\sigma$ i				
	to rule.	are burdenson	пе, ехс	ept for the	e goas 3	29
51.	έγνωκα τοιςδ	ε κούδεν άντε				20
	gainsay	by these; a	na I ha 	we nothii	ng to 4	1

Herm., who in the notes of Wellauer had vigorously defended  $\ddot{a}\beta a\tau o\nu$ , has now admitted  $\ddot{a}\beta \rho o\tau o\nu$ , as recommended by Porson on sufficient authority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. proposes in the Notes to read  $\tilde{a}\nu\eta$  (a remission) for  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. has adopted  $i\pi\alpha\chi\theta\tilde{\eta}$ , the conjecture of Stanley, for  $i\pi\rho\dot{\alpha}\chi\theta\eta$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. says that Bothe has correctly united Έγνωκα τοῖσδε, and translated τοῖσδε, 'ex hisce;' as if, while pronouncing τοῖσδε, Hephæstus looked to the fetters in his hands, by which he is reminded of his being not free to act, as Zeus is. Such I suspect is the interpretation of Maurice Haupt in Observ. Crit. p. 57, of which Hermann approves; for of Haupt's brochure I know nothing but the name.

Line in			Referen	
Greek Te			Bohn's	East.
59.	δεινός γάρ εύρεῖν κάξ άμηχάνων πόρον. For he is skilled in finding a road 1 even or	nt of	F	
	difficulties	pag	e 4 lin	8 8
100.	χρη τέρματα τῶνδ' ἐπιτεῖλαι. Where the ends of these things must arise.	••••	5	21
147.	πέτραις προσαυαινόμενον Withering away on rocks		7	1,
162.	δίχα γοῦν ἐνὸς, With the exception of one at least	••••	7	14
163.	θέμενος ἀστραφη νόου, Laying down for himself a determination not be turned,	t to	7	14
215.	δόλφ δὲ τοὺς υπερτέρους κρατεῖν. But that the superiors in craft would conq	uer.	8	22
<b>24</b> 8.	καὶ μὴν φίλοισιν οἰκτρὸς εἰσορᾶν ἐγώ. I am indeed sad for friends to behold.	••••	9	20
250.	θνητούς γε παύσας— Yes, by causing mortals to cease—	••••	9	23
356.	—πασι δ' ἀντέστη θεοῖς, And he stood against all the gods	••••	12	19
<b>3</b> 80.	ψυχῆς νοσούσης— Of a soul 10 diseased—	••••	13	12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. in a long note defends  $\pi \delta \rho o \nu$ , which Porson wished to alter into  $\pi \delta \rho o \nu \varsigma$ , on what appeared to him and to nearly all subsequent editors to be sufficient grounds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Instead of this sentence being taken, as usually, interrogatively, H. says that the 'obliqua oratio' has more gravity in it.

<sup>3</sup> So H., but in the Notes he prefers πέτρα to πέτραις.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. has adopted  $i\nu \delta c$ , furnished by three MSS. But what is the meaning of  $\gamma o \bar{\nu} \nu$  here, he has not explained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. from conjecture ἀστραφῆ for ἄγναμπτον, refering to Hesych. ᾿Αστραφής σκληρός Σοφοκλῆς Μυσοῖς.

<sup>6</sup> H. from conjecture ὑπερτέρους instead of ὑπερέχοντας.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> H. from conjecture οἰκτρὸς in lieu of ἐλεινὸς—But nothing seems to be gained by the change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Instead of  $\gamma'$   $\xi \pi a v \sigma a$ , H. has  $\gamma \xi \pi a \dot{v} \sigma a \zeta$ , the conjecture of Porson, confirmed by three MSS.

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  H. πᾶσι δ' ἀντέστη θεοῖς. But the relative  $^{\circ}$ ς could hardly be omitted here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> After discussing this passage in an elaborate note, H. prefers  $\psi v \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$  to  $\delta \rho \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ .

Line in Greek Text. 382. καὶ μὴ σφυδώντα θυμὸν ໄσχναίνη βία.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
And do not with force render a strong feeling	13 <i>line</i> 1	13
388. ἐμὸν δόκει σὺ τἀμπλάκημ' εἶναι τόδε.  Think thou² this error to be mine 400-2. δακρυσίστακτον ἀπ' ὅσσων ῥαδινῶν δ' εἶ- βομένα ῥέος παρειὰν νοτίοις ἔτεγξα παγαῖς.	14	1
Weeping <sup>3</sup> a stream tear-dropping from easily- moved eyes, I have bedewed my cheek with	14	16
403-4. ἀμέγαρτα γὰρ τάδε· Ζεὺς δ' ἰδίοις νόμοις κρατύνων For these are things not to be envied. But Zeus ruling with his own laws—	14	18
408-10. μεγαλοσχήμονά τ' ἀρχαιοπρεπῆ * δα- κρυχέει * στένουσα τὰν σὰν ξυνομαιμόνων τε τιμάν· And it sheds tears, bewailing the honors of stately-bearing and of ancient look, both thine and of those of fellow-blood		20
420. Σαρματῶν τ' ἄρειον ἄνθος, And the warlike flower of Sarmatians —	14 9	27
422. Καυκάσου πύλας, The gates of Caucasus— 425—430. στρ. γ΄.—431—436. ἀντιστρ. γ΄	15	1
	<del></del>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. has in lieu of  $\sigma \phi \rho i \gamma \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau a$ , adopted  $\sigma \phi v \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau a$ , from MS. Med., as Palev was the first to recommend.

<sup>2</sup> Η. δόκει σὸ in lieu of δοκήσει—

<sup>4</sup> H. with Robortelli puts a colon after  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$  and reads  $Z \epsilon \dot{\nu} \zeta \delta$ .

<sup>6</sup> In lieu of Αραβίας H. suggests Σαρματαν, whom he identifies with the Sauromatians mentioned by Dionysius, Perieg. 653. Μαιῶταί τε καὶ

έθνεα Σαυροματάων Έσθλον Ένυαλίου γένος Αρεος.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. δ' είβομένα in lieu of  $\lambda$ ειβομένα. But how δ' could be thus placed after the fourth word in a sentence, H. has not shown.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To supply the defect of one word in the antistrophé to answer to δ' εἰβομένα in the strophé, H. has introduced here δακρυχέει, with a rather violent personification, as applied to  $\chi \omega \rho \alpha$ .

<sup>7</sup> H. reads πύλας for πέλας, but without stating that this very correction had been long ago put in the text by myself; although I did not quote, as he has done, Lucian in Prometh. § 4, πλησίον τῶν Κασπίων τούτων πυλῶν ἐπὶ τοῦ Καυκάσου.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
425-8. μόνον δε πρόσθεν εν πόνοις	Donn • 12	ulu.
δαμέντ' άδαμαντοδέτοις Τιτᾶνα λύ-		
μαις έσειδόμαν θεών		
*Ατλαντος ὑπέροχον σθένος κραταιόν.		
I have looked previously upon a Titan alone		
in trouble, subdued by gallings from ada		
mantine bonds, the mighty strength of Atlas		
superior to the gods page	e 15 line	13
429-30. δε γαν οὐράνιόν τε πόλον		
νώτοις ὑποστεγάζει.		
Who <sup>2</sup> supports earth and the pole of heaven		
	15	6
432. * * * * * *		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	8
[H. marks here the defect of a line by asterisks.]	10	O
434. κελαινός "Αϊδοςμυχός		_
The dark recess of Hades	15	8
439. δρών έμαυτον ώδε προσσελούμενον.		
Seeing myself thus rolled about.4		
459. τάς τε δυσκρίτους φύσεις.		
	16	5
461. — γραμμάτων τε συνθέσεις,		
μνήμης απάντων μουσομήτορ' έργάνην.		
And the combination of letters, a muse-		
mother efficiency for Memory in all things	16	7
	<b>-</b>	•

<sup>8</sup> H. omits  $\delta'$  after  $\kappa \in \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \partial \varsigma$ , for the metre.

b As the MSS. differ between  $\delta \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$  and  $\dot{\sigma} \delta o \dot{\nu} \varsigma$ , H. has edited  $\phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. omits ἄλλον before ἐν πονόις, and reads ἀδαμαντοδέτοις with one MS., and ἐσειδόμαν and Ἄτλαντος from conjecture for the sake of the metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H., where  $\dot{v}\pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ , a verb not found elsewhere, is identified with  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ , explained by Heyschius and Suidas,  $\beta a \sigma \tau \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ , and  $\gamma \ddot{a} \nu$  inserted from conjecture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. has προσσελούμενον, a verb, which, although it is not found elsewhere in composition, he supposes to be derived from an equally unknown σέλλειν, which Eustathius, p. 1041, 29, assimilates to  $\~λλειν$ . But how Prometheus, fixed to a rock, could be said to be rolled about, H. has not explained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Such is the literal version of Hermann's text; who probably thought that μουσομήτορα might by a change of case be referred to Mνήμης, since Mνήμη or Mνημοσύνη was said to be the mother of the Muses.

Line in Greek Te	ext.	Reference Bohn's I	
464.	ζεύγλαισι δουλεύοντα σώμασίν θ, ὅπως Serving with yokes and [their] bodies,¹ in order that—	16	10
466.	ύφ' ἄρμα τ' ήγαγον And I brought under a car <sup>2</sup>		12
474-	-5. κακὸς δ' ໄατρὸς ὥς τις, ἐς νόσον πεσὼν, κακοῖς ἀθυμεῖς And, like some bad physician, falling into a disorder, you are dispirited by ills <sup>3</sup>	16	18
495.	[After πρὸς ἡδονὴν, H. marks the defect of a line by asterisks.]	17	10
535.	μάλα μοι τοῦτ' ἐμμένοι May this remain very much <sup>5</sup> with me	18	21
545.	φέρ' ὅπως ἄχαρις χάρις ຜ φίλος, εἰπὲ Lo! how thankless is the favor. O friend, say—	18	27
<b>54</b> 8.		18	<b>3</b> 0
<b>554.</b>	λέχος εἰς σὸν ὑμεναίουν At your marriage <sup>8</sup> I was singing the hymeneal		
	strain	18	<b>35</b>

<sup>2</sup> H. reads, with one MS., Dawes and Tyrwhit, ὑφά ἄρμα τ' in lieu of ὑφ' ἄρμντ'.

<sup>8</sup> So H. rejects  $\pi \lambda a \nu \tilde{q}$  before  $\kappa a \kappa \delta c$ , and inserts  $\kappa a \kappa o \tilde{c} c$ , from conjecture, before  $\dot{a} \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \tilde{c} c$ .

4 Not only was this lacuna first pointed out by myself, but the means of supplying it likewise.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$  for  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$  on account of the metre.

<sup>6</sup> So H. renders φέρε. But such is not the meaning of that verb; which, if it is ever thus found by itself, is certainly not so before ὅπως.

<sup>7</sup> H. inserts δέδεται to supply the lacuna, as Paley, whose name should have been mentioned, had done already. But δέδεται is a mere tautology when united to  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\pi o\delta\iota\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu o\nu$ .

8 For the sake of the metre H. reads λέχος είς σὸν instead of λέχος

σὸν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. unites σώμασίν θ' with ζεύγλαισι, observing that in σώμασιν there is an allusion to persons riding on horseback.•

Line in Greek Text. 560. — τίνος ἀμπλακία	Referenc Bohn's E	
ποινὰς ολέκει; As to the punishments, for what error art being destroyed?	thou page 19 line	5
566-7. ——ἄλευε Δᾶ, τὸν μυριωπὸν εἰσορῶσα βούταν. Ward off, Earth, beholding <sup>2</sup> the neat-herd [his] myriad eyes	with 19	8
574. ἰὼ ἰὼ πόποι, ποῖ μ' ἄγουσιν— Ye powers, whither do ye lead me *	20	7
598. χρίουσα κέντροις Φρένας Pricking with stings my mind 4	20	24
607. τί μῆχαρ ἢ τί φάρμακον What plan or what remedy	<b>20</b>	29
630. μή μου προκήδου μασσόνως ἢ μοὶ γλυκύ. Do not care for me to a greater degree the agreeable to me	an is 21	18

<sup>1</sup> H. reads ποινάς, governed by ὀλέκει, which, as it comprehends the idea of τίνεις, has likewise its regimen. And so too reads Paley. But the passages, which the latter quotes to support the syntax, the former has omitted; for he saw, no doubt, they were not in point.

2 H. omits with two MSS. φοβοῦμαι. But how εἰσορῶσα is to be

taken grammatically, he has not explained.

<sup>4</sup> So H. completes the verse by adding φρένας.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $\tau$ ί  $\mu$ ηχαρ with Elmsley, and  $\hat{\eta}$   $\tau$ ί φάρμακον with J. Fr. Martin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. conceives that  $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha i$  or  $\chi \theta o \nu \delta \varsigma$  has dropped out after  $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ . But  $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha i$  would be superfluous before  $\tau \eta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu o \iota$ , and  $\chi \theta o \nu \dot{\delta} \varsigma$  would be scarcely intelligible thus standing by itself.

had himself subsequently repudiated the alteration; while on the other hand H. rejects his own μᾶσσον ὧν—although it has been received by Reisig and Paley; and while J. Wordsworth had, in the Philological Museum, N. II., p. 242, quoted some passages from Lysias and Plato to confirm Hermann's notion, at Viger § 70, that μᾶσσον ὡς is the same as μᾶσσον η̂—a notion adopted likewise by Schæfer on Theocrit. Id. ix. 35, and Fritzsche, Quæst. Lucian. p. 89., H. now asserts that those very passages are too few in number and of too suspicious a kind to be depended upon.

Line in Greek Text.				_	Reference Bohn's Ec	
	l λέγουσ' alσχύ I am ashamed		o <b>f</b>	page S	8 line	32
678. Λέρνης τ' And to t	' έs ἀκτὴν the shore² of L	erna	••••	2	22	31
τοῦ ζην δ	κητος δ' αὐτὸν ο ἀπεστέρησεν th unexpected			l him		
of life	••••	••••			22	33
689. οὐπώποτ	ουπώποτ' έη τος	บบ—				
Never at	t any time, ne	ver at any	time, ha	ave I		
boaste	ed 4	••••	••••	2	3	7
692. πήματα, Calamiti	λύματ'— ies, the scum o	f washing <sup>5</sup>	••	9	23	9
	γευδώνυμον, Η. lost, like	conceives	a line to	have		
$\Sigma_{\mu}$	μερδνδις 'Αράξη	ν κύμασιν β	ρυχώμενοι	<b>'.</b>		
739, t	t appears from hat Æschylus es, and that it	had made	mention of	of the		
verb d	ἰράσσειν.]	••••	••••	5	24	1
	πλὴν ἔγωγ' ἄν ely, except I, be			these		
bonds		••••	****	••••	25	21
795-6		ra				
	νίδες ναίουσι—	. 7 11				
w nere t	the Phorcynide	es awell—	••••	2	<b>2</b> 6	<b>2</b> 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. follows Elmsl. in adopting αἰσχύνομαι from some MSS., in lieu of ὀδύρομαι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Reisig was the first to suggest Λέρνης τ' ἐς ἀκτήν—adopted by H.

<sup>3</sup> Η. reads αἰφνίδια for αἰφνίδιος—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. repeats 'οὐπώποτ' (found once in some MSS.) in lieu of οὕποτ' οὕποτ'; and adopts ηὕχουν, found in the same, instead of ηὐχόμην.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Instead of  $\pi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \lambda \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau a$   $\delta \epsilon \dot{\mu} a \tau a$ , H. reads  $\pi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \mu a \tau a$ . But how those nouns could suit with  $\psi \dot{\nu} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ , which he renders 'to blunt,' I cannot understand.

<sup>6</sup> So H. with MSS. Med. and Vit.; while to show that  $\partial \nu$  could follow  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ , he thus fills up the ellipse— $o\dot{v}$   $\delta \ddot{\eta} \tau a$ ,  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$  έγωγ'  $\partial \dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\alpha} \pi o$ στροφ $\dot{\eta}$   $a\dot{v}\tau \ddot{\psi}$   $\tau \ddot{\eta} \sigma \delta \varepsilon$   $\tau \dot{v} \chi \eta \varsigma$  γενοίμην,  $\lambda v \theta \varepsilon i \varsigma$  έκ  $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ —as if Prometheus were himself the turning aside of the calamity from Jupiter.

<sup>7</sup> In lieu of ai Poprides, H. reads Poprevides; a word, he con

Line in Greek Tex	<b>♦</b>	Reference Bohn's E	
849.	[After τίθησιν ἔμφρονα H. has placed asterisks to indicate a lacuna; which he says might be supplied by such a verse as		
	Παύσας τε μόχθων τωνδε φιτεύει γόνον.		
	And, after causing [her] to cease from these troubles, he begets an offspring.]		
862.	[In lieu of Πελασγία δὲ δέξεται θηλυκτόνφ and 10ll. Η. would read something like Πελασγία δὲ δέξεται (τὸν ἐγγενῆ στόλον γυναικῶν, νυμφίων ¹) θηλυκτόνφ Αρει δαμέντων νυκτιφρουρήτφ θράσει—]		•
	μακροῦ λόγου δὲ— But <sup>2</sup> it is the part of a long story page	e 29 line	4
878-9	9. — ἡ παλαιγενής μήτηρΤιτανὶς θεῶν. But the old-born female Titan, the mother of the gods	<b>29</b>	7
897.	[To supply the lacuna in the verse, H. says one might conjecture Μοῖραι μακραιῶνες—;		24
903	<b>–</b> στρ. β΄.		
903.	έμοὶ δέ γ', ὅτε μὲν ὁμαλὸς ὁ γάμος, ἄφοβος οὐδὲ δέδια μηδὲ τού με κρεισσόνων θεῶν ἔρως προσδράκοι ὅμμ' ἄφυκτον.		
	But to me, when marriage is on a level, [it is] without fear; nor am I alarmed; and let not the love of any one of the gods, my superiors, look on me with a look not to be fled		
	from.5	29	<b>3</b> 0

fesses, not found at present in Greek: but which was so formerly, as it is adopted by Ovid, in Met. iv. 742. v. 230. and Lucian, in ix. 626.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here all the words between the lines are Hermann's own. But what he meant by τ ον ἐγγενῆ στόλον, it is not easy to discover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ , the conjecture of Schütz, in lieu of  $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\epsilon}$ —

<sup>4</sup> H. prefers θεῶν found in one MS. to Θέμις in all the rest.

<sup>8</sup> H. has ὅτε, from the conjecture of Pauw and others, instead of ὅτι, and μηδέ του for μηδέτι in one MS.

<sup>5</sup> So H. in lieu of ἄφυκτον ὅμμα προσδάρκοι με in MS. Med., where Salvini was the first to correct προσδράκοι.

907. ἀντιστρ. β΄.	
912-3. — οίον εξαρτύεται	
γάμον How great <sup>1</sup> a marriage is he preparing for himself page 30 line	5
949-50 τον ημέροις	
πόροντα The person who gave to beings of a day <sup>2</sup> 31 1	1
969. ἐς τάσδε σαυτὸν πημονὰς κατούρισας.  To these calamities hast thou brought thyself  with a favorable wind. <sup>3</sup> 31	31
972. 'EPM. κρεῖσσον—	
973. ἡ πατρὶ—	
974. ΠΡ. οὖτως— 4	
990. ἐκερτόμησας δηθεν ώστε παῖδά με. Thou usest heart-cutting words against me, like a child 32	28
1041. [H. in Notes says that Schütz would reject all the words between λέγειν and σοφφ, perhaps correctly.]	
1061. εί γ' οὐδ' εὐχῆ τι χαλᾶ μανιῶν ;  If he releves not from revings even in a	
If he relaxes not from ravings even in a prayer.6 34	19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. retains olov; although  $\tau o \tilde{\iota} o \nu$  had been put beyond all doubt by Elmsl.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads τὸν ἡμέροις—But ἥμερος is never used for ἡμέριος.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the arrangement of the speeches suggested by Erfurdt in 1812, and adopted by H., who says, that Hermes is reproaching Prometheus ironically for his obstinacy; as if irony could be indulged in on

such an occasion and by such a person.

5 So H. in lieu of  $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$  παῖδ' ὅντα με. But in this formula  $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$ , not  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$ , is constantly employed, or else  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$ , as in Plato, Cratyl. § 6.  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$  παῖδας, ἡμᾶς μορμολύττηται. Georg. § καί μοι,  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$  παιδὶ, χρῆ. Theognis, 254, ᾿Αλλ΄,  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$  μικρὸν παῖδα, λόγοις μ' ἀπατῆς.

So H. To this, which is not the worst attempt made on a corrupt text, it may be objected, that people who are mad, are not less so in the

Such is the literal version of κατούρισας, which H. has elicited from κατώρωσας in one MS. and κατόρουσας in another. It would be intelligible only on the supposition that Hermes was speaking ironically. But why Hermes should speak so, it is hard to understand. Moreover, no person could be brought to a calamity by a favourable wind.

Line in Greek Text.

1094. Θέμις, ω γη,

O Themis! O Earth! .... page 35 line 7

case of a prayer than in any thing else. Besides the enclitic  $\tau\iota$  could hardly commence the second dipodia in an Anapæstic dimeter. H. should have adopted my  $E\nu$   $\tau\tilde{\phi}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{\nu}\chi\eta\varsigma$   $\tau\iota$   $\chi\alpha\lambda\tilde{q}$   $\mu\alpha\nu\iota\tilde{\omega}\nu$ —'In what misfortune what of madness lose?'

<sup>1</sup> Since some MSS, add  $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \mu i \varsigma$  after  $\pi \hat{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu$  in the next verse, H. has introduced here  $\vec{\omega}$   $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \mu i \varsigma$ ,  $\vec{\omega}$   $\Gamma \hat{\eta}$ . But since  $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \mu i \varsigma$  is identified with  $\Gamma \hat{\eta}$  in v. 211, as being one deity with two names, it seems difficult to understand why both should be mentioned here; and still more so, when it precedes the circumlocution  $\vec{\omega}$   $\mu \eta \tau \rho \delta \varsigma$   $\hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta} \varsigma$   $\sigma \hat{\epsilon} \beta a \varsigma$ .

### THE SEVEN AGAINST THEBES.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
13. — ως τις έμπρεπής As a person is becoming 1 page	e 36 line 36
25. — πυρὸς δίχα, Besides the omens from fire 2	37 11
54. καὶ τῶνδε πίστις οὐκ ὅκνφ χρονίζεται And the belief <sup>3</sup> in these matters is not retarded by fear	<b>3</b> 8 <b>6</b>
83-4. ελέδεμας πεδία δι' όπλόκτυπ, ω- τὶ χρίμπτειν βοὰ ποτᾶται Α body-destroying clamour flies through the hoof-rattling plain, so as to strike on the ear	
119. [After λαχόντες H. has marked the loss of a line by asterisks.]	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\omega_{\zeta}$   $\tau_{i}$   $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon_{\zeta}$ —But both readings are equally unintelligible.

<sup>2</sup> So H. renders  $\pi \nu \rho \delta c \delta i \chi a$ ; referring to Dionys. Hal. A. R. vii. 19.

where  $\delta i \chi a \sigma i \tau o v$  is used similarly.

<sup>\*\*</sup> H. adopts  $\pi i\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ , found in Stobæus and one MS. of Æschylus; which he supports by observing that 'the Scout ought to say that his account would be confirmed by facts; and not merely that Eteocles would hear the whole matter; for that he had done already.' But how belief can or cannot be retarded by fear, it is not easy to understand. The common reading,  $\pi \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$ , is the only intelligible one; although some doubt might perhaps arise respecting  $\chi \rho o \nu i \zeta \varepsilon \tau a \iota$ , which it would be not difficult to settle.

<sup>4</sup> So H. in lieu of ἐλεδέμας πεδιοπλοκτύπος τι χρίμπτεται βοὰ in MS. Med.; and while ἀτὶ has been adopted from many MSS., Ritschel, in Passow's Opuscul. p. 101, has led the way to δι'—With respect to ἐλεδέμας, which Lobeck in Paralipom. p. 226, on the authority of Herodian, p. 224, denies to be a Greek compound, H. compares it with ἐλένανς, ἕλανδρος, ἐλέπτολις, in Agam. 666. There is however some difficulty in χρίμπτειν, which could not thus follow ποτᾶται without τοτε being introduced, not merely understood.

Line in Greek Te	-	-λ <i>ιτα</i> ί	îdî de Asor	λύτοιο			-	erence to n's Edit.
120	ἀΰτο	ῦσαι	clamour 1	_	prayers 	god-	40	line 25
132.	στόνων ἀπ With the	•	e <sup>2</sup> of howlin	ngs	••••	••••	41	1
133-	And do t	φίλα hou,	ογένεια κούρ , τόξον εὐτι virgin dan ke ready t	ύ <b>καζον.</b> ughter (	of Latona,	dear	<b>4</b> 0	1
147.	for the μόλοι; i. e. 'fr	lieu sake and r ee fr	θεν, which of καὶ Διο of the sen cender ἀγνο om the wi ne brother	όθεν, he ise and ον τέλος, ickedne	would sumetre, $\pi \epsilon \lambda$ , 'a pure first arising	ipply,  loi or  nish,		
155.	Although yet in nearly	the 1	has in the notes he e MSS.]					
160.	μελόμενοι And come		ete vill4 to tak	e care	••••	****	41	2
169.	ξύνοικος εδ May I b plant. <sup>5</sup>	ην τφ e <sub>.</sub> a.	γυναικείφ co-dweller 	φυτῷ with 	any won	nanly 	41	28

<sup>1</sup> So H. with Seidler, in lieu of ἀπύουσαι.

<sup>2</sup> In defence of  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{v}q$ , for  $\dot{a}\ddot{v}\tau\tilde{a}\varsigma$ , H. refers to Hesych. H $\pi\dot{v}\eta$ .  $\phi\omega\nu\dot{\eta}$ :

not aware that the Lexicographer wrote "Ηπυε' ἐφώνει.

4 So H. in lieu of  $d\rho \dot{\eta} \xi a \tau \epsilon$ , for the sake of the metre; and he thus rejects, what he formerly suggested, ἐτέροβάγμονι, in the strophé, even after it had been praised as an ingenious emendation by Paley. But neither of these critics saw that Æschylus wrote ἐτεροφύλω, not ἐτεροφώνω; for both the Argives and Thebans spoke the same language, but

were of different clans.

<sup>8</sup> So H. in lieu of τόξον ἐντυκάζου Αρτεμι φίλα in MS. Med.: where the credit of correcting εὐτύκαζον is given to L. Dindorf in Steph. Thes. Gr. ed. Par. under Εὖτυκτος, who refers to Hesych. in Εὐτύκαζου· εὖτυκον ἔχε. But both the correction and reference were made by myself forty-two years ago in the Classical Journal, No. 8, p. 463.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> To this reading H. was led by finding  $\phi i \lambda \psi$  in some MS. as a var. lect. or gl. for yéves: while the article, he says, could hardly be introduced here before γυναικείφ.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
187,8,9. ἱππικῶν τ' ἀγρύπνῶν πηδαλίων διὰ στόμια πυριγενετῶν χαλίνων And the bits through the fire-produced reins, the rudders of sleepless horses. <sup>1</sup> page	42 line	15
201,2. αὐτοὺς άλούσης πόλεος		
But however, the gods themselves <sup>2</sup> of a captured city	42	28
206. πειθαρχία γάρ έστι της εὐπραξίας μήτηρ, γονης σωτηρος. For obedience to rule is the mother of success, which is the saviour of seed.*	42	<b>3</b> 3
209. ἔστι· θεοῖς δ' ἔτ' ἰσχὺς καθυπερτέρα. It is so: but there is still a power superior to to the gods	43	1
210-212. πολλάκι δ' ἐν κακοῖσι τὸν ἀμήχανον κἀκ χαλεπῶς δύας ὑπέρ τ' ὀμμάτων κρημναμενῶν νεφελῶν σαοῖ.  And oftentimes does it save the person in a difficulty amidst ills and out of a severe calamity, and from clouds hanging over his	40	
eyes	43	2
223. — τάνδε ποτὶ σκοπὰν Το this look-out —	<b>4</b> 3	9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here  $\delta i \dot{a} \sigma \tau \dot{o} \mu a$  is due to Schütz, and  $\dot{a} \gamma \rho \dot{v} \pi \nu \omega \nu$  to Seidler. But though the lightning of Jupiter might be called  $\ddot{a} \gamma \rho v \pi \nu o \nu$   $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o c$  in **Prom.** 360, the same epithet could hardly be applied to the horses, or chariots, or reins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. has adopted Schütz's reading, Αὐτοὺς ἀλούσης, in lieu of τοὺς τῆς ἀλούσης—

So H. in lieu of γυνή σωτῆρος.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. points with Brunck after Έστι.

<sup>\*</sup> H. reads  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \tilde{\alpha} \zeta$  with nearly all the MSS, and substitutes  $\sigma ao\tilde{\iota}$  for  $\delta \rho \theta o\tilde{\iota}$ , which Hesych, explains by  $\beta o\eta \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$   $\kappa a \tilde{\iota}$   $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ . But though the verb is found in that sense in Theognis, 868, and Callimach. H. in Del. 21, it was unknown on the Attic stage.

So H. in lieu of τάνδ' ές ἀκρόπολιν, for which one MS. offers τάνδ' ές σκοπίαν: and another τάνδ' ές σκοπάν.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
237. αὐτή συ δουλοῖς καὶ σὲ καὶ πᾶσαν πόλιν.	
Thou art thyself making both thyself and all	
	43 line 16
256. Δίρκης τε πηγαῖς ὕδατί τ' Ἰσμηνοῦ,  To the fountains of Dircé and the waters 2 of Ismenus	44 14
259-261.   θήσειν τρόπαια, δαίων δ' εσθήματα  στέψω πρὸ ναῶν, δουρίπηχθ' άγνοῖς δόμοις.  Thus I pray, that I will place trophies, and I will put up as an ornament the dresses of the enemy before the temples, fixed by means of	44 18
274. δράκοντας ως τις τέκνων ὑπερδέδοικεν λεχαίων δυσευνάτορας πάντροφος πελειάς. As a dove, altogether a nurse, dreads, on account of her young ones keeping in their nest, serpents, bad partners of her bed	<b>45</b> 1
296. [Although H. has in the text his own ἄταν, ρίψοπλον ἄταν, adopted by Blomf. and others, yet he prefers in the notes ἀνδρολέτειραν, κακὰν ρίψοπλον ἄταν, in lieu of καὶ τὰν: where it is strange he did not perceive κλαυτὰν lying hid.]	
299. [H. has marked by asterisks the loss of a word between εὖεδροί and τε.]	
314. βαρείας τις τύχας προταρβῶν <sup>5</sup> Some one in fear for a heavy fate	45 24

1 H. adopts Wunderlich's Αὐτή συ δουλοῖς καὶ σὲ-

<sup>3</sup> So H. in lieu of Θήσειν τρόπαια πολεμίων ἐσθήματα Λαφυρα δάων δουρίπηχθ' ἀγνοῖς δόμοις Στέψω πρὸ ναῶν. But πρὸ ναῶν and ἀγνοῖς δόμοις could scarcely be thus found in the same verse.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads τις for τοι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In lieu of  $o\dot{v}\dot{o}$   $\dot{a}\pi$  ' $I\sigma\mu\eta\nu o\tilde{v}$ , H. reads  $\ddot{v}\delta a\tau i$   $\tau$  ' $I\sigma\mu\eta\nu o\tilde{v}$ , as proposed by De Geel on Eurip. Phœn. p. 151, and similar to L. Dindorf's  $\ddot{v}\delta a\sigma i$   $\tau$  ' $I\sigma\mu\eta\nu o\tilde{v}$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. reads with Bothe and Burney δράκοντας, with Bloomfield δυσευνάτορας, and with Lachmann  $\lambda ε χαίων$ . But why a single dove should fear more than one serpent, it is not easy to explain.

ine in Feck Te		Bohn's Edit.
	κλαυτόν δ' άρτιδρόποις	
	ωμοδρόπων νομίμων προπάροιθεν	
	It is a thing to be wept for, that females, (like	
	fruit) just plucked before the legal time of	45 line 24
318.	[Although H. has in the text τί τὸν φθίμενοι γὰρ προλέγω, yet in the Notes he seems to prefer Τί γάρ; φθίμενόν τοι προλέγω, suggested by Blomf.]	
328.	πρότι δ' δρκάνα πυργώτις.	
	And against [it] is the turreted confining-	
		48 2
329.	πρός ανδρός δ' ανήρ αμφί δορί καίνεται	
	And man is killed by man about a spear	48 3
332.	βλαχαὶ δ' αἰματόεσσαι τῶν ἐπιμάστιδίων ἀρτιτρεφεῖς βρέμονται. And the blood-stained squallings of children at the breast resound after being just fed <sup>4</sup>	<b>48 3</b>
334-	-338. ξυμβολεῖ φέρων φέροντι, καὶ κενὸς κενὸν καλεῖ, ξύννομον θέλων ἔχειν, οὕτε μεῖον, οὕτ' ἴσον λελιμμένοι. τῶν ἐκ τῶνδ' εἰκάσαι λόγος πάρα. One carrying off [plunder] meets with another carrying [it] off; one empty calls upon another empty, desirous of having a fellow-	

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts ἀρτιδρόποις from the Schol., and explains ὡμοδρόπα νόμιμα, 'marriage rites that pluck things immature.' But in a captured city all marriage rites are set at defiance. Besides, ὡμοδρόπα could hardly thus follow ἀρτιδρόποις. What Æschylus wrote, it would not be difficult to discover.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. omits  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$  after  $\pi \rho o \tau i$ —But the disorder lies somewhat deeper. For after a city is taken, an  $\delta \rho \kappa \acute{a} \nu \eta$   $\pi \nu \rho \gamma \widetilde{\omega} \tau \iota \varsigma$  can be no use. Unless, indeed, H. understood by  $\delta \rho \kappa \acute{a} \nu \alpha$   $\pi \nu \rho \gamma \widetilde{\omega} \tau \iota \varsigma$ , as Paley does, 'murus turribus distinctus,' who refers to Thucyd. iii. 23. It was then not without reason, that Blomfield proposed to finish the strophe with the distich, which at present commences it. See at v. 340.

<sup>•</sup> So H. inserts  $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$  between  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$  and  $\delta\rho\rho i$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. adopts ἀρτιτρεφεῖς furnished by MS. Med. But infants after being just fed are quiet rather than noisy.

Line in Reference to Greek Text. Bohn's Edit. ravager, while they are hankering for neither less nor equal than them. From these things there is a reason to conjecture.1 page 46 line 5 340,1. παντοδαπός δε καρπός χαμάδις πεσών άλγύνει κυρήσας πικρόν γ διμα θαλαμηπόλων And fruit of all kinds falling to the ground pains, on meeting with the sad eye of persons attending on bed-chambers. 8 344-349. δμωίδες δε καινοπήμονες νέαι, τλημον αίσιν αίχμάλωτον ανδρός εύτυχοῦντος, ώς δυσμένους ύπερτέρου, έλπίς έστι νύκτερον τέλος μολείν, παγκλαύτων άλγέων ἐπίρροθον. And there [are] young maid-servants new to calamity, to whom there is an expectation that a consummation will come in the night, miserable, spear-taken, by a man successful, as being a superior enemy, to be reproached for pains to be much lamented.3 .... 12 354. είσ'.....μαθεῖν. Will go to learn.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal translation of the text of H.; whose Latin version is—'Præda onustus alii rapta ferenti obvius est; vacuus vacuum advocat; nec minus nec tantum, quantum illos, quos ferentes aliquid vident, rapuisse conjicere licet sed plus cupientes.' But such a meaning cannot be elicited from the Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By  $\theta a \lambda a \mu \eta \pi \delta \lambda o \iota$  perhaps H. understood, as Paley does, 'rei penuariæ præfecti'— a meaning however, which that word does not and could not bear; and even if it could, I cannot understand why store-keepers should feel more pain in seeing fruit fall to the ground during a time of war than in peace.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Such is the English translation of the Latin version made by H. of his own Greek text; where he has preferred  $\tau \lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu o \nu$  alow alx $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tau o \nu$ , elicited from  $\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$   $\epsilon \dot{v} \nu a \nu$  alx $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tau o \nu$ , to his former alteration  $\tau \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu o \nu$   $\epsilon \dot{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu$  alx $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \tau o \nu$ , adopted by Schütz and Dindorf, and the truth of which, says Paley, cannot be doubted. For not one of those editors have seen the objections which H. has himself brought forward.

<sup>4</sup> So H. retains  $\epsilon l\sigma'$ , furnished by the MSS. But as Eteocles is entering on the stage, the verb should be  $\eta \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ; while as regards the syntax,  $\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon l \nu$  could not thus follow  $\epsilon l \sigma \iota$  with the ellipse of  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ —

0

Line in Greek Text.		ference to hn's Edit.
355. σπουδή δὲ καὶ τοῦδ' οὐκ ἀπαρτίζει πόδα.  And haste does not place fitly¹ the foot of this person pag	3	
373-375. βοᾶ παρ' ὅχθαις ποταμίαις, μάχης ἐρῶν, ἔππος χαλινῶν δ' ὡς κατασθμαίνων βρέμει, ὅστις βοὴν σάλπιγγος ὀργαίνει μένων.  He is clamorous by the river's banks, eager for battle, and as a steed, breathing against the bit, snorts, when, waiting for the sound of the trumpet, it is in a rage. <sup>2</sup>	•	12
381-3. καὶ νύκτα ταυητν	47	20
396. Δίκη δ' όμαίμων κάρτα νιν προστέλλεται And justice of the same blood's sends him very much forward	48	3
399,40. — ώς δικαίως πόλεως πρόμαχος δρνυται <sup>4</sup> Since justly he rushes forward to fight for the city	48	8
405. γίγας ὄδ ἄλλος This is another giant—5	. 48	13

<sup>1</sup> So H. understands the words οὐκ ἀπαρτίζει, which he formerly altered into οὐ καταργίζει, with the approbation of Schütz, Wellauer, and of myself in Poppo's Prolegomena, p. 271.

<sup>4</sup> H. retains δικαίως, by which he perhaps understood, as Paley does, 'in a just cause,' or 'under that justice, which had sent him forward.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Here H. adopts  $\delta\mu\alphai\mu\omega\nu$  the reading of many MSS., and  $\tau\delta$   $\tau\tilde{\eta}\varsigma$   $\xi\nu\gamma\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsiloni\alpha\varsigma$   $\deltai\kappa\alpha\iota\sigma\nu$ , the explanation of the Scholiast; which I cannot understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. retains Γίγας ὅδ' ἄλλος, and refers to the proverbial "Αλλος οὖτος Ἡρακλῆς. But as there were many giants, and only one Hercules, this reference to the proverb is scarcely in point.

Line in Greek Text. 410, 11. ————οὐδὲ τὴν Διὸς	Reference Bohn's E	
<ul> <li>ἔριν πέδφ σκήψασαν ἐμποδὼν σχεθεῖν.</li> <li>And that not even the contest of Zeus, rushing like a bolt to the ground, has stopt him in the way.¹ page</li> </ul>	48 line	18
416. — τίς ξυστήσεται; Who shall stand with him ?	48	25
422. — δρᾶν παρεσκευασμένος, α, θεοὺς ἀτίζων		1
434. κεραυνοῦ δέ νιν βέλος κασχέθοι And may the thunderbolt restrain him.4	49	13
453,4. — σὺν τύχη δέ τφ καὶ δὴ πέπεμπται.  And with some fortune suppose him sent. <sup>5</sup>	49	<b>3</b> 0
462. ἐπεύχομαι τῷδε μὲν εὖ τελέσαι, I pray that to this person thou mayest grant a a good end	50	7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal English version of the text of H.; although his own in Latin is—'Neque se Jovis iram impedimenti loco habiturum.' But " $E\rho\iota\varsigma$  is not 'Ira;' nor can the aor. 2.  $\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$  have a future meaning without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , as Elmsley remarked long ago.

<sup>2</sup> H. retains τίς ξυστήσεται, and rejects ξυμβήσεται preserved by Plutarch; for ξυστήσεται in v. 490, and ξυστήσομαι in v. 653, are found

in a similar sense.

<sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has altered  $\theta \epsilon o \dot{\nu} \varsigma$  into  $\dot{\alpha}$   $\theta \epsilon o \dot{\nu} \varsigma$ , for reasons which he has not given, nor I can discover.

<sup>4</sup> So H. by altering  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta o \iota$  into  $\kappa \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta o \iota$ , i. e.  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta o \iota$ ; but he has not shown how  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$  could be thus abbreviated into  $\kappa \alpha$  in dramatic Greek, although it is into  $\kappa \alpha \tau$ , in the case of  $\kappa \alpha \tau \theta \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ .

5 H. unites σὺν τύχη δέ τω with καὶ δὴ πέπεμπται. But καὶ δὴ

always begins a sentence.

<sup>6</sup> H. has altered εὐτυχεῖν into εὖ τελέσαι, to avoid the inelegant union of εὐτυχεῖν and δυστυχεῖν, and to equalize the syllables in the antithetic verses. But what inelegance there is in εὐτυχεῖν, thus opposed to δυστυχεῖν, it is difficult to discover.

80

Line in Greek Text.		ence to s Edit.
481. [Although H. has retained in the text φόβος κομπάζεται, yet he prefers in the Notes φόνον ('murder') κομπάζεται.] page	50 li	ne 23
495. [After φλέγων H. thus arranges the verse, Υπερβίω δε Σταδαΐος Τοιάδε Πρός των rejecting with Dindorf Κούπω τις and Εἰ		
Zeύs γε.]	<b>5</b> 0	34
512,13. ————————————————————————————————————		
By the might of his spear. <sup>1</sup>	51	16
531. [There is no need, says H., for supposing with Dindorf that some verses have been lost. It is only requisite to transpose 532, 533. This very notion was first promulgated by Paley,		
of whom H. however has taken no notice.]	<b>52</b>	8
535. ———χεὶρ δ' ὁρᾳ τὸ δράσιμον But his hand looks to what is to be done. <sup>2</sup>	52	12
538. [Although H. has retained ρέουσαν, 'flowing,' in the text, in the Notes he prefers θοροῦσαν, rushing—']	59	15
541,2. ἔξωθε δ' εἴσω τῷ φέροντι μέμψεται, πυκνοῦ κροτησμοῦ τυγχάνουσ' ὑπὸ πτόλιν. But she will find fault with the person bearing her from without to within, when she meets	<b>02</b>	10
with a frequent battering under the city	<b>52</b>	19
543. —	<b></b>	60
Which points I will make true.4	υZ	20

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts  $\delta o \rho \delta c$  from five MSS, in lieu of  $\Delta \iota \delta c$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. by rendering  $\delta\rho\tilde{q}$ , 'respicit,' i. e. 'curat,' avoids the necessity of reading with Maurice Haupt  $\chi\epsilon i\rho$   $\delta\epsilon$   $\delta\rho\tilde{q}$   $\tau\delta$   $\delta\rho\tilde{a}\sigma\iota\mu\nu\nu$ : who should have suggested  $\chi\epsilon i\rho$   $\delta'$   $\epsilon\rho\epsilon i$   $\tau i$   $\delta\rho\tilde{\omega}\sigma'$   $\tilde{a}\rho'$   $\tilde{\eta}\nu$ —for thus the hand, that will tell what it has been doing, is properly opposed to the mouth, that boasts of what will be done.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. reads  $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega\theta\epsilon$  δ'  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$  in lieu of  $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega\theta\epsilon\nu$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$ —He conceives, however, that a verse has been lost before  $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega\theta\epsilon$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. in Opuscul. iv. p. 383, which Ahrens has attributed to Seidler; while Paley has taken it to himself, observing that  $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu\nu$  governs an accusative in Eurip. Hippol. Fr. 15. Χρόνος διέρπων πάντ  $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu\nu$  φιλεῖ. But he was not aware that, as πάντα is governed by διὰ in διέρπων, the sense is, 'Time, creeping through all things, is wont to be found true.'

Line in Greek Text.  555. [Although H. has retained this verse in the text, yet in the Notes he rejects it as spurious, dissatisfied with τῶν κακῶν, for which he would read πημάτων, 'calamities,' not aware that the poet wrote τὸν κακῶν διδάσκαλον, similar to the preceding τὸν ἀνδροφόντην, τὸν πόλεως ταράκτορα—] page	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
557,8. καὶ τὸν σὸν αὖθις ἐς πατρὸς μοῖραν κάσιν ἔξυπτιάζων ὅμμα—  And again upon your brother, with reference to your father's fate, throwing haughtily his	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52 31
559. δυσεκτέλευτον τοῦνομ' ἐνδατούμενος Dividing his name with its ill-fated end 2	53 1
573. οὐ γὰρ δοκεῖν ἄριστοςθέλει. For he does not wish to seem to be the best <sup>3</sup>	53 13
582. [H. with Porson and others considers this verse to be interpolated from some other play.]	53 21
603. γέροντα τὸν νοῦν, σάρκα δ' ἡβῶσαν φύει He produces 'an old intellect, but youthful flesh.	54 11
633. — σὺ δ' αὐτὸς γνῶθι· ναυκλήρει πόλιν But do thou thyself determine; rule then the ship <sup>5</sup> of the state	<b>55</b> 8
648. Δίκη προσεῖπε  Justice addressed him	55 22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. after thus altering καὶ τὸν σὸν αὖθις πρόσμορον ἀδελφεὸν found in some MSS., and πρόσπορον in others, and adopting Schütz's ὅμμα for ὅνομα, ought to have shown what meaning αὖθις could have in this place; and how the Messenger could even hint to Eteocles the fate of his father, in whose ill-treatment both the sons had an equal share; or, granting that the Messenger merely repeated what he had heard, why Amphiareus should have reproached Polynices for his bad behaviour to Œdipus at all.

<sup>2</sup> H. has altered  $\delta i c \tau' \ell \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \tilde{\nu}$  into  $\delta \nu \sigma \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ , to which he was led, no doubt, by Schütz's  $\delta \nu \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \nu$ , whose name however is

not mentioned.

<sup>8</sup> H. retains ἄριστος—

<sup>4</sup> H. has adopted Wellauer's φύει for φύσει in MS. Med.

5 So H. by altering ναυκληρείν into ναυκλήρει—

<sup>8</sup> H. with Paley retains προσεῖπε—

Line in Greek Text	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
657. — πτερῶν προβλήματα Protection against arrows. <sup>1</sup> page	55 line 31
'658. — ὅμοιος τῷ κάκιστ' αὐδωμένῳ Like to him who has spoken most wickedly.²	
664-5. [Although H. retains in the text the common arrangement, yet in the Notes he would change the order of the verses, as suggested by Schütz, and read, Εἴπερ—Κακῶν— Εστω, which he thus explains in Latin: "Sane declinarem fratrem, si malum hoc, non punire ferocientem, sine turpitudine ferre possem; sed, quæ mala simulque turpia sunt, non dicas laudanda. Esto; congrediar cum fratre; solum enim apud inferos lucrum est, i. e. in morte." But I do not perceive how such a sense can be obtained from the Greek.]	
676,7. — τελείν	<b>56</b> 11
680,1. — κακὸς οὐ κεκλή- σει, βίον εὖ κυρήσας.	
	56 13
681. [Although H. retains in the text ὅταν ἐκ χερῶν, yet in the Notes he prefers ἀφ' ὅτου χερῶν, 'from whose hands']	56 16
686-9. νῦν ὅτε σοὶ παρέστακεν' ἐπεὶ κλυδών λήματος ᾶν τροπαία χρονία μεταλλ- ακτὸς ἴσως ᾶν ἔλθοι χαλαρωτέρω πνεύματι' νῦν δ' ἔτι ζεῖ. Now is it in your power (i. e. to avoid death);	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads with some MSS.  $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ; and refers to Lycophr. 56. Τοῖς Τευταρείοις βουκόλου  $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ , which Eustathius on Iλ. p. 172, 30, explains by  $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$  Τευτάρου Σκύθου δϊστοῖς.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. by taking αὐδωμένω in an active sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Such is the literal version of  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$  in the text of H.; who says however in the Notes that  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$  is joined with  $\delta \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \iota \zeta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota$ , because the sense is, 'it admonishes me.' But such a sense cannot be elicited from those words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. renders βίον εὐ κυρήσας, which means, he says, 'regulating thy life properly.' But κυρεῖν has no such meaning elsewhere.

56 line	21
56	24
57	2
57	17
	4
	6

<sup>2</sup> So H. renders ἐξεζέσαν, which he says is in the plural, because

κατεύγματα is a personification, I presume, in the place of 'Αραί.

3 So H. by altering νίκην into νίκη, and κακήν into κακόν—

<sup>4</sup> H. has substituted γαΐα κόνις for  $\chi\theta$ ονία κόνις, referring to Hesych. Γαΐα κόνις  $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\tilde{\eta}$ .

<sup>5</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version given by H. of his own text; where he has adopted ἄρει found in one MS. as a var. lect. for sück

<sup>6</sup> H. has adopted Enger's  $d\rho \tilde{a}\nu$  for  $d\rho ai$ . But he does not explain what is meant by 'the reconciliations of curses:' he thought perhaps that Paley had done so satisfactorily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version given by H. of his own text; where he has introduced κλυδων for δαίμων, and  $\mathring{αν} τροπαία$  (in the Notes) for  $\mathring{αντμοπαία}$ , and χαλαρωτέρω for θαλερωτέρω.

Line in Greek Text. 749,50. — τὰ δ' ὀλοὰ	Reference Bohn's E	
πελόμεν' οὐ μὰψ ἔρχεται But things, which are pernicious, do not come in vain page	58 line	7
765. κυρσοτέκνων ὀμμάτων ἐπλάγχθη He wandered from child-meeting eyes. <sup>2</sup>	58	17
767,7. τέκνοισιν δ' ἀρὰς ἐφῆκεν ἐπικότους τροφᾶς And he sent against his children angry curses on account of his bringing them up.*	<b>5</b> 8	19
773. θαρσεῖτε, παῖδες μητέρων τεθρυμμέναι.  Be of good cheer, ye children delicately brought- up of mothers	58	26
785-801. [H. has with great acuteness shown that the common arrangement of the verses presents a mass of unconnected ideas, which not a single scholar has hitherto had the talent to perceive; and that not only has one verse been improperly repeated, but that	,	

<sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has adopted πελόμεν' from three MSS., and altered from conjecture παρέρχεται into μάψ έρχεται: while his own Latin version is, 'Quæ perniciosa sunt (i. e. pestifera, ut diræ) non prætereunt, sed manent.' But how such a meaning can be elicited from those words, I cannot understand.

is difficult to understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Here again a literal English version of the text of H. best shows whether it be certainly, as the author himself fancied, or probably, as Paley conceives, a restoration of what Æschylus wrote. The Latin version given by H. of κυρσοτέκνων (in lieu of κρεισσοτέκνων δ' άπ') δμμά- $\tau\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\chi\theta\eta$  is, 'privavit se oculis, qui liberis occursuri erant, i. e. visuri eas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. retaining ἐπικότους, says with Schütz, that Œdipus was angry with himself for having brought up his children born in incest. why he should have invoked curses upon his children for an act done by himself, and for which they were not responsible, H. has failed to assign a reason. By  $\tau \rho o \phi \tilde{a} \varsigma$  is meant, as every one else has seen from the time of the Scholiast on Sophocles Œd. 1375, to that of Paley, the food which was sent insultingly by the sons to their blind father.

<sup>4</sup> H. has altered τεθραμμέναι into τεθρυμμέναι, to answer to the explanation of the Schol. δειλαὶ ὑπὸ μητέρων ἀπαλῶς τεθραμμέναι. But why any allusion should be made to the delicate manner, in which the young ladies of the Chorus had been brought up by their mothers, it

Line in Greek Text.											Bo	ference to hn's Edit.
	the f	lines were ollowing	order:-	_					gin	ally in	•	
	XO.	τί δ' έστι πόλις σέ	πρᾶγος		•	•	•	•	•	maaa !	sal	line 3 to 16
	ATT.	πόλις σέ	σωσται		•	٠	•	•	•	page	<b>10</b>	to 16
									• .			
		τίνων ; το φρονοῦσο		υσ	OV.	0	idis	του	γέν	ous-		
	XO.	οι γω τά	λαινα	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	AIT.	πέπωκεν	αζμα	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	XO.	έκείθι κήλ	λθον	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	ATT.	πέπωκεν έκείθι κήλ άνδρες τε	θνᾶσι	•	•	•	•	•	•			
,	XO.	οὖτως ἀδο οὐδ' ἀμφο οὖτως ὁ δ αὐτὸς δ' α τοιαῦτα χ	ελφα <b>ῖς</b>	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	ALL.	οὐδ ἀμφ	ιλέκτως		•	•	•	•	•			
	XO.	οῦτως ὁ δ	δαίμων	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	AFF.	αὐτὸς δ΄ α	άναλοῖ	•	•	•	•	•	•			
		τοιαῦτα χ	αίρ <b>ειν</b>	•	•	•	•	•	•			
	nd th	ð, ἢν λάβ ey shall r ve in the	possess t					ich	the	•	59	23
	uardi	κατ' εὐχὰ: ng [it] ac eir fathe:	cording			-	_ <u>-</u>		_	-	59	24
	σωτῆρ nd ra	—κἀπολ οι πολέως lise a sho e city.³	ἀσινεία.	t)	he ••••	sat	vinį	g 1	on-		60	2
W	κλευ ho ri	η ορθώς α οί τ' έτεδη ghtly acc renowne	ν καὶ πολ ording t	o t	eike hei	îs ra		_			60	4
										<del></del>		<del></del>

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts Brunck's  $\chi\theta\dot{o}\nu a$  in lieu of  $\chi\theta\sigma\dot{o}\varsigma$ .

<sup>2</sup> H. has altered φορούμενοι, into φρουρούμενοι, taken rather unusually in an active sense. But as φρουρούμενοι has everywhere else a passive sense, both the new reading and the old must be rejected equally. The

dramatist evidently wrote ἐφθαρμένοι, 'destroyed—'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has elicited σωτῆρι πόλεως ἀσινεία, from πόλεως ἀσινεῖ σωτῆρι, by the aid of the words of the Schol. ἀσινεία· ἀβλαβεία σωτῆρι· τοῖτο γὰρ ἐπίθετον; for so he corrects ἀσινεῖ · ἀβλαβεῖ · σωτηρίας τοῦτο γὰρ ἐπίθετον. But as ἀσίνεια is a noun not found elsewhere, it seems rather hazardous to coin it for the occasion.

<sup>4</sup> H. has introduced here from conjecture κλεινοί τ' ἐτεὸν to answer to

Line in Greek Te 827.			ence to 's Edit.
829.	διπλαῖ μέριμναι, δίδυμ' ἀγανόρεα κακὰ Twofold cares; twin evils performed man- fully—2 page	e 60 li	ne 17
830.	aὖτοφόνα, δίμορα, <sup>3</sup> τέλεα τάδε πάθη, These sufferings [are] self-murderous, fatal to two, brought to an end	60	18
831.	τί δ' ἄλλο γ' $\hat{\eta}$ πόνοι δόμων ἐφέστιοι; What else than labors at the hearth of houses?	<b>6</b> 0	21
		60	24
843.	Before the lament [of the sisters]. <sup>6</sup>	61	4
,	<ol> <li>αχθε σὺν σιδάρῳ;</li> <li>What have ye become reconciled by steel?</li> <li>[H. has marked the loss of a line, first noticed by Lockmann, and subsequently by Elmeloy lengths.</li> </ol>		16
	by Lachmann, and subsequently by Elmsley.]		

Έτεολης, just as πολυνεικεῖς does to Πολυνείκης: to which he was led by the words of the Scholiast, ἐπωνύμως Ἐτεοκλῆς καὶ Πολυνείκης. But as ἐτεὸν is a word not elsewhere found in Tragedy, he has suggested likewise  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau' \epsilon \dot{\nu} \kappa \lambda \epsilon i q$ —This would be far preferable, were it not that the error lies in Oî  $\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau'$   $\delta \rho \theta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ —

1 H. has remarked that Critics have not perceived the antistrophical Symonds, however, had in the British Review, No. 2, noticed the same fact; and in the Classical Journal, No. 8, p. 464, I had arranged the verses in nearly the same manner as H. has done.

<sup>2</sup> So H. by altering διδυμάνορα into δίδυμ' άγανόρεα—But άγανόρεα is not elsewhere applied to an evil act or suffering.

3 Η. reads δίμορα for δίμοιρα-

<sup>4</sup> H. omits with Rob. πόνων after πόνοι.

<sup>5</sup> So H. translates  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i \delta a$ , not 'the sacred ship,' but 'the sacred road; referring to Hesych. Θεωροί ......λέγουσι δὲ καὶ τὴν ὁδὸν, δι' ἦς  $i\tilde{a}\sigma i\nu \ \epsilon \pi i \ \tau \dot{a} \ i\epsilon \rho \dot{a}$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i\delta a$ . But the meaning of the gloss is that  $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i c$ was united to  $\delta\delta\delta\varsigma$ , not that it meant  $\delta\delta\delta\varsigma$  by itself.

6 So H. understands  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$   $\phi\eta\mu\eta\varsigma$ , thus tacitly adopting Paley's 'antequam planctum ordinatur.' But  $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$  never has such a meaning.

7 H. has adopted Lachmann's  $\tau i \delta \dot{\eta}$  for  $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$ , for the sake of the

metre.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
870,1. διανταίαν λέγεις πεπλαγμένους καὶ δόμοισιν ἐννέπειν— Thou sayest that persons struck are telling even to houses of a blow sent right through.\(^1\) page	61 <i>line</i> 2	24
890,1,2. δόμων μάλ' ἀχὰν ἐπ' αὐτοῖς προπέμπει δαϊκτὴρ γόος. A cutting lament sends forth very much a sound from houses over them. *	62	4
909. διαρταμαῖς οὐ φίλαις * By not friendly butcherings—	<b>62</b>	18
922. ὑπὸ δὲ χώματι And beneath a mound—4	62	28
925,6. ἰὼ πολλοῖς ἐπανθίσαντες πόνοισι γενεάν ' Alas! ye who have caused a family to bloom with many troubles	62	29
926. [Although H. has in the text retained τελευτα δ' αιδ', yet in the Notes he prefers τελευταιαι δ' 'at last'—For he doubtless perceived that αιδε would be scarcely intelligible.]	<b>62</b>	<b>3</b> 0

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal English version of the text of H., which he thus renders into Latin, 'ædium propter eos lamenta meus prosequitur regum luctus.'

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts Bloomfield's χώματι for σώματι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., which he thus explains in Latin: 'Etiam domum mortifero vulnere percussam esse dicit.' But how such a meaning could be extracted, I confess I cannot understand. And even this text is obtained only by omitting  $\pi \lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu$  after  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ , and changing δόμοισι καὶ σώμασι  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \iota \varepsilon \pi \omega$  into  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma - \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \iota \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \iota$  δόμοισιν  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. with Ahrens, reads for the sake of the metre,  $\delta\iota\alpha\rho\tau\alpha\mu\alpha\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$  in lieu of  $\delta\iota\alpha\tau\rho\mu\alpha\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$ : while to meet the objection, that  $\delta\iota\alpha\rho\tau\alpha\mu\dot{\eta}$  is not found in Lexicons, he observes, that 'Lexicons are made from writers, not writers from Lexicons.' But when a word is thus coined by a critic, he should at least show that it carries with it the mark of an authorized mint. How easy was it to read  $\delta\iota\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\rho\mu\tilde{\iota}\varsigma$  où  $\phi\dot{\iota}\lambda\alpha\varsigma$ —For Æschylus is partial to  $\delta\iota\alpha\dot{\iota}$  in the sense of  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$  in the Choral parts of a drama.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. reads πόνοισι γενεάν and rejects γε δόμους, or γε δόμου, or γε δόμοις found in different MSS.

Line in	Reference to
932. [On this verse, H. has confessed, in a manner that does his memory infinite honour, that he did with singular rashness attempt to arrange the metre here into an antistrophic form; and though his notions have been received by others, both the leader and his followers were all equally in the wrong; and hence he has	Bohn's Edit.
now adopted the idea, first broached by myself, although ridiculed by him on its promulgation, that verses are frequently found running in pairs of the same or different measures.] page 939,40. [To suit the measure, as described on v. 932,	62 line 36
H. has elicited	
ANT. πρόκεισαι κατακτὰ ANT. Thou liest before [me], after having killed [him].	
from προκείσεται, and inserted from conjecture	0-
IΣM. πρόκεισαι φονευθείς. ISM. Thou liest before [me], after being killed [by him]	
941. στρ. 957. αντιστρ.1	
944. [For the sake of the metre, H. has given ιω, ιω δάκρυτε σύ in lieu of πανδάκρυτε in some MSS. and πολυδακρυτε in others: where Ritschel in Sched. Critic. suggests πάνδυρτε—and so does Paley likewise.]	<b>63</b> 11
950,1. [Here again for the sake of the metre, H. has given	
ΑΝΤ. ἄχεα δοιὰ τάδ' ἔγγυθεν ΙΣΜ. πέλας ἀδελφὰ δ' ἀδελφεῶν,	
and rejected τοίων and ποίων found in dif- ferent MSS. as being equally inappropriate; and he renders,—	·
ANT. These double pains are near. ISM. Near too the the pair of brothers' ills.]	<b>63</b> 15

Although H. here returns to the ordinary antistrophic form, yet he is enabled to do so only by introducing very arbitrary alterations.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
952,3. [H. places here the distich commonly found after v. 976, where he says they are not suited to the train of thought.] page	63 <i>line</i> 1	16
958,9. [H. thus reads and arranges the speeches.		
ANT. δυσθέα τα πήματα—  IΣΜ. ἔδειξε δ' ἐκ φυγᾶς ἐμοί,  ANT. Sufferings sad to behold—  ISM. Has he shown to me after his exile.]	63 2	22
962. [H. in lieu of ᾿Απώλεσε δῆτα. Καὶ τόνδ᾽ ἐνόσ- φισεν has given		
ANT. "Ωλεσε δῆτα, ναί* IΣΜ. Τόνδε δ' ἐνόσφισεν, ANT. Yes, truly he has destroyed. ISM. And this one he has deprived.		
But what he understood by those words, he	63	26
965. [In lieu of τάλαν καὶ πάθος in MS. G. H. reads with Schütz in ed. 2. τάλαν πάθος, i. e. 'Wretched is the suffering.']	63 9	29
966. δίπονα κήδε δμώνυμα Cares of the same name for two troubles—1	63 9	29
967. δίυγρα πήματα παλμάτων The thoroughly wet calamities of strikings.	63	30
973. [Here H. returns to the system of pairs of verses, mentioned on v. 932.]	63 3	37
981,2. [So reads H. where the asterisks mark the supposed lossof a hemistich answering to ἄναξ Ἐτεόκλείς.]  ANT. ἰὼ δυσπότμων  ΙΣΜ. ἄναξ Ἐτεόκλεις ΑΝΤ. σὺ δ' ἀρχαγέτας  ΙΣΜ		
ISM	64 1	11

<sup>1</sup> H. has given δίπονα in lieu of δύστονα.
2 Such is the literal version of the text of H., where instead of δίνγρα τριπάλτων πημάτων, he once suggested δι. διπάλτων πημ—adopted by Dindorf.

Line in Greek Text. 984. [Here again H. marks the supposed loss of a	Reference Bohn's l	
whole line, answering to ἰὼ πάντων πολυστο- νώτατοι.] page	64 line	14
993. στέγων γὰρ ἐχθροὺς— For by bearing up against enemies !	64	22
1021. — γὰρ αὐτὴ ἐγὼ— For I myself²	65	16
1025. θάρσει	65	19
1031. ἦδη τὰ τοῦδ' οὐ δυστετίμηται θεοῖς The affairs of this man have not been just now	65	27
1034. [After ἔργον ἢν H. thus arranges the speeches.  * * * *  KHP. ἔρις περαίνει  ANT. εγὼ δὲ  conceiving that a line has been lost, as indicated by the asterisks, which was spoken by Antigone to this effect: 'Who have united in doing wrong with the party insulting him;' in Greek,		
οί γε ξυνηδίκησαν ύβρίσαντί νιν.]	66	в
1051. — τὶς οὖν ἄν τὰ πίθοιτο Will any one be persuaded of this? 5	66	14
1056. [To preserve a fancied uniformity in this with the following system of Anapæsts, Ritschel in Sched. Critic. p. 13 suggests, what H. is disposed to adopt, the insertion of τŷ Καδμο-		
γενεῖ, 'sprung from Cadmus,' after γενεậ.]	66	18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. has adopted στέγων, the conjecture first of Wakefield, then of Dobree and Ritschel, in the place of στυγῶν in some MSS., and of εἴργων, found as a var. lect. in others.

<sup>2</sup> H. has received Pierson's αὐτὴ instead of αὐτῷ—

<sup>8</sup> H. considers θάρσει as a verb, not as a noun dependent on πάρεσται.

<sup>4</sup> So H. has altered οὐ διατετίμηται into οὐ δυστετίμηται. But though he is aware that δυστιμᾶν is contrary to analogy, yet such words, he says, are occasionally formed by writers, when they are driven by some neces-

sity to express themselves in a forcible manner.

Such, I presume, is the literal English version of the text of H., which he thus renders in Latin: 'Ecquis ergo ad eum una cum illa adducetur;' a sense that the Greek could not possibly bear, even if the indefinite ric could begin a sentence, or the put for tauta—suppositions equally at variance with correct Greek.

## THE PERSIANS.

Bohn's E	
: 67 line	6
67	8
	26
69	27
	Bohn's E  67 line  67

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. places here  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  βα $\hat{\nu}$ ζει, commonly found after  $\hat{\sigma}$ ιχωκε  $\hat{\nu}$ έον, and rejects  $\hat{\sigma}$ ν $\delta \rho \alpha$ , but without stating where that word came from, which usually precedes  $\hat{\beta}$ α $\hat{\nu}$ ζει.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads νέων in lieu of νέον—

<sup>8</sup> Η. alters πηδήματος into πήδημ' ἄλις—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. changes σαίνουσα into ποτισαίνουσα to suit partly the metre, and partly προσσαίνει in the Schol., and elicits ἄρκυας ἄτα from ἀρκύσακτα in Rob. But he has neglected to state that I was the first in Præf. ad Tro. p. xx, to detect ἄτα lying hid here.

Line in Greek Text. 101,2ὑπὲκ	Reference Bohn's E	
To secretly escape <sup>1</sup> — page	72 line	2
111,1. πίσυνοι λεπτοδόμοις πείσ- μασι—		
Trusting to slightly-built cables	72	8
116,7,8. Περσικοῦ στρατεύματος τοῦδε μὴ πόλις πύθηται κένανδρ- ον μέγ' ἄστυ Σουσίδος Let not the state hear that the city of Sardis has become widowed by this Persian army.	72	11
119-124. καὶ τὸ Κίσσιον πολισμ ἀντίδουπον ἔσσεται, οὰ, τοῦτ ἔπος γυναικοπληθ- ἡς ὅμιλος ἀπύων, βυσσίνοις δ' ἐν πέπλοις πέση λακίς.  And lest the citadel of the Cissians shall be noisy in return, Alas! a crowd filled by women, bawling out this word—and [lest] a tearing shall fall upon the dresses of byssus.4	_	13
151. προπίτνω, προπίτνω— I fall down; I fall down.  164. ταῦτα μοι διπλη μέριμνα φραστός ἐν φρεσίν On these points a double care in my thoughts		
	71	10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. alters  $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ —φυγεῖν into  $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ —φυγεῖν to suit  $\dot{v}\pi\epsilon\kappa\delta\rho\alpha\mu$ όντα in the Schol.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. understands  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \delta \delta \mu o i \varsigma$ , as if it were simply  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o i \varsigma$ , not aware that Æschylus probably wrote  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o \tau \delta \nu o i \varsigma$ , by the usual corruption of  $\tau$  into  $\delta$ , first noticed by Porson on Hec. 788.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> So H. renders this passage to prevent the confusion arising from  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$  and  $\tilde{a} \sigma \tau v$ . But the Persian empire was never called  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$ , nor could  $\tau o \tilde{v} \delta \varepsilon$  be said of an army distant from home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the English of Hermann's Latin version of his own text; where he has with Paley retained the unintelligible ἔσσεται thus placed between πύθηται and πέση; while γυναικοπληθης ὅμιλος is considered by both critics as put in apposition with πόλισμα.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. repeats προπίτνω.

<sup>6</sup> H. alters μέριων' ἄφραστος into μέριμνα φραστός—and explains φραστός by certa,' a meaning that word could not bear.

Line in Greek Text.	Referenc Bohn's E	
201. [Although H. has retained Έψαυσα in the text, yet in the Notes he prefers Ψαύσασα—but without assigning any reason for thus intro-		
ducing an absolute sentence.] page	72 line	10
216,17. ————αἰτοῦ τῶνδ' ἀποτροπὴν λαβεῖν τἀγαθ' ἐκτελῆ γενέσθαι  Beg to receive an avertal from these things [so that] good may be accomplished.'————	72	26
219-221. πρευμενῶς δ' αἰτοῦ τάδε σὸν πόσιν Δαρεῖον ἐσθλά σοι πέμπειν  And beg of thy husband Darius this—to kindly send thee good things. <sup>2</sup>	72	<b>3</b> 0
238. πότερα γὰρ τοξουλκὸς αἰχμὴ διὰ χερός σφιν ἐμ- πρέπει,  Is there a bow-drawn point conspicuous in their hand? <sup>3</sup>	73	27
255. ἄνι' ἄνια, νεοκότα Pain, pain, a thing of new harshness—4	74	17
272. πλαγκτοῖς ἐν διπλάκεσσιν In their double cloaks wandering about.5	74	35
275-277. ἴεζ' ἄποτμον δαίοις δυσαιανη βοὰν, ὡς πάντα παγκάκως θεοὶ ἔθεσαν  Utter a cry for ill-luck [and] for a sad life against the enemy, since the gods have placed		
affairs on all sides very badly	74	39

<sup>2</sup> H. reads  $\pi \rho \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ , and unites it to  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ —But the number of intervening words would prevent such an union.

4 H. omits kakà here, and ye in the antistrophic verse.

<sup>6</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has omitted Πέρσαις after δυσαιανη, and elicited θεοὶ ἔθεσαν from ἔθεσαν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts  $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$  from the worst MSS. in lieu of  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \nu$  from the best, and rejects  $\delta$  found either before or after  $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$  in all.

<sup>8</sup> H. reads with some MSS. χερός, and elicits σφιν έμπρέπει from αὐτοῖς έμπρέπει in Schol. MS. Vit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts the interpretation of Sanrave, and refers to Hesych.  $\Delta i\pi\lambda a\kappa a$  διπλην,  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda\eta\nu$  διπλοίδα; and he conceives that the description alludes to the large cloaks of the Persians, which were seen floating about on the top of the water.

Line in Greek Text. 292,3. — τίνα δὲ καὶ πενθήσομεν τῶν ἀρχελείων ;		rence to
Whom of the leaders of the flocks shall we		ine 15
308. ——οΐδε ναὸς ἐν μιᾶς πέσος. These [were] one falling ² from one ship	75	30
?22. [Although H. has retained in the text ἔπαρχος, yet in the Notes he prefers ὕπαρχος, as being the word usually applied to a Satrap.]		7
340. [H. thus arranges the speeches— ΑΤ. ἀλλ' ὧδε	<b>{</b> 76	25 to 30
τάλαντα  ΑΓΓ. θεοὶ πόλιν  ΑΓ. ἔτ' ἄρ'  ΑΓΓ. ἀνδρῶν γὰρ  ΑΓ. ἀρχὴ δὲ  and explains ώδε not 'in this manner,' but 'in this state of affairs;' referring to Taylor on Demosthen. Mid., p. 627, to himself on Viger, p. 933, and to Schaëfer on Dionys. de Compos. p. 414.]	1	
366. [Although H. retains in the text ην προκείμενον, yet in the Notes he prefers δν προκείμενον: for ην, he says, would require εὶ ἔφυγον, not εἰ φευξοίατο.]	1	15
367. — ὑπ' ἐκθύμου Φρενός.	77	17
385. [H. has retained πέτρας in the text; but in the Notes he prefers πέρας found in one very modern MS., as he does in Eurip. Hel. 955, forgetting that an echo is never heard, except where there is a rock, or something similar, to cause a reverberation of the sound.]		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads ἀρχελείων with all the MSS., and compares the word with ἀγελεία, the epithet of Pallas, in her character of 'flock-leader,' according to some commentators, but improperly so, says Hesych. in 'Αγελείην' λείας ἄγουσαν, οἶον λάφυρα' ἕνιοι δὲ, ἄγουσαν τοὺς ἐπὶ πόλεμον ὅχλους' βέλτιον δὲ τὸ πρότερον.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads πέσος for πέσον. But as πέσος is not a Greek word, the

true reading still remains to be discovered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> So H. explains  $\dot{v}\pi'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\theta\dot{\nu}\mu\sigma\nu$ —

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Edi	
411,2. αὐτοὶ δ' ὑφ' αὑτῶν ἐμβολαῖς χαλκοστόμοις παίοντ' ἔθραυον πάντα κωπήρη στόλον.  And they smashed all the oar-fitted fleet, struck by the brazen beaks of their own [ships]. page	ı e 78 <i>line</i> 1	. <b>7</b>
422,3. — οἰμωγὴ δ' ὁμοῦ καυχήμασιν  And the doleful cries [of one party] with the boastings [of the other]. <sup>2</sup>		28
485. [Although H. has retained in the text ἔνθα δὴ πλεῖστοι θάνον, yet in the Notes he prefers ἔνθα δὴ πλεῖστον σίνις, or something similar.]		16
517. Τεῦ βασιλεῦ, νῦν γὰρ Περσῶν O king Zeus! for now of the Persians	81 2	24
532,3. πολλαὶ δ' ἀταλαῖς χερσὶ— μαῖαι γονάδες— Many grandmothers with their feeble hands•	81 2	27
540. ——γόοις ἀκορέστοις. With insatiable moanings.5	81 3	31
575. γναπτόμενοι ποτὶ δίνα, Lacerated by the whirlpool <sup>6</sup>	82	16
653. δάιον οἶον ἄνακτα Δαρείον. King Darius, alone terrible to his enemies.	84	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. by taking  $\pi a iov \tau a$  in an intransitive sense, which it never has; for in Prom. 887, the correct reading is  $\pi \tau a iov \sigma'$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. alters κωκύμασιν into καυχήμασιν, and refers to the Homeric οίμωγή τε καὶ εὐχωλὴ πέλεν ἀνδρῶν 'Ολλύντων τε καὶ ὀλλυμένων.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. inserts  $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$  after  $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu$ , to complete the verse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. elicits  $\mu a \tilde{\imath} a i \gamma o \nu \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$  from  $\mu a \gamma \nu \dot{a}$ , furnished by MS. Vit. and corrects  $\dot{a} \tau a \lambda a \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$  into  $\dot{a} \mu a \lambda a \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$  in the Notes; for  $\dot{a} \tau a \lambda a \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$  is retained in the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. reads ἀκορέστοις for ἀκορεστοτάτοις, that the verses, in which Jupiter, the wives, and the mothers, and the Chorus itself, are spoken of, may end with a parcemiac.

Instead of  $\delta$ '  $\dot{a}\lambda i$   $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \tilde{a}$ , H. reads here  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\delta \iota \nu a$  (to which he was red by finding  $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \tilde{a}$   $\delta$ '  $\dot{a}\lambda i$  in one MS.,) and in the strophé  $\pi \rho \omega \tau \delta \mu o \iota \rho o \iota$ , furnished as a var. lect. by one MS. likewise.

<sup>7</sup> So H. renders his own text, where he has altered  $\Delta \alpha \rho \tilde{\epsilon} i \sigma \nu$  into  $\delta \tilde{\alpha} i \sigma \nu$ . But how  $\delta \tilde{\alpha} i \sigma \nu$  could mean not 'hostile,' but 'terrible to foes,' he has not explained.

Line in Greek Text.		Reference Bohn's E	
658. — ἐπεὶ στρατὸν εὖ τόθ ὡδώκει.  Since he then led the army successfully on way.¹ p	its age	84 line	5
668. δέσποτα δεσπότου.  Lord of a lord—2	••••	8 <b>4</b>	6
670,1. νεολαία γὰρ ἦδη κατὰ γῶς ὅλωλεν. For the young folks have just now perish beneath the earth. <sup>3</sup>	ed	84	12
676–681. τί τᾶδε δυνάστα, δυνάστα, περὶ τὰ σὰ διδύμα δι' ἄνοιαν ἁμαρτία πάσα γᾶ τᾶδ', ἐξέφθινται τρίσκαλμοι νᾶες, ἄναες νᾶες;			
Why, O king, king, from a double error through a want of thought relating to thy affairs, ha there perished for this whole land the shi with three benches of oars, that are no ships	ve	84	15
684. [Although H. has retained this verse in t text, yet in the Notes he conceives that eith a verse has been lost, or that this one is to inserted after 694, where he proposes to res Τί δή, τί Πέρσαις, in lieu of Τί δ' ἐστὶ Περσαις.	er be ad,	85	9
== = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	٦.		-

 $z \sigma$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. in the text alters  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\delta\hat{\omega}\kappa\epsilon$ ι into  $\epsilon\hat{v}$  τόθ'  $\hat{\omega}\delta\hat{\omega}\kappa\epsilon$ ι. But in the Notes he prefers  $\epsilon\hat{v}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\delta\hat{\sigma}\chi\epsilon$ ι, suggested by Tanaq. Faber. in Epistol. I. 67, p. 223, who refers to Pollux I. 98,  $\kappa\alpha\tau$  'Αντιφῶντα ὁ  $\pi\sigma\delta\sigma\chi$ ῶν ἢ  $\mu$ ãλλον  $\kappa\alpha\tau$ '  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\epsilon}$  ὁ  $\pi\sigma\delta\eta\gamma$ ῶν; to which H. adds Bekker's Anecdot. Græc. I. p. 297,  $\Pi\sigma\delta\sigma\kappa\epsilon$ ῖν τὸ τῷ  $\pi\sigma\delta$ ὶ  $\kappa\nu$ βερνῷν. But in that case the verse of the strophé, says H., must be altered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he prefers Dindorf's δέσποτα δεσποτῶν—For in this expression the second word must be in the genitive plural, as shown by "Αναξ ἀνάκτων in Suppl. 519.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. with Blomf. from one MS. in lieu of κατὰ πᾶσ'--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version given by H. of his own text; where he has altered τάδε δυνάτα δυνάτα περὶ τῷ σῷ δίδυμα διάγοιεν ἀμάρτια πάσᾳ γῷ σῷ τῷδε ἐξέφθινθ' αἰ into τῷδε δυνάστα, δυνάστα, περὶ τὰ σὰ διδύμᾳ δι' ἄνοιαν ἀμαρτίᾳ πάσᾳ γῷ τῷδ' ἐξέφθινται, with the aid of περὶ τὰ σα in MS. Lips. διάνοιεν in Ald. (from which Blomf. elicited δι' ἄνοιαν) and of δ' ἀμαρτία in MSS. Par. and Ald. and by omitting σῷ with three MSS.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
703. προλέγων δύσλεκτα φίλοισιν. By proclaiming things to friends sad to be told. <sup>1</sup> page	85 line 18
731. [Although H. retains Πρὸς τάδ' ὡς Σούσων—in the text, yet in the Notes he doubts whether Æschylus did not write 'Ωστε Σουσίδων—He should have suggested rather Προστάτας Σουσῶν μάλ' ἄστυ πῶν, κένανδρον δν. στένει, i.e. 'the the whole city of Susa, being devoid of men, laments greatly for those, who stood in defence of it.' For μάλ' ἄστυ πῶν κένανδρον δν might easily have been corrupted into μὲν ἄστυ πῶν κενανδρίαν.]	86 22
738. [Although H. has retained in the text, σεσῶσθαι τήνδε, τοῦτ' ἐτήτυμον; yet in the Notes he suspects the author wrote, σεσῶσθαι τοῦτό γ' ἔστ' ἐτήτυμον;]	
752. ———μὴ πολὺς πλούτου πόνος Lest my great labour in getting wealth <sup>2</sup>	87 18
761,2. — οἶον οὐδέπω τόδ' ἄστυ Σούσων ἐξερήμωσεν πέσος. Such a falling as never yet made a desert of this city of Susa.*	87 28
767. [The verse commonly read here, H. places after 776.]	87 23
772. θεὸς γὰρ οὖκ ἥχθηρεν, ὡς εὖφρων ἔφυ. For a god did not hate [him], as it was proper not to hate the prudent.4	88 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. by altering  $\lambda i \xi a c$  into  $\pi \rho o \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu$ , for the sake of the sense and metre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. retains  $\pi \acute{o}\nu o \varsigma$  found in all the MSS. instead of  $\pi \acute{o}\rho o \varsigma$  in Ald. adopted by Porson and Dindorf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. has altered  $\xi\xi\epsilon\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$   $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\nu$  into  $\xi\xi\epsilon\rho\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$   $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\varsigma$ —But  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\varsigma$  is not a Greek word, as stated on v. 308. n. 2; and if it were,  $\xi\xi\epsilon\rho\dot{\eta}$ - $u\omega\sigma\epsilon\nu$  could not be admitted here without the augment; which, if added, would introduce a spondee into the fourth foot of a senarian.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. paraphrases the Greek. But the question is not whether it was proper for a god to hate, but what kind of person was the person alluded to. Hence it is evident that the poet wrote— $\tilde{\eta}\chi\theta\eta$ οεν,  $\tilde{o}\nu$  σώφρων έφυ, where  $\tilde{o}\nu$  is put by attraction for ἐκεῖνον,  $\tilde{o}\varsigma$ —not ώς εῦφρων ἔφυ.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
775. [The word Μάρδος, which Rutgersius was the first to alter into Μέρδις, is retained by H.; who says that no reason can be assigned, why the person, called by other writers Μέρ-δις, should not have been called Μάρδος by		
776. [After this verse H. has inserted, as Siebclis suggested, what is commonly found after 767.	87	33
Φρένες γὰρ αὐτοῦ θυμὸν οἰακοστρόφουν, to shew more plainly the etymology remarked by the Scholiast, ὁ ᾿Αρταφρένης, ὃν ἐτυμολόγει ὁ ἀρτίας ἔχων φρένας: from whence too H. has given ᾿Αρταφρένης.]		
779. [After this verse H. conceives with Siebelis that some others are wanting, in which the names of the five other conspirators were introduced; and that one of the missing words is ὑπόξυλος, found in a fragment of the Perinthia of Menander, quoted by the Scholiast on Hermogenes, in Walz's Rhetores Græci, tom. v., p. 486, and applied, as H. fancies, to		
Smerdis.] 783. — ἐνεὸς ὧν ἐνεὰ φρονεῖ,	88	6
Being dumb, has dumb thoughts, 806. [H. has marked after this verse the loss of another, in which he conceives the name of Xerxes was introduced.]	88	9
815,16. κρηπὶς ὅπεστιν, ἀλλ' ἔτ' ἐκμαιεύεται.  And not as yet is there of evils a foundation, but it is still being sought after. <sup>2</sup>	89	10
831,2. πρὸς ταῦτ' ἐκεῖνον σωφρονεῖν κεχρημένοι, Wherefore do ye, desirous for him to be wise,	89	24

<sup>2</sup> Such is the version of the text of H., who has altered ἐκπιδεύεται

into ἐκμαιεύεται.

<sup>1</sup> H. has adopted Meineke's ένεὸς ῶν ἐνεὰ φρονεῖ, in lieu of νέος ῶν νέα φρονεῖ in MSS. But ἐνεὸς is 'dumb,' not 'stupid,' as those Scholars imagined. Æschylus wrote,—νέος δς ῶν νέ' ἀφρονεῖ, Οὐ μνημονεύει τὰς ἐμὰς ἐπιστολάς.

<sup>8</sup> So H. renders σωφρονείν κεχρημένοι, by taking κεχρημένοι in the sense of χρήζοντες, a meaning which that word does not bear elsewhere.

Line in • Greek Text		ference to hu's Edit.
836. — πάντα γὰρ For in all respects <sup>1</sup> pa	ge 89	) line 29
849. [Although H. has retained ἀτιμίαν γε in the text, yet in the Notes he would read ἀτιμία τὴν παιδὸς, to meet apparently the objection started by Paley.]	ש	) 6
852. ὑπαντιάζειν παιδὶ πειρασώμεθα  Let us endeavour to meet [our] son—s	90	) 8
858,9. πρῶτα μὲν εὐδοκίμους στρατιὰς ἀπεφαινόμεθ First we exhibited our armaments in good repute—s	- 9(	) 15
859. οἱ δὲ νομίσματα πύργινα πάντ' ἐπεύθυνον— And those who made straight all the tower-lik institutions—4	ce 90	) 16
860. [H. has marked the loss of a dactyl, which h says Schwencke has not badly supplied b proposing εῦφρονας—]		) 18
868. ——ἀρχόμεναι And are under rule—5	90	) 24
881. [In lieu of ἐκράτυνε, which H. once wished texpunge entirely, he has now given ἐκράτει.]	3 <b>9</b>	29
884. — θεότρεπτα τάδ ἀμφέρομεν— We refer these to the gods, who have turne them— <sup>6</sup>	d 91	L 6

 $^2$  So H. reads in lieu of  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\varphi}$   $\pi\alpha\iota\delta$ i  $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  in some MSS., or  $\pi\alpha\iota\delta$ i  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\varphi}$   $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  in others, to avoid the elision in  $\pi\alpha\iota\delta$ i  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\varphi}$ .

3 H. adopts Wellaver's εὐδοκίμους στρατιάς, in lieu of εὐδοκίμου στρατιᾶς, which is without syntax.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has altered  $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  νόμιμα τὰ into οἱ δὲ νομίσματα—

5 H. adopts Bloomfield's ἀρχόμεναι for εὐχόμεναι in some MSS., or αὐχόμεναι in others.

<sup>6</sup> So H. renders his own text, where θεότρεπτα is due to two MSS. But how such a meaning can be elicited from these words, I cannot understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. renders  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$ , which he retains against Canter's  $\pi a\nu \tau i$ , adopted by Schütz and some other editors.

Line in Greek Text.  893. [H. has marked the loss of some words here, which he conceived might be supplied by reading, τὰς ἀμφιρύτους ἡ περὶ νήσους νηριτοτρόφους ἀπόλωλεν, i. e. 'which have been lost about the islands flowed around, the nourishers of cockles,' or 'winkles': where νηριτοτρόφους has been preserved by Athenæus, who in III. p. 86. B., quotes that very word from this very play of Æschylus.] page	
922. δαϊπαθέα σέβων ἁλίτυπά τε βάρη Honouring the weight [of woes] from sufferings in the fight and blows from the sea. <sup>1</sup>	99 2
927. μυχίαν πλάκα κερσάμενος After laying waste the flat surface of bays 2	92 7
929. — πάντ' ἐκπεύθου.  Be thou enquired of all matters.*	92 9
938,9. — στυφελοῦ θείνοντας ἐπ' ἀκτᾶς Striking against the hard beach—4	92 15
946. τάδε σ' ἐπανερόμαν.  These matters have I asked of thee in addition. <sup>5</sup>	92 20
954. [After this verse H. was the first to notice the loss of another, as shown by the antistrophé.]	
960. ἴυγγα μοι δῆτ' ἀγαθῶν ἐτάρων ὑπορίνεις. Thou dost excite in me a desire for brave friends.6	92 30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. has altered  $\lambda ao\pi a\theta \tilde{\eta}$  σεβίζων into δαϊπαθέα σέβων—But he has failed to shew that δαϊπαθής either is or could be a Greek word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. by reading  $\mu\nu\chi i\alpha\nu$  for  $\nu\nu\chi i\alpha\nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. takes  $i\kappa\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\theta o\nu$  in a passive sense. But such is not the sense of  $\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. takes θείνοντας in the sense of τυπτομένους. But θείνειν is always active.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  H. adopts Wellaver's τάδε σ' ἐπανερόμαν, in lieu of ἐπανέρομαι in some MSS., and of ἐπαναιρόμην in MS. Par.

<sup>6</sup> For the sake of the metre H. has ὑπορίνεις in the text; but in the Notes he suggests ὑπεγείρεις, in lieu of ὑπομιμνήσκεις.

Line in Greek Text. 971,2. ἔταφον, ἔταφον' οὐκ ἀμφὶ σκηναῖς τροχηλάτοισιν ὅπιθεν ἐπόμενοι. I am astonished: I am astonished: they are	Reference Bohn's E	_
not about the wheel-driven tents following		1
973. βεβᾶσι γὰρ τοίπερ ἀκρῶται στρατοῦ They are gone, who were the tip-top of the army. <sup>2</sup>	93	3
976,7,8. lò lò δαίμονες δ' ἔθεντ' ἄελπτον κακόν πάγκακον οἶον δέδρακεν ἄτα. Woe, woe! the deities have inflicted an unex- pected ill. How great an ill has Até done!		4
978. [Although H. has retained in the text δι' αἰῶνος τύχοι, yet as MS. Med. offers δαίμονος τύχαι, as a var. lect., he conceived, as Dindorf did, that in δαίμονος lies hid διαίμονες. He does not however reject δι' αἰῶνος, but merely changes ἀγρέται in the strophé to ἀκρῶται.]		7
1001. καὶ πλέον, πλέον μεν οδν		30
1014. οΐμοι, μάλα τοι τόδ ἀλγῶ Woe's me! greatly am I in pain for this.5	94	5
1021,2. ΣΕ. μάραγνα δ' ἀμμεμίξεται· ΧΟ. οἴμοι, στονόεσσα πλαγά. ΧΕR. And the scourge will be mixed. CHO. Alas! the moaning blow	94	11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. has adopted Wellauer's interpretation, and rejects Valckenaer's ἔταφεν put for ἐτάφησαν.

<sup>2</sup> So H. alters ἀκρόται, given as a var. lect. in MS. Med., into ἀκρῶται, a word not elsewhere found in Attic Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. alters δαίμονες ἔθετ' ἄελπτον κακὸν διαπρέπον οἷον δέδορκεν ἄτα into δαίμονες δ' ἔθεντ'......πάγκακον.....δέδρακεν—where δέδρακεν is due, as he should have said, to Bothe. But how διαπρέπον could be the gl. for πάγκακον, we are not informed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. has changed καὶ πλέον ἢ παπαὶ, into καὶ πλέον, πλέον—He should have suggested rather καὶ πλέον ἢ παπαὶ μόλε (for μὲν οὖν are quite useless) and in the strophé, τόνδε δ' δἴστοδέγμονα.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. reads in lieu of οι μάλα και τόδ' άλγῶ.

<sup>6</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has altered μέλαινα into μάραγνα, referring to Cho. 370, μαράγνης δοῦπος ἰκνεῖται. But

```
Line in
                                                         Reference to
Greek Text.
                                                         Bohn's Edit.
  1023. καὶ στέρν ἄρασσε καὶ βόα τὸ Μύσιον.
        And strike thy breast and roar out the Mysian
          strain].
                                                   page 94 line 13
  [1093 and foll. H., who once asserted that all the
        verses from here to the end of the play
        formed an Epode, has now arranged them into
        four strophés and antistrophés, in the manner
        following:—
    ΣΕ. βόα νυν ἀντίδουπά μοι·
    XO. oloî, oloî.
    ΞΕ. αἰακτὸς ἐς δόμους κίε.
                                             dyri\sigma \tau \rho. \eta'.
    XO. *alaî, alaî,*
    ΣΕ. ίω, ίω, Περσίς αλα δυσβαϋκτός.
                                                στρ. θ.
    ΧΟ, ἰωὰ δὴ κατ' ἄστυ—
    ΞΕ. ἰωὰ δῆτα'
                   ναί, ναί.
    XO.
    ΕΕ. ὶὼ, ὶὼ, Περσὶς αἶα δυσβαϋκτός
                                           άντιστρ. Θ.
    ΧΟ. γοάσθω* δη, βοάτω---
    ΣΕ. γοάσθω δῆτα.*
    XO.
                      val, vaí,
    ΕΕ. ὶὴ, ἰή.
* σήπονται γάρ*
                                                 στρ. ι.
    ΣΕ. ὶὴ, ἰή.
               *οί πάρος* άβροβάται
    ΞΕ. ὶὴ, ἰή.
                                             άντιστρ. ί.
               τρισκάλμοισιν
    XO.
    ΞΕ. ὶὴ, ἰή.
    XO.
                βάρισιν όλόμενοι.
    ΣΕ. *χωρῶν ἐς δόμους πρόπεμπέ με*.
                                                στρ. ιά.
    ΧΟ. πέμψω τοί σε δυσθρόοις γόοις.
                                           άντιστρ. ια ,
        But as H. has found it necessary to introduce
        all the words between the asterisks, for which
        he confesses he will not vouch, to enable him
        to fill up the antithetical measures, it seems
        unnecessary to dwell upon them. See my
        paper in the Classical Journal No. 22, p. 247.]
```

what he meant by ἀμμεμίζεται, I must leave for others to discover and unfold.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts βόα, furnished by Eustathius on Dionys. Perig. 791, although Hesych. has distinctly Επιβόα τὸ Μύσιον.

## THE AGAMEMNON.

Line in Greek Text. 7. ἀστέρας, ὅταν φθίνωσιν, The stars when they others.¹			ıgs of	Reference Bohn's E	
10,11.	δδε γάρ κρι	<b>1</b> τ€Î			
γυναικός ἀνδρόβουλο					
For so commands the			oman		
with the mind of a r		••••		95 line	8
14. τί μήν— What else ?*	****	••••	••••	96	2
45-7. στόλον					
Carried their fleet to the	ne aid of the	he army.4	****	97	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the English of Hermann's own version of the words 'Astépag,  $\delta \tau a\nu \phi \theta i\nu \omega \sigma i\nu$ ,  $\dot{a}\nu \tau o\lambda \dot{a}\varsigma \tau \epsilon \tau \tilde{\omega}\nu$ : which Valckenaer was the first to reject as spurious; for he doubtless knew, what the defenders of the line have not known, that  $\tau \tilde{\omega}\nu$  never is, and never could be, thus found at the end of a sentence in dramatic Greek; and still less, that it could mean, as H. fancied, 'others;' and, if it could, that the union of  $\phi \theta i\nu \omega \sigma i\nu$  and  $\dot{a}\nu \tau o\lambda \dot{a}\varsigma$  plainly proves both are to be referred to the same constellations, as shewn by the expression in Catullus:—'Qui stellarum ortus comperit atque obitus.' The verse is omitted by Dindorf.

<sup>2</sup> Such is the English of Hermann's version of κρατεῖ, although he confesses that κρατεῖν means elsewhere, 'to have power,' not 'to exercise it.'

<sup>4</sup> So H. understands  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\iota\tilde{\omega}\tau\iota\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\rho\omega\gamma\dot{\alpha}\nu$ . But how  $\dot{\eta}\rho\alpha\nu$  could be united to  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\omega\gamma\dot{\alpha}\nu$  without the preposition  $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}$ , we are not informed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. alters  $\ell\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$  into  $\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ , and refers to Etymolog. Leid. MS. quoted by Koen. on Gregor. Corinth. p. 236,  $\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ ;  $\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$ ;  $\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ . For  $\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$  generally means, 'how not?'

Line in Greek Text.  57. [Although H. has altered nothing in the text, yet in the Notes he conceives that a hemistich has been lost after γόον ὀξυβόαν, to this effect: 'is greatly enraged;' in Greek, μέγα		_
θυμοῦται.] page	97 line 1	5
69. [H. rejects with Paley, οὖτε δακρύων, and understands by ἀπύρων ἱερῶν 'sacrifices, which, as being without fire, are of no effect;' an interpretation it would be difficult to support; and he says with Bamberger, that there is an allusion to the sacrifice of Iphigenia, which		
the poet calls θυσίαν ἄδαιτον in v. 140. j	98	5
101,2		
	99	1
105. ἀνδρῶν ἐντελέων Ot men in power²	99	4
106,7. Πειθώ	99	5
110. — ξὺν δορὶ πράκτορι ποινᾶς With the avenging spear of punishment •	99	9
114. παμπρέπτοις ἐν ἔδραισιν In their very conspicuous seats 5	99 19	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. with Paley takes φαίνουσ' in an intransitive sense; referring to Eurip. El. 1233. 'Αλλ' οἵδε δόμων ὑπὲρ ἀκροτάτων Φαίνουσι τίνες δαίμονες ἢ θεῶν. But there it is easy to read, Φαίνουσι γένος δαίμονος—while here it would be equally easy to read with Pauw, φανθεῖσ', were it not that Jacobs had already restored the very word of Æschylus—σαίνουσ'—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. with Auratus for ἐκτελέων—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who reads  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\tilde{\alpha}$  for  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\dot{\alpha}\nu$ —But what those words can possibly mean, I cannot discover, even if we take  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\tilde{\alpha}$ , as H. does. in the sense of 'strength in war.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. reads  $\pi o i \nu \tilde{\alpha} \zeta$  for  $\delta i \kappa \alpha \zeta$ , and rejects  $\kappa \alpha i \chi \epsilon \rho i$ , which every one else had adopted from Aristoph. Bat  $\rho$ . 1289, where this passage is quoted according to Aristophanes the Scholiast.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. applies ἔδραισιν not to the 'seats' of the Atridæ, but to those of the birds, and refers rather appositely to the verses of Ennius:— 'Cedunt de cœlo ter quattuor corpora sancta Avium præpetibus sese pulchrisque locis dant.'

Line in Greek Text.  118. [Although H. has retained in the text λήμασι δισσούς, where he explains δισσούς by 'differing,' a meaning which that word never bears, yet in the Notes he seems to prefer Lobeck's conjecture λήμασι πιστούς, similar to λήματι πιστούς, in Pers. 56.] page	Bohn	rence to is Edit.
124,5. πάντα δὲ πύργων	-	
κτήνη πρόσθετα All the wealth of the towers brought together <sup>1</sup>	99	20
135. ὀβρικάλοις ἔτι τερπνὰ, Joyous over the pretty cubs <sup>2</sup>	100	5
136. τούτων αἰτεῖ ξύμβολα κρῖναι. She begs to decide upon the omens of these things <sup>3</sup>	100	8
137. δεξιὰ μὲν, κατάμομφα δὲ φάσματι τῷ στρουθῶν. Favourable indeed, but subject to blame by the omen of the sparrows	100	9
141. νεικέων τέκτονα, σύμφυτον, οὐ δεισήνορα φωτός. The framer of contests, cognate, not husband-	100	13
158-160. οὐδ', ὅστις πάροιθεν ἢν μέγας, οὐ λελέξεται πρὶν ὧν.		
Nor shall he, who was formerly [great], be pronounced to have not been before	101	4

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts Pauw's πρόσθετα, rendering κτήνη 'wealth,' not 'cattle.'

3 H. alters κρᾶναι into κρῖναι—But what is gained by the alteration it is difficult to discover.

<sup>5</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has introduced from conjecture  $\phi\omega\tau\delta\varsigma$ , to fill up the lacuna, which he says was first pointed out by Lachmann, who wished to read  $\mu\tilde{\eta}\tau\iota\nu$ .

<sup>6</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version given by H. of his own text; where he has altered οὐδέν τι λέξαι, found in MS. Farn. (for MS. Med. has οὐδὲν λέξαι) into οὐ λελέξεται. But he has neglected to shew that λελέξεται is used for a future passive, as well as λέξεται.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. alters ὀβρικάλοισι τερπνὰ into ὀβρικάλοις ἔτι τερπνὰ, and takes τερπνὰ in the sense of 'delighted,' not 'delighting.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who says that in the word  $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\nu\theta\tilde{\omega}\nu$ , there is an allusion to the other omen, mentioned by Homer about the bird's nest, destroyed by a serpent; as if after the full description of one augury there would be merely an allusion to another.

Line in Greek Text.		erence to n's Edit.
177. [Although H.retains in the text παλιρρόθοις, yet in the Notes he prefers παλιρροίβδοις, a word used by Oppian in Halieut. V. 220.] page	101	line 18
196,7. μιαίνων παρθενοσφάγοισιν πέλας πατρώους χέρας ρεέθροις. Defiling a father's hands with streams from the murder of his daughter near	102	2
199,200. πῶς λιπόναυς γένωμαι ξυμμαχίας ἁμαρτῶν;  How shall I be deprived of ships, while missing associates?	102	4
202,3,4. παυσανέμου γὰρ θυσίας παρθενίου θ αΐματος αὐ- δὰ περιόργως ἐπιθυμεῖν θέμις. For he (the prophet) says that it is lawful to desire very greedily a sacrifice, wind-staying, and a virgin's blood	102	5
224. [To prevent the hiatus in χέουσα ἔβαλλ', Η. reads χέουσ' ὧδ' ἔβαλλ', and refers κρόκου βαφας, not as Paley does, to the dress for the body, but to that for the head.]	102	21
228,9,30. — ἐπεὶ πολλάκις πατρὸς κατ' ἀνδρῶνας εὐτραπέζους ἔμιχθεν. Since often had they been mixed together in the apartments, well furnished with tables,		
	103	1

<sup>2</sup> So H. by taking λιπόναυς in a passive sense. But the compounds of λείπω are not thus used elsewhere. Still less could ξυμμαχίας ἀμαρ-

των, 'failing in alliance,' be rendered 'missing my associates.'

4 H. alters  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\lambda\psi\epsilon\nu$  into  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\iota\chi\theta\epsilon\nu$ , to which he seems to have been led by finding  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  in MSS. G. and Ald. For, says he, in the time of the Trojan war, young ladies did not amuse their father's guests by singing and playing after dinner was over.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. reads in lieu of ρεέθροις πατρώους χέρας βωμοῦ πέλας, and asserts that βωμοῦ came from some interpreter; while, to equalize the measure, he has given  $^*$ Αργους for  $^*$ Αργείων in the strophé.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of  $\hat{H}$ .; who has adopted  $a\dot{v}\delta\tilde{q}$ , found in MS. Farn. with the Schol.  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\dot{\delta}\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\varsigma$ , and in Med. likewise; where H. reads  $\dot{\delta}\rho\gamma\tilde{q}^*$   $\tau\tilde{\psi}$   $\tau\rho\dot{\delta}\pi\psi$   $\gamma\rho^*$   $a\dot{v}\delta\tilde{q}^*$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\varsigma$   $\delta\eta\lambda o\nu\dot{\delta}\tau\iota$ , in lieu of  $\tau\tilde{\psi}$   $\tau\rho\dot{\delta}\pi\psi$   $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$   $a\dot{v}\delta\tilde{a}$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\varsigma$   $\delta\eta\lambda o\nu\dot{\delta}\tau\iota$ . But how  $\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\varsigma$  could be here understood, we are not informed.

Line in Greek Text. 230. —— ἀταύρωτος	Reference Bohn's E	
	e 103 line	2
235. [H. says the sense is, 'To those, who sacrificed the virgin, justice brings by experience knowledge of the future;' and he asserts that ἐπιρρέπειν is to be taken actively, as in Eum. 875. Οὔτ' ἀν δικαίως τῆδ' ἐπιρρέποις πόλει Μῆνίν τιν'; and in Theognid. 157, Ζεὺς γάρ τοι τὸ τάλαντον ἐπιρρέπει ἄλλοτε ἄλλως. But in the former passage we must read ἐπιρρίπτοις, and in the latter we may read Ζηνὸς γὰρ τὸ τάλαντον—to which μὲν γὰρ in two MSS. seem to lead.]		6
προκλύειν δ' ηλυσιν προχαιρέτω. But to hear beforehand a coming, let it beforehand be bidden farewell. <sup>2</sup>	10 <b>3</b>	7
239. τορὸν γὰρ ἥξει σύνορθρον αὐγαῖς. For it (the event) will come clearly-speaking with the morning-dawn of light. <sup>3</sup>	103	9
240. [Although H. has retained in the text εξπραξις, yet to meet Lobeck's objection, who denies that εῦπραξις is a correct Greek compound, he	3	

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., where  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon \nu o \iota \tau' \hat{a} \nu \eta \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$  is rejected as an explanation. But as those words would explain nothing, H. says more correctly, that there would be nothing to find fault with in Tò  $\pi \rho o \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \iota \tau' \dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \varsigma$ ,  $\pi \rho o \chi a \iota \rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega$ . But in that case, there would be something wanting in the strophé; which it

would require no great talent to supply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. understands ἀταύρωτος, referring to Eurip. Med. 91, είδον ὅμμα νιν ταυρουμένην, and 190, τοκάδος δέργμα λεαίνης ἀποταυροῦται. But though Medea had ample reason for being as savage as a lioness, and of bellowing like a bull, yet to the maiden Iphigenia no such description could be applied, but much rather the sense, indelicate though it be, commonly assigned to ἀταύρωτος.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. adopts Wellauer's σύνορθρον αύγαῖς, where αὐγαῖς is due to H. himself, in the place of συνορθὸν αὐταῖς in three MSS., and σύνορθρον ἀϋταῖς in two. Βν. as there is nothing to answer to the word 'event,' we must still wait for something better than what has been hitherto discovered. For though Dindorf is content with σύνορθρον αὐγαῖς, yet even he has not shown why an event should be said to appear at the dawn of morning, rather than in the middle of the day, or in the evening.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
says that one might read εὖ πρᾶξις, so that εὖ might be referred to πελοιτο. But εὖπραξις, he adds, is defended in Steph. Thes. Græc. ed. Paris, in Εὐθεράπευτος.] page	103 line	9
240,1,2. τόδ' ἄγχιστον 'Απίας ὡς θέλει γαίας μονόφρουρον ἔρκος. As desires this sole-guarding desence just at hand of the Apian land. <sup>1</sup>	103	10
246. [Although H. has adopted in the text εἶ τι κεδνὸν, from the conjecture of Auratus, yet in the Notes he says that εἶτε, found in the MSS., may be defended.]	103	15
261. —— ἄπτερος φάτις An unfledged rumour 2	104	3
274. ——— Μακίστου σκοπῷ Το the sentinel on Macistus <sup>3</sup>	104	16
276. — παρῆκεν ἀγγέλου μέρος. Sent on [its] share of the messenger	104	17
289. [Although H. has adopted Heath's χατίζεσθαι, in lieu of χαρίζεσθαι, yet he has tailed to shew that χατίζεσθαι is ever found in the passive voice. <sup>5</sup> ]		29
291,2. — καὶ Σαρωνικοῦ πορθμοῦ κάτοπτον πρῶν' The promontory conspicuous over the Saronic	-	20
	104	32

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. refers τόδ' ἄγχιστον ἕρκος to Clytemnestra, as Schütz had done long ago.

<sup>2</sup> H. understands by ἄπτερος, 'immature—'

<sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\sigma \kappa o \pi \tilde{\varphi}$  for  $\sigma \kappa o \pi \tilde{a}_{\zeta}$  in MSS., and  $\sigma \kappa o \pi a_{\zeta}$  in Turneb. For the following  $\tilde{o}$  refers to a person, not to a mountain.

So H. with Paley interprets  $\pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ . But as  $\pi \alpha \rho i \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$  never has that meaning, it is evident that Æschylus wrote something else, which it would not be difficult to discover.

Such is the version of H. Paley more closely, 'the promontors that

looks down upon the Saronic frith.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In χαριζεσθαι lies hid χρονίζεσθαι, what J. F. Martin has ingeniously detected, as I learn from Paley's note in his recently published edition of this play; who might however have completed the restoration by reading, "Ωτρυν' ἀθροισμὸν μὴ χρονίζεσθαι πυρός, ' urged the gathering of the fire to be not delayed,' in lieu of <math>"Ωτρυνε θεσμὸν—

Line in Greek Text. 293. ——-ξστ' ξσκηψεν, εὐτ' ἀφίκετ	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
Until it rushed down like a thunderbolt, when	104 line 33
313. παῖδες τεκόντων And children [around] the parents, who begat	
321. [Although H. has in the text &s ἀλήμονες, 'like vagrants;' yet in the Notes he prefers &s δ' ἀδείμονες, 'like persons without fear,' confessing, however, that he has never met with that word elsewhere; and thus, too, after remarking that Schütz had correctly understood &s δυσδαίμονες in the sense of 'unfortunate beings, who have nothing worth guarding'—he has given up his previous &s δὲ δαίμονες, adopted by Dindorf.]	·
326,7. ἔρως δὲ μή τις πρότερον ἐμπίπτη στρατῷ ποθεῖν And let no desire fall previously upon the army	
	105 29
333. τοιαῦτα	106 · 2
336. ——— εὐφρόνως λέγεις. Thou speakest with good thoughts.*	106 6
349. τείνοντα πάλαι τόξον— By bending of old his bow— <sup>5</sup>	106 16
354. ἔπραξαν, ὡς ἔκρανεν. They have done, as he has accomplished. <sup>7</sup>	106 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\epsilon l\tau'$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\eta\psi\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\epsilon l\tau'$   $\dot{\alpha}\phi i\kappa\epsilon\tau o$ . But as the flame had been rushing like a thunderbolt all along, it would hardly be described as doing so now for the first time.

7 Η. reads ἔπραξαν for ἔπραξεν—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. alters γερόντων into τεκόντων, and refers to a fragment of Sophocles, in Etymol. M. p. 803, 5, Προσῆλθε μητρὶ καὶ φυταλμίψ πατρί.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. retains  $\pi o \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$ , adopted by Victorius from MS. Flor. in lieu of  $\pi o \rho \theta \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$  in two other MSS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. adopts Dobree's κλύεις, found subsequently in a MS., for κλύοις.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. retains εὐφρόνως in lieu of ἐμφόνως, suggested by Stanley, whom Dindorf has followed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. retains  $\tau \epsilon i \nu o \nu \tau a$ , in lieu of  $\tau \epsilon i \nu a \nu \tau a$ , suggested by Auratus. and adopted by Dindorf.

Line in Reference to Greek Text. Bohn's Edit. 358-363.] πέφανται δ' έκγόνοις ἀτολμήτως Αρη, πνεόντων μείζον ή δικαίως, φλεόντων δωμάτων ὑπέρφευ, οπερ τὸ βέλτιστον Εστω δ ἀπήμαντον. It has appeared to the descendants of those breathing intolerably a greater spirit of Mars, than is just, while honors are puffed up very much [with wealth]; which thing is indeed the best; but let it be from crime. page 106 line 22 363,4. ---- ώστε κάπαρκείν: εὖ πραπίδων λαχόντα. So that a person having obtained by lot good sense may be sufficient.2 3 369,70, βιαται δ' ά τάλαινα πειθώ, προβουλόπαις ἄφερτος ἄτας. Bold persuasion, the forecounselling and intolerable child of crime, forces [a person on  $].^3$ .... 107 6

<sup>2</sup> Such, I presume, is the intended version of the words of the text, although H. has separated  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\rho\kappa\tilde{\epsilon}i\nu$  by a comma from  $\lambda\alpha\chi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. renders a passage, which he says has been misunderstood by many. But many will perhaps say, that they cannot even now understand it a bit better than they did before.

Line in Greek Text. 372,3. — οὐκ ἐκρύφθη,	Referer Bohn's	
πρέπει δὲ φῶς alvoλαμπὲς, σίνος Mischief is not concealed, but is conspicuous, a sadly-shining light page	107 lin	e 8
375. μελαμπαγής πέλε: δικαιωθείς· Is black, when tested for its value. <sup>2</sup>	107	9
394,5. πάρεστι σιγὰς ἀτίμους ἀλοιδόρους αἴσχιστ' ἀφειμένων ἰδεῖν. One may see silence without honour, without abuse from those, who have been deserted most basely. <sup>3</sup>	107	23
398,9. εὐμόρφων δὲ κολοσσῶν ἔχθεται χάρις ἀνδρί The beauty of well-formed columns is hated by the husband.4	108	3
404-6. μάταν γὰρ, εὖτ' ἄν ἐσθλά τις δοκῶν ὁρᾶν, παραλλαγαῖσι διὰ χερῶν βέβακεν ὄψις οὐ μεθύστερον— For when a person fancies he sees pleasant things, vainly does the image depart by slipping through his hands, not afterwards to return		5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. translates literally the text. But he does not state, what he might have done, that as by 'mischief' is meant the acts of Paris; and as Paris stole Helen away, the poet probably wrote,  $\phi \tilde{\omega} \rho$ ,  $\alpha i \nu o \lambda \alpha \mu \pi i \zeta \sigma i \nu o \zeta$ .

<sup>2</sup> So H. renders literally the text; where it is strange he did not adopt

Blomfield's certain correction, χρυσοῦ for χαλκοῦ.

<sup>4</sup> By  $\kappa o \lambda o \sigma \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu$ , H. understands the pillars of the house, and even the statues, but not of Helen. But why Menelaus should loathe any statues, except those that brought to his recollection his wife, who had eloped

with Paris, H. has not explained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. translates the present text, different from what he had suggested in his book on Metres, p. 432, and in his Notes to Humboldt's German translation; and he says that Orelli on Isocrat. p. 370, and Tafel in Programm. Tubing, 1828, have vainly elicited new readings from σιγᾶς ἄτιμος ἀλοίδορος ὕδιστος ἀφεμένων.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such is the version given by H., who says that μάταν is to be united to βέβακεν; not aware that by such an union the very opposite idea to what he intended, would be conveyed; unless μάταν be taken in the sense of μάταιον, which it never is, nor could be.

Line in Greek Text.		erence to n's Edit.
407. πτεροῦσσ' ὀπαδοῦσ' ὕπνου κελεύθοις.' With wings attending on the paths of sleep.' page	108	line 8
408,9. τὰ μὲν, κατ' οἶκους ἐφ' ἐστίας ἄχη' τὰ δ' ἐστὶ καὶ τῶνδ' ὑπερβατώτερα. Some pains are in the house by the hearth; some too go even beyond these. <sup>2</sup>	108	9
411. [Although H. prefers τλησικάρδιος, yet he confesses that τηξικάρδιος (suggested by Auratus, and confirmed by the gl. in MS. Farn. τὴν καρδίαν τήκουσα) would be better suited to the sense. But as ταλαίφρων, he adds, frequently means 'wretched,' so πένθεια, 'a sorrowing,' might be called τλησικαρδιος, which is a synonyme for ταλαίφρων.]	108	12
437. [Of two interpretations, suggested by H., the following is preferred. 'The angry talk of the people pays the debt of a curse brought to an end by the people.']	109	7
448. [H. on retaining ὅσσοις remarks, that the poet has added that word to shew that he is speaking of persons deprived of eyesight and of life. But how ὅσσοις can be governed by βάλλεται he has not shown; and still less what the loss of eyesight has to do in the case of persons, who are exposed to danger from being spoken of too highly.]	109	15
456,7. ————εἰ δ' ἐτήτυμος τίς οἰδεν, εἴ τι θεῖον ἐστι μὴ ψύθος. But whether true, who knows? unless it be some falsehood from a god. <sup>3</sup>	109	20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In lieu of  $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho o \tilde{\iota} \zeta \delta \pi \alpha \delta o \tilde{\iota} \zeta$ , which H. confesses may be explained, he has given  $\pi \epsilon \rho o \tilde{\iota} \sigma \sigma' \delta \pi \alpha \delta o \tilde{\iota} \sigma'$ . But nothing seems to be gained by the change.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. adopts Halm's punctuation: Τὰ μὲν . . . ἄχη· Τὰ δ' ἐστὶ—
 <sup>3</sup> H. adopts ἐτήτυμος from Auratus, and reads from his own conjecture, εἴ τι for ἥ τοι.

Line in Greek Text. 464,5. πιθανός ἄγαν ὁ θηλυς ὅρος ἐπινέμεται	Reference Bohn's E	
ταχύπορος. The female decree very credulous ranges with	10 <b>9 line</b>	24
466. γυναικογήρυτονκλέος A renown bruited by women.*	109	25
467. [H. continues these senarians to the Chorus, as Scaliger was the first to point out. But such a long speech is never put into the mouth of the Choregus. Moreover a line has been evidently lost here, which it would be easy to supply, spoken by Clytemnestra.]		
470,1. — εἶτ' ὀνειράτων δίκην τερπνὸν τόδ' ἐλθὸν φῶς— Or this light coming after the manner of dreams to delight—	110	3
474. ως οῦτ' ἄναυδος οῦτε του δαίων φλόγα— That neither without a voice, nor lighting a flame by anything	110	7
489. — παρὰ Σκάμανδρον ἦσθ'— By Scamander didst thou come <sup>5</sup>	110	23
490. νῦν δ' αὖτε σωτὴρ ἴσθι καὶ παιώνιος But now in turn know thyself a saviour and a healer.*	110	24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. renders ὅρος, which he refers to the decree, issued by Clytemnestra, to make sacrifices in the city for the fall of Troy. But as ὅρος never has such a meaning elsewhere, the true interpretation of the passage, if sound, and its correction, if not, is still to be discovered.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts, as Klausen had done, γυναικογήρυτον, furnished by two MSS. in lieu of γυναικοκήρυκτον.

<sup>3</sup> So H. renders τερπνον—

5 H. reads οὖτε του for οὖτε σοὶ—

<sup>4</sup> In lieu of  $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\varsigma$  H. reads  $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta$ , not  $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\theta$ , as found in Marg. Ask.,

and refers to Elmsley in the Classical Journal No. 17, p. 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. adopts  $\kappa a i \pi a i \omega \nu i o \zeta$ , as suggested first by Ashbridge, a friend of Dobree, not by Dobree himself, to whom H. attributes the correction; which he remarks, is almost confirmed by  $\kappa a i \pi a \gamma \omega \nu i o \zeta$  in MS. Flor. But as  $i \sigma \theta i$  would require  $\omega \nu$ , it is evident that we must read  $\omega \nu \tau \varepsilon$  in lieu of  $a \tilde{\nu} \tau \varepsilon$ —

Line in Greek Text.		<b>-</b>			Reference Bohn's Ed	
503. [Although δικηφόρο δικηφόρο	ov, yet in th			rs tŷ	111 <i>line</i>	2
lation fr have do desert t case of	H. retains a proposed to propo	o omit, a ; and so not been of MSS.; le author	s an int would H unwilling as if in	terpo- lerm. ng to n the SS. is	111	3
knew, vecompout of the strefers to nothing the nothin		red αὐτός hers do etain the n the obl ralipom. to be four lish scho as I cor uarterly  τρῶον ἐθἰς and fathe things a led Plan ai οἰκον κ Ovid, 'Τ who dou γα πῆμα α Paris in n Ιλ. Ζ. α εγαλήτορι	not, that terminate terminate ique case p. 202; and to galar; who rected in Review, where there is there is there is leady quanto, Leggla πόλιν le patrial btless remóληί τε Ιλ. Γ. 50 276. "Ασέκνα: and τοῦό τε πόλος τοῦό τε ποῦς τοῦς τοῦς τοῦς τοῦς τοῦς τοῦς τοῦς τ	t the ations es, H. where insay o pro- n the Vol. 7, ov, e de- abun- noted, g. iv. apony mque mem- mavri ), and tru re d 283, auoiv;		
	αν ποτ ολωλι ἐϋμμελίω Πριά	:	ρη Και 11 <sub>1</sub> 		111	11
which,	ord åµáρτιον i ect Greek, H he says, is tl ría, to be rei	. has ed he contra	ited <i>0åµ</i> icted du	αρτία, al for		
κλοπήν.	•	••••	••••		111	I3

Line in Greek Text.			rence to n's Edit.
517. [To avoid the lengthening of the penu τεθνᾶναι, which never takes place in Greek, H. would read: Χαίρω τε τεθνάναι δ' οὖκ ἔτ' ἀντερῶ	page	111 8	line 15
or, what he deemed preferable: Χαίρω θεοΐσι τεθνάναι δ'οὐκ ἀντε	<b>ှစ်</b>		
523. πόθεν τὸ δύσφρον τοῦτ' ἐπῆν στίγος φρει From whence has arisen this ill-feeling	νῶν; g of hate		
in [your] mind ? <sup>1</sup>	-	111	25
534. σπαρνὰς παρήξεις Rare arrivals—2	••••	118	8
534,5. — τί δ' οὐ			
στένοντες, οὐ κλαίοντες, ήματος μέρος; In what part of the day were we not	groaning		
[and] weeping? <sup>2</sup>	••••	112	9
538. ——γη̂ς λειμωνίας Of the meadowy land 4	••••	122	13
539,40. ἐσθημάτων τιθέντες ἔνθηρον τρίχα. Causing the hair [of men] with wild an			= 4
it [to be] a firm destruction of garme	ents.	112	14
546,7. παροίχεται δὲ τοῖσι μὲν τεθνηκόσιν τὸ μήποτ' αὖθις μηδ' ἀναστῆναι μέλειν. And it has passed by for the dead [to c	omplain]		

<sup>1</sup> H. after Emper has converted  $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \tilde{\psi}$  into  $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ —a conversion too violent to be admitted for a moment.

3 H. adopts Stanley's οὐ κλαίοντες in lieu of οὐ λαχόντες—

4 H. adopts with Blomf. Schütz's λειμωνίας.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. understands with Schütz παρήξεις. But there is not, and there could not be, such a word as  $\pi i \rho \eta \xi_{i} \zeta_{i}$ . For all words ending in — $\xi_{i} \zeta_{i}$ , are derived from the 2d pers. sing. of the perf. pass. Now as  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega$  has no perf. pass., there could be no such derivative as \( \beta \) \( \text{I. refers indeed to} \) ήξις, furnished by Antiatticist. Bekker. p. 99, 14, in Eurip. Tro. 396. But the grammarian had evidently a faulty MS. or else he supposed that  $\dot{\eta}$  lie could be contracted in  $\dot{\eta}$  i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who unites τιθέντες with  $\delta \rho \delta \sigma \sigma_{i}$ , because the poet, he says, was thinking of  $\ddot{\sigma} \mu \beta \rho \sigma_{i}$ . But though  $\ddot{o}\mu\beta\rho\sigma$  (showers) fall from the sky, they do not, like dew, rise from the earth. He applies likewise τρίχα to the hair of the troops, referring to Soph. Aj. 1207, where the Chorus speak of their lying with their hair wet with dew near the tent of their leader.

Line in Greek Text						Referen Bohn's	
	and, even if again.1	it were co	nceded, to	wish to		112 <i>lin</i>	e 19
	7. Τροίαν έλόν θεοῖς λάφυρ δόμοις έπασ The expedition at one time f gods, who as long-lasting	oa ταῦτα το σάλευσαν 1 of the A1 Γroy, naile re in Gree	ρίς καθ Έλ ἀρχαίον γά gives has, ed up these	λάδα νος. after ta spoils t	o the	112	27
•	τοιαῦτα χρή κ καὶ τοὺς στρο Such things i glorify both	τηγούς— t behoves	a city or		_	112	29
	δόμοις δὲ ταῦ εἰκὸς μάλιστο of these things and Clytem enrich me w	ı, σὺν δὲ π s it is mos nestra to	λουτίζειν έ t reasonab have a c	μέ. ole for h		112	34

<sup>1</sup> So H. would fill out the sense of the passage, which, from its brevity, he says, is rather obscure. But had Æschylus meant so to express himself, he would probably have written something to this effect:

Παροίχεται δὲ τοῖσι μὲν τεθνηκόσιν Τὸ μήποτ', εἰ θεοὶ δοῖεν, ἀνστῆναι θέλειν.

in English,

From the dead has pass'd by e'en the wish to rise Again, should so gods grant.

instead of Τὸ μήποτ' αὖθις μηδ' άναστῆναι μέλειν.

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal and scarcely intelligible version of the text of H., who takes both here and on Soph. Œd. C. 1632, ἀρχαῖον in the sense

'long-lasting,' a meaning that word never bears, nor could bear.

<sup>3</sup> So H. unites  $\kappa\lambda\dot{\nu}\nu\tau\alpha\zeta$  with  $\pi\dot{\nu}\lambda\nu$ , by a violation of syntax, in which, he says, the poet was permitted to indulge, when he put words into the mouth of a person in humble life; and hence too he asserts that, instead of  $\tau\dot{\nu}\nu\Delta\dot{\nu}$ , the periphrasis  $\kappa\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\nu}$ 

4 These utterly unintelligible words H. thus attempts to explain. 'It becomes Clytemnestra to examine most accurately each of these matters, and at the same time to enrich me with them,' i. e. 'to suffer me to be a partaker in the narration.' But as the Chorus had heard already the speech of the Herald, there could be no reason for their bidding Clytemnestra to examine into the matters brought before her; and still less, to communicate the result of her researches; for they were quite as competent as she was, to draw a correct conclusion from the narrative.

Line in

Reference to

Bohn's Edit. Greek Text. 571. [Although H. has altered nothing in the text. yet in the Notes he still adheres to the opinion promulgated many years ago, and to be found in Opuscul. II. p. 84, that after έφαινόμην has dropt out a verse, preserved by the author of Χριστὸς Πάσχων, v. 75, Πεισθείσα τῷ φέροντι θέσκελον φάτιν. For though it is true, as remarked by Blomfield, that θέσκελος is not to be found at present in dramatic Greek, yet, says H., as it is in the Homeric poems, it might have been adopted by Æschylus, a lover of antiquated words, and taken in its sense of something 'wonderful,' or 'incredible.' page 113 line 10 575. [H. remarks that the author of  $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau$ .  $\Pi a\sigma\chi$ . seems in lieu of κοιμώντες to have found φέροντες; for his verse is, Θυηφάγον φέρουσά τ' εὐωδη φλόγα, but that, unless something has been lost, he should prefer κοιῶντες, referring to Hesych. in Κοιῶται, Κοιώσατο, derived from Κοίης, explained by ίερεὺς Καβείρων, ὁ καθαίρων φόνον οἱ δὲ κόης: of which another form is Kοιόλης. But as he has failed to produce a single passage, where koiav is found in the active, the alteration may be dismissed as untenable, and κινοῦντες substituted in the place of κοιμώντες; which it is strange that neither he, nor Casaubon, who had suggested kaiovtes, should have stumbled upon. 213 15 578,9. οπως δέξασθαισπεύσω But [let me see] that I may hasten to receive 17 **579–582.** • <del>-</del>τί γὰρ γυναικί τουτου φέγγος ήδιον δρακείν, από στρατείας ανδρα σώσαντος θεοῦ, πύλας άνοιξαι For what daylight is more agreeable for a wife to behold than this, when after a deity has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. unites  $\delta\pi\omega\zeta$   $\sigma\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\omega$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\xi\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ , referring for the ellipse before  $\delta\pi\omega\zeta$  to Porson on Hec. 398. But the doctrine there promulgated has been long since disproved by competent critics.

Line in Greek Text.  preserved her husband from an expedition,	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
to open the gate—1 page	
584. [On the words ἐνδόμοις εὖροι, where Schütz suggested ἔνδον εὑρήσει, H. says that Matthiæ in Miscell. Philolog. II. p. 54, has correctly remarked that the optative is required by the 'oratio obliqua. By why the 'oratio obliqua' should require words perfectly	
589,90. οὐκ οἶδα τέρψιν οὐδ' ἐπίψογον φάτιν ἄλλου πρὸς ἀνδρὸς μᾶλλον ἢ χαλκοῦ βαφάς. I have not known a pleasure nor the voice of blame from another man more than the	
8	113 27
591,2. [This distich, commonly attributed to the Herald, is assigned by H. to Clytemnestra.]	114 1
593,4. αὕτη μὲν οὕτως εἶπε μανθάνοντι σοὶ τοροῖσιν έρμηνεῦσιν εὐπρεπῶς λόγον.  She has spoken thus a speech in a specious manner to you learning from clear interpreters.4	114 5
596,7. εἰ νόστιμός τε	
Whether will he come both returning with	
you³	114 6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., which I confess I cannot understand.

<sup>3</sup> So H. by taking  $\epsilon i$  in the sense of 'whether,' and reading  $\tau \epsilon$  for  $\gamma \epsilon$ , as Paley (whose name however is not mentioned) had already edited.

Well has she told a tale to thee—thus much Learn thou—but strangely before those, who could Act truly as interpreters

## In Greek,-

Αὕτη μὲν εὖ σοί γ' εἶπε—μάνθαν' οὖν τόσον—Τοροῖσι δ' ἑρμηνεὖσιν ἐκτρόπως λόγον—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. applies  $\chi a \lambda \kappa o \tilde{v}$   $\beta a \phi \tilde{a} \zeta$  to the staining of steel by blood. But even if all mention of blood could be omitted here, still H. should have shewn how this comparison is suited to the case of Clytemnestra, and what in fact she meant to say.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H., who says that the Chorus are speaking ironically. But on a person, who knew nothing of the real facts, the irony would be lost. What the sense evidently requires is something to this effect:—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
598,9. οὖκ ἔσθ ὅπως λέξαιμι τὰ ψευδῆ καλὰ ἐς τὸν πολὺν φίλοισι καρποῦσθαι χρόνον.  It is not for me to tell talsehoods as good things, in order that friends may be gratified for a long time.¹ page	114 <i>line</i>	8
615. — χωρὶς ἡ τιμὴ θεῶν The reward is apart from the gods. <sup>2</sup>	115	2
618. πόλει μὲν ἔλκος ἐν τὸ δήμιον τυχεῖν— There is one sore to the state, namely, that the masses meet with it <sup>2</sup>	115	4
622. [Although H. retains in the text Schütz's σεσαγμένων, yet in the Notes he doubts whether σεσαγμένω ought not to be preferred.]		
625. —— 'Aχαιοῖς οὐκ ἀμήνιτον θεῶν—  Not without anger from the gods towards the Achæans. ·	115	12
631. [Although H. has altered nothing in the text, yet in the Notes he would unite Έν νυκτί with the sentence preceding.]		
635. — ποιμένος κακοστρόβου.  The shepherd being with an evil whirlwind.5	115	19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. renders this passage. But in the first place οὖκ ἔσθ΄ ὅπως λέξαιμι would not be correct Greek without âν, as I have shewn on Prom. 299; nor secondly, could τὸν πολὺν χρόνον mean 'a long time;' for then the article would be omitted; nor lastly, could καρποῦσθαι be found here without ὥστε to govern it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. renders  $\chi\omega\rho i\zeta$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\theta\epsilon\tilde{\omega}\nu$ , which means, he says, that 'præmium accipit malorum in re læta nuncius tale, cui non favent dii;' words which I have left in their original Latin, because I do not know what sense they were intended to convey.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. renders this passage, but without shewing how it bears upon what either precedes or follows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. reads, as first suggested by Blomf., and subsequently by Dobree, and afterwards by Paley, in lieu of 'Αχαιῶν.........θεοῖς—

<sup>5</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\pi o\iota \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o\varsigma \kappa a\kappa o\~{v}$   $\sigma \tau \rho \acute{o}\beta \omega$ , referring  $\pi o\iota \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o\varsigma$  to the storm. But since amongst the ancients the shepherds led their flocks, instead of following them, as they do at present, a storm, that drives vessels before it, and does not go before them, could not be called a shepherd.

Line in Greek Text. 640. — η 'ξηρήσατο		Reference Bohn's F	
	ge	115 line	23
642. [Although H. has retained ναῦν θέλουσ' in text, yet in the Notes he prefers ναυστολοῦς the conjecture of Casaubon.]	σ',	115	24
643. ὡς μήτ' ἐν ὅρμῷ κύματος ζάλην ἔχειν, μήτ' ἐξοκεῖλαι πρὸς κραταίλεων χθόνα. So that the ship may neither in port me with the swell of the wave (so as to preven a landing and to cause it to be carried bacto sea), nor be struck against the hard as stony ground.²	nt ck nd	115	24
651. ἡμεῖς δ' ἐκείνους ταῦτ' ἔχειν δοξάζομεν. We think they have this fate. <sup>3</sup>	••••	115	31
655. χλωρόν τε καὶ βλέποντα In vigour and alive	••••	116	3
659. [Although H. retains ἀνόμαζεν in the text which he renders 'he began to name,' yet the Notes he prefers ἀνόμαξεν—]	iń	116	8
667. [H. retains ἁβροτίμων in the text, although confesses in the Notes that ἁβροπήνων, the conjecture of Salmasius, is very approximations.]	he		
		116	13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads ἐξηρήσατο instead of ἐξητήσατο, and refers to Aristoph. Thesm. 760: Τίς τὴν ἀγαπητὴν παῖδα σοῦ 'ξηρήσατο. But as ἐξηρήσατο is not a Greek word, as shewn by Lobeck on Phrynichus, p. 718, we must reject equally the alterations suggested here by Herm. and by Lobeck and Fritzsche in Aristophanes, who evidently wrote 'ξηγρεύσατο—i.e. 'has made a capture of '—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. interprets the text. But as there is nothing in the Greek to answer to the words between the lunes, we must adopt Bothe's ' $\Omega_{\zeta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau$ '  $\tilde{a} \nu o \rho \mu o \nu$ —in lieu of ' $\Omega_{\zeta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau$ '  $\tilde{a} \nu \ddot{o} \rho \mu \phi$ .—For thus there will be a proper distinction between the open sea without a port, and a rock-girt coast.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. retains  $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau'$ , in lieu of  $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau'$  correctly suggested by Stanley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. adopts the gl. in Hesych.  $X\lambda\omega\rho\delta\nu$  τε καὶ  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\delta\nu\tau\alpha$ , ἀντὶ τοῦ  $\zeta\omega\nu\tau\alpha$ , which Toup wished to refer to this passage. But as Menelaus was no longer  $\chi\lambda\omega\rho\delta\varsigma$ , a word applicable only to youth, H. has translated it 'in health,' or 'in vigour;' but was of course unable to produce a single passage to support that novel meaning.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	-
671,2. κατ' ίχνος πλάταν ἄφαντον κελσάντων—  In the track of those, who brought their unseen barks—1 page 1	l16 line	15
681. [Although H. retains τίοντας in the text, yet in the Notes he thinks Æschylus wrote τίνοντας: for τίειν means 'to honour;' but τίνειν 'to pay the debt of punishment:' while he renders ἐκφάτως, 'to be spoken of immeasurably.']	116	20
682. [Here too H. has not altered the text; but in the Notes he would read ois τότ ἐπέρρεπεν γαμβροῖσιν ἀείδειν, 'upon whom it then fell, as cousins, to sing the bridal song.']	116	21
685. [In lieu of γεραιὰ retained in the text, H. in the Notes prefers γεραιοῦ suggested by Auratus; although Stauley had compared 'regnum Priami vetus,' in Horace]	116	22
686,7,8. — κικλήσκου- σα Πάριν τὸν αἰνόλεκτρον, παμπορθη, πολύθρηνον αἰ- ῶνα— . Calling Paris the ill-wedded, the all-destroyer,		
the much lamenting age—2 689,90. ——φίλον πολιτῶν μέλεον αἷμ' ἀνατλῶσα.	116	15
Having endured the dear and wretched blood of citizens. <sup>2</sup>	116	24

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts Wellauer's notion that κελσάντων is to be referred to Paris and Helen. But in that case κυναγοὶ would want its verb, unless it be said that ἔπλευσαν is to be got out of ἔπλευσεν.

<sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has omitted  $\dot{a}\mu\phi\dot{a}$  before  $\pi o\lambda\iota\tau\tilde{a}\nu$ , on the authority of the Scholiast; while he says that the meaning of the passage, as altered, has been given in Humboldt's German translation; which, as appears from Wellauer's Latin version of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. has adopted what he considered the true correction of Seidler. But how Paris could be called  $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \theta \rho \eta \nu o c$  aiw neither Seidler nor Hermann have shewn, nor can I discover. Perhaps, however, it will be said that  $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \theta \rho \eta \nu o \nu$  aiw  $\nu a$  means, 'through a much-lamenting period of time,' with the ellipse of  $\delta i \dot{a}$ ; an ellipse, that could hardly be admitted here, where so many accusatives are found in juxta-position.

Line in Greek Text. 704. μηλοφόνοισιν ἄγαισιν	Referenc Bohn's E	
	: 117 lin	e 8
716. παρακλίνασ' Declining on one side <sup>2</sup>	117	15
736,7. — ἔστ' ἀν ἐπὶ τὸ κύριον μόλη νεᾶ ῥαφᾶ Until it arrives at a decisive [day] with a new suture <sup>3</sup>	117	29
738,9. δαίμονα τὰν ἄμαχον, ἀπόλεμον, ἀνίερον θράσος— A deity that is not to be fought with, not to be warred against, unholy Daring—4	118	1
746. [H., who once suggested προσέβαλε in lieu of προσέβα τοῦ, has edited προσέμολε, 'is gone to,' as being more simple and forcible.]	118	5
748. [On the words πῶν ἔπι τέρμα νωμᾳ, H. has written a note which I must leave for others to understand; I cannot.]		
751. [Although H. retains σεβίζω in the text, yet in Notes he prefers σεβίζω, 'shall I honour,' found in MS. Flor.]	118	10

it,' is 'complaining on account of the loss of life and blood of the citizens.' But how  $\dot{a}\nu a\tau\lambda\tilde{a}\sigma a$  could be rendered 'complaining,' Wellauer could not discover, nor can I.

<sup>1</sup> So H. renders his newly-coined word  $\ddot{u}\gamma\eta$ , which he distinguishes

from  $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\eta$ , 'a thing of wonder.'

<sup>2</sup> So H. renders  $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda i \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha$ , and explains it by 'departing from the former road.' But what was the former road, from which Helen had

departed, he has not, nor probably could have, told.

3 Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has substituted, τότ', ἔστ' ἂν ἐπὶ τὸ κύριον μόλη νεᾳ ῥαφᾳ in the place of τόθ' ὅταν τὸ κύριον μόλη νεαρὰ φάους. And he has thus rejected νέον φύει σκότον, what he first suggested, and νεορραφῆ σκότον, communicated to Seidler, and νεαροφυῆ σκότον to Humboldt.

<sup>4</sup> H. omits κότον, which he says is a gl. for δαίμονα τὰν ἄμαχον, and reads τὰν for τὸν, asserting that as θράσος "Ατας is the same as θρασεῖαν "Αταν, the feminine εἰδομέναν may agree with the neuter θράσος. But this doctrine appears to me totally at variance with correct

Greek.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bokw's E	
760. [After this verse, H. has marked the supposed loss of a paræmiac—Δυσαρεσκόμενοι γελάσαντι, 'displeased with a person laughing;' where δυσαρεσκόμενοι he conceives has been preserved by Hesychius, although he is aware that such a compound would be an anomaly in correct Greek.] page	118 line	17
766. — οὐκ ἐπικεύσω I will not conceal—¹	118	21
769,70. θάρσος ξκούσιον ἀνδράσι θνήσκουσι κομίζων. In carrying [to Troy] a willing boldness to men willing to die. <sup>2</sup>	118	23
772. [As I cannot understand the Latin note of H., I will give it in its original form, where he is explaining the words, εῦφρων πόνος εὖ τελέσασιν.—Εst πόνος εὖφρων 'acceptus:' εὖ τελέσασιν autem est: 'per eos, qui perfecerunt.']	118	24
775. [H. has marked the supposed loss of a monometer, which he thinks might have been—Σοῦ ἀφεστῶτος, 'when you where absent—]	119	3
784,5.   τῷ δ' ἐναντίω κύτει  ἐλπὶς προσήει χρεῖος οὐ πληρουμένω  And to the opposite urn not filled came indigent Hope—3	119	9

<sup>2</sup> So H. renders his own text— $\theta \acute{a}\rho \sigma o c$   $\acute{\epsilon} \kappa o \acute{\nu} \sigma i o \nu$   $\acute{a}\nu \delta \rho \acute{a}\sigma i$   $\theta \nu \acute{\eta} \sigma \kappa o \nu \sigma i$   $\kappa o \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ , where  $\theta \acute{a}\rho \sigma o c$   $\acute{\epsilon} \kappa o \acute{\nu} \sigma i o \nu$  is due to MS. Farn. But why he should have introduced the words 'to Troy,' for which there is nothing in the Greek, he does not say.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\gamma}\epsilon\iota$   $\chi\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\varsigma$  in lieu of  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\gamma}\epsilon\iota$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\delta}\varsigma$ —Now, though  $\chi\rho\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\varsigma$  is a word found once in Æschylus in the sense of 'indigent,' yet here it would be perfectly unintelligible, unless it were told, of what thing Expectation was in want. Moreover, although both  $\ddot{\eta}\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$  and  $\ddot{\epsilon}\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  are united to the dative of a person, yet  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\iota\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\iota$  could not be so united to the dative of a thing. Of this fact no critic seems to have been aware; and hence, while Paley has properly admitted  $\chi\epsilon\iota\lambda\sigma\varsigma$ , the

<sup>1</sup> H. omits γὰρ before ἐπικεύσω. But it would have been much better to read οὐ γάρ σέ τι κεύσω: where σε is due to Musgrave; while κιύσω would have its two accusatives, as usual.

Line in Greek Text.			ence to 's Edit.
786. "Ατης θυηλαὶ ζῶσ The sacrifices of Calamity are alive—1	page	119 %	ine 11
788,9. πάγας ὑπερκότους εφραξάμεσθα And we have placed around ourselves	the		
stake-nets of great anger."	••••	119	15
800. — ἄνευ φθόνων	••••	119	43
802. [Although H. retains νόσον in the text, ye the Notes he prefers νόσου, the conjectu Auratus, so that ἄχθος νόσου may be un and ίδν be understood after τῷ πεπαμένφ	re of ited,	119	24
817. πειρασόμεσθα πήματος τρέψαι νόσον. We will endeavour to turn [aside] the disc of an evil		120	11
845. [H. has edited πιστωμάτων for πιστευμα which, he says, is scarcely to be found			

poetical and indisputable correction of Casaubon, neither he, nor any one else, has seen that Æshylus wrote—

1 H. reads θυηλαί for θύελλαι, and refers to Soph. El. 1421. φοινία δὲ

χείρ στάζει θυηλης "Αρεος.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads  $i\phi\rho\alpha\xi\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$ , after Paley, whose name however is not mentioned, in lieu of  $i\pi\rho\alpha\xi\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$ . But neither of those scholars seem to have perceived, that if the Greeks placed stake-nets around themselves, they would rather be caught themselves than catch their enemies. The real difficulty of the passage lies in  $i\pi\epsilon(\pi\epsilon\rho)$   $\kappa\alpha$ , and  $i\pi\epsilon\rho\kappa\dot{\alpha}\tau \sigma \nu c$ , which it would not require much talent to overcome.

<sup>3</sup> In lieu of  $\phi\theta\dot{\phi}\nu\sigma\nu$  H. has adopted  $\phi\theta\dot{\phi}\nu\omega\nu$  found in MS. Flor., and

confirmed it by ἄνευ φθόνων, in Plato, Legg. VII. p. 801. E.

<sup>4</sup> H. retains  $\pi \eta \mu a \tau o \varsigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi a \iota \nu \dot{\delta} \sigma o \nu$ , against  $\pi \tilde{\eta} \mu^{\dot{\iota}} \dot{a} \pi o \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi a \iota \nu \dot{\delta} \sigma o \nu$ , as suggested by Porson, and adopted by nearly all subsequent critics; not one of whom has seen that the dramatist evidently wrote,

Πειρασόμεσθ' ἄκεσμ' ἐπιστρέψαι νόσον 'Gainst the disorder we will try to turn A remedy.

For the idea of a remedy could not be omitted here.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ko	
where; whereas Æschylus uses πιστώματα in Eum. 213.] page	121 <i>line</i>	3
853. τοιάδε μέν τις— Some such pretext—¹	121	10
864. λέγοιμ' ἃν ἄνδρα τόνδε βουστάθυων κύνα— I will call this man a dog of an ox-stall—•	121	19
867,8. γαληνὸν ήμαρ εἰσιδεῖν ἐκ χείματος καὶ γῆν φανεῖσαν ναυτίλοις παρ' ἐλπίδα.  A day of calm to be seen after a storm, and land beheld by sailors contrary to expectation. <sup>3</sup>	121	<b>2</b> 1
875. [Although H. retains τέλος in the text, in the in the Notes he prefers τάδε, found in MS. Farn. For he might have said that τέλος would require the article.]	122	6
900. ηὖξω θεοῖς δείσασαν ὧδ' ἔρδειν τάδε;  Hast thou prayed to the gods that I having feared am doing these things thus?	123	1
909. ἢ οὐ καὶ σὺ νίκην τήνδε δήριος τίεις; Do not you too honour this victory in a contest? <sup>5</sup>	123	17
911,12 ὑπαί τις ἀρβύλας λύοι τάχος, πρόδουλον ἔμβασιν ποδός Let some one loosen quickly the shoe-latchets,		

1 So H. in the Notes, where he prefers Τοιάδε μέν τις—to Τοιάδε μέντοι.

<sup>3</sup> H. transposes the verses, as first suggested by Butler to his pupil Peile, and reads  $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \delta \nu$  for  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ ; while  $\gamma \alpha \lambda \eta \nu \delta \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \chi \epsilon \dot{\iota} \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$  is compared with  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu - \gamma \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu'$   $\dot{\delta} \rho \tilde{\omega}$ , in Eurip. Or. 279.

4 So H. by changing δείσας αν into δείσασαν—But what he under-

stood by the whole verse, he does not state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads βουστάθμων for τῶν σταθμῶν, where he has properly objected to the article. But while Clytemnestra is seemingly speaking of Agamemuon, she is really thinking of Ægisthus; hence there is an error in ἄνδρα τὸνδε τῶν—which may be easily corrected, by reading Λέγοιμ' ἀν ἄνδρ' ἔτ' ὅντα σῶν σταθμῶν κύνα, 'I will call a man, still safe, a dog of a fold.' On the loss or corruption of σῶν 'safe,' see my Poppo's Prolegomena, p. 304.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H.  $\dot{\eta}$  où  $\kappa \alpha i$  où, in lieu of  $\ddot{y}$   $\kappa \alpha i$  où—Franz, too, has suggested  $\ddot{\eta}$  où—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's l	-
that are the treading of the foot in the place of a slave. <sup>1</sup> page	123 line	:19
913,16. καὶ τοῖσδέ μ' ἐμβαίνονθ' ἁλουργέσιν θεῶν μή τις πρόσωθεν ὅμματος βάλοι φθόνος. πολλὴ γὰρ αἰδὼς δωματοφθορεῖν ποσὶν στείβοντα πλοῦτον		
And may no envy from the eye of the gods strike me at a distance while walking in these purple-dyed dresses. For there is much shame in me against destroying a house by walking [upon] wealth—2	123	22
928. οἶκος δ' ὑπάρχει τῶνδε σὺν θεοῖς, ἄναξ, ἔχειν—  There is a house, which by the favor of the gods, O king, has enough of these things—3	123	33
932. — μηχανωμένη Το [me] planning—	123	37
936. θάλπος μὲν ἐν χειμῶνι σημαίνεις μολόν— You indicate heat coming in winter— <sup>5</sup> 946–8. οὐδ' ἀποπτύσας	124	2
θάρσος εὐπιθὲς τζει. Nor does a person rejecting sit a well-trusting boldness—6	124	14

<sup>1</sup> Such is the literal and to myself the unintelligible version of the words  $\pi\rho\delta\delta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\nu$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\sigma\nu\nu$   $\pi\sigma\delta\delta$ , which H. attempts to explain, by saying that shoes are called, as it were, 'the slaves of the foot.'

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\kappa \alpha i \tau \delta i \delta \delta \epsilon \mu$ , from MS. Flor., and retains  $\mu \dot{\eta} .......\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \delta \iota$ , as expressive of a wish; and he reads  $\delta \tau \epsilon i \beta \delta \nu \tau a$  in lieu of  $\delta \theta \epsilon i \delta \delta \nu \tau a$ , which, he says, could hardly thus follow  $\delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \delta \theta \delta \delta \epsilon i \nu$ , the conjecture

of Schütz for σωματοφθορείν.

<sup>3</sup> So H. understands the words of the text, which mean literally, 'A house begins to have of these with the gods, O king.' But as Porson was here quite in the dark, he suggested  $Oi\kappa oig$ —by which however nothing is gained, unless we read "all for  $\~all$  'all for  $\~all$  'all 'all

4 H. adopts Franz's μηχανωμένη for μηχανωμένης—But as both the genitive and dative are equally without regimen, he should have preferred

Stanley's μηχανωμένη, to agree with εὐξάμην.

<sup>5</sup> In lieu of  $\mu o \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ , H. has  $\mu o \lambda \dot{o} \nu$ , as suggested by H. Voss in Cur.

Æschyl. p. 26, and Blomf.

Such is the literal version of the text of H., who retains ἀποπτύσας, and rejects ἀποπτύσαν, the conjecture of Casaubon, adopted by Paux,

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
950-3. χρόνος δέ τοι πρυμνησίων ξὺν ἐμβολαῖς ψαμμίας ἀκάτας παρήβησεν Time has passed by from its youth with the throwing of the cables from the vessel on the sea-sand <sup>1</sup> page	124 line	16
965-7. εῦχομαι δ' ἀπ' ἐμᾶς τὸ πᾶν ἐλπίδος ψύθη πεσεῖν ἐς τὸ μὴ τελεσφόρον  But I pray that false things may fall altogether far from my expectation to a non-consummation <sup>2</sup>	124	24
968-70. μάλα γέ τοι τὸ πολέος γ' ὑγιΐας ἀκόρευτον τέρμα' νόσος γὰρ ἀεὶ γείτων ὁμότοιχος ἐρείδει.  The limit of much health is very insatiable.  For disease, ever a neighbour at a wall hard	104	90
by, presses	124	26
And much giving would have destroyed 4	125	7

Porson, and Blomf., because he says, they did not understand the change of construction; where, as shewn by Wellauer, H. intended  $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\pi \tau \dot{\nu}\sigma a\varsigma$  to be taken for a nominative absolute.

<sup>2</sup> So H. by altering  $\tau o \iota$  into  $\tau o \pi \tilde{a} \nu$ —He should have suggested  $\pi o \tau'$   $\tilde{a} \nu$ —for  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$  without  $\tilde{a} \nu$  could not follow  $\epsilon \tilde{v} \chi o \mu a \iota$  in a future sense.

4 So H. reads in lieu of πολλά τοι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has altered  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\sigma\varsigma$  δ' ἐπεὶ into  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\sigma\varsigma$  δέ τοι, and ξυνεμβόλοις into ξὺν ἐμβολαῖς, and has taken ἀκάτας as the genitive of ἀκάτη—a form never found, except in a corrupt passage in the MS. Pal. Antholog. x. 9. 2; where, however, ἀκάταν has been corrected into ἄκατον by Huschke and Jacobs. Ahrens, too, has  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\sigma\varsigma$  δέ τοι.......ξὺν ἐμβολαῖς, but without stating whether the reading is his own or Hermann's.

<sup>3</sup> So H. reads in lieu of μάλα γάρ τοι τᾶς πολλᾶς ὑγιείας, by inserting ἀεὶ, the conjecture of Blomf., after νόσος γὰρ—as if πολέος could be used in dramatic Greek for πολλᾶς, and ὑγιτας for ὑγιείας, and γε thus repeated in the same sentence; and as if ἐρείδει could dispense with its object. And yet how easy was it to restore Μάλα γάρ ἐστι δαψιλοῦς ὑγιείας ἀχάριστον χάρμα νόσος γὰρ γείτων ὁμότοιχον ἐρείδει; i. e. the joy of abundant health is very joyless; for disease presses close, a neighbour upon a neighbour: where ἀχάριστον is due to Schütz, adopted by Bothe. in ed. 2.

Line in Greek Text. 984,5. Ζεὺς δὲ τὸν ὀρθοδαῆ τῶν Φθιμένων ἀνάγειν ἔπαυσεν.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
And Zeus hath caused to cease him, who was		
skilled in bringing back the dead. page	125 line	10
989,90. προφθάσασα καρδίαν γλώσσα πάντ' ἃν ἐξέχει. [My] tongue, having anticipated [my] thoughts, would have poured out all.*	125	13
1005. ἔχεις παρ' ἡμῶν οἶάπερ νομίζεται You have from us what is according to custom. <sup>2</sup>	125	31

## <sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of

——οὐδὲ τὸν ὀρθοδαῆ τῶν φθιμένων ἀνάγειν Ζεὺς αὕτ' ἔπαυσ' ἐπ' εὐλαβείφ

or,  $i\pi$   $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon iq$   $\gamma\epsilon$ , as read in MS. Farn.; and he thus rejects the reading suggested to Humboldt, and adopted by Blomf., Wellauer, and Boissonade; for they did not perceive, says he, what Canter was the first to point out, that  $i\pi$   $\dot{\alpha}\beta\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon iq$  had been interpolated from the Scholia; and that  $\tau\bar{\omega}\nu$   $\phi\theta\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu$  does not depend upon  $\tau\iota\nu\alpha$  understood, as Erfurdt fancied on Soph. Antig. 1056, but that  $\tau\bar{\omega}\nu$   $\phi\theta\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$  means 'to bring back from the dead,' even without  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\alpha}$ .

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Schütz's emendation καρδίαν γλῶσσα πάντ' αν εξέχει,

in lieu of καρδία γλῶσσαν ᾶν τάδ' ἐξέχει.

3 So H. retains with some other editors ἔχεις—For the meaning, says he, is—You have what is expected from us; not—'You have what may be expected from us.' But he forgot that as Cassandra had not entered as yet upon the duties, nor shared the food, of a captive slave, the future ἕξεις, suggested by Auratus, could not be dispensed with. Perhaps the Poet wrote—

Εί δ' οὖν ἀνάγκη τάσδ' ἐπέρρεπεν τύχας, 'Αρχαιοπλόυτων δεσποτῶν πολλὴν χάριν "Εξεις, παρ' ἡμῶν δ' οἶάπερ νομίζεται:

If then Necessity has on thee turned This fortune, thou from lords of ancient wealth Shalt find much favour, and from us whate'er Is due by law and custom.'

For thus in δεσποτῶν χάριν ἔξεις there is an allusion to the connexion which Clytemnestra fancied had taken place, and would take place again, between Agamemnon and Cassandra; while in ἕξεις παρ' ἡμῶν οἰάπες νομίζεται, there is another allusion to the intended murder of her hun-

**2** 

Line in Greek Text.		Referenc Bohn's E	
1007. ἐκτὸς δ' ᾶν οὖσα μορσίμων ἀγρευμάτων— But being outside of the fatal toils—1	page	126 <i>line</i>	2
1014,15. οΰτοι θυραίαν τῆδ ἐμοὶ σχολὴ πάρα τρίβειν—			
There is no leisure for me to waste here at the door—2		126	10
1023. ἢ μαίνεταί τε	••••	126	21
1041. ἀπώλεσας γὰρ οὐ μόλις τὸ δεύτερον. For thou hast destroyed me not a lit	tle a		
second time.4	••••	127	2
1043. μένει τὸ θεῖον δουλία παρὸν φρενί.  The divine power remains present in mind of a slave. <sup>5</sup>		127	4

band's mistress, who had been brought to Argos, more like a queen than

a captive.

<sup>1</sup> H. reads  $i\kappa\tau\delta\varsigma$  in lieu of  $i\nu\tau\delta\varsigma$ —For says he, if  $i\nu\tau\delta\varsigma$  be retained, we must omit the conditional  $a\nu$ , which could not be thus inserted between  $i\nu\tau\delta\varsigma$  and  $o\tilde{\upsilon}\sigma a$ . And it was probably to meet this very difficulty that Bothe proposed to read, what H. should have adopted,  $i\nu\tau\delta\varsigma$   $\delta'\dot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma\sigma\dot{\alpha}$ ; which Connington has attributed to Haupt. Most assuredly the captive Cassandra could not be said to be out of the hunters' toils.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Musgrave's  $\tau \tilde{\eta} \delta \epsilon$  for  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon$ , and retains  $\theta \nu \rho a(a\nu)$ , which is

without regimen; and hence we must read

Οὔτοι θυραία γ' ὧδ' ἐμοὶ σχολή πάρα Τρίβειν

where  $\theta v \rho a i q$  is due to Casaubon.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\tau \epsilon$  for  $\gamma \epsilon$ , although he confesses that  $\gamma \epsilon$  might be defended in the sense of 'adeo.'

<sup>4</sup> So H. renders οὐ μόλις, 'non parum,' a meaning those words never do, and never could, bear; and vainly does he refer to Eurip. Hel. 342, θέλουσαν οὐ μόλις καλεῖς: where Elmsl. happily corrected, οΰ με δὶς καλεῖς, i. e. 'Thou shalt not call me, who am willing, twice.' In Æschylus, however, the disorder is seated somewhat deeper; for the dramatist wrote, Απώλεσας γἀρ, ην όλεῖς τὸ δεύτερον, i. e. 'For thou hast destroyed, whom thou wilt destroy a second time.' On this union of the pertect and future, compare Iλ. B. 117,

"Ος δη πολλάων πολίων κατέλυσε κάρηνα, 'Ηδ' ἔτι καὶ λύσει.

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts  $\pi \alpha \rho \delta \nu$  in MS. Farn. and Rob. in preference to  $\pi \epsilon \rho$   $\epsilon \nu$ , elicited by Schütz from  $\pi \alpha \rho$   $\epsilon \nu$ , in Ald. H. refers, indeed, to Soph. Aj. 337, but the passage is wretchedly corrupt, as it would be easy to shew,

aὐτοφόνα τε κακὰ κἀρτάνας— Both the evils of self-murder and hang- ings—¹ page	127 line	13
And the sprinkling on the ground. <sup>2</sup>	127	13
— ματεύει δ', ὧν ἀνευρήσει φόνον.  And she is seeking the murder of those, whom she will discover.*	127	16
[To meet the objection, started by Elberling against the folly of describing children as wept for, who had been cut up and cooked by their uncle and eaten by their father, H. says that κλαίεσθαι means not 'to be wept for,' but simply 'to weep.' But though children might weep before they were cut up, they would not do so after the act. How strange that both Herm. and Elberling failed to see that the dramatist wrote Δαι-όμεν ιδετε βρέφη ες σφαγάς, not Καιόμενα τάδε βρέφη: for we thus recover not only the lost sense but the syntax likewise: 'See	107	22
[H. says that some have unjustly stumbled at Οὖπω ξυνῆκα· νῶν γὰρ ἐξ αἰνιγμάτων—ἀμη-χανῶ. But surely after the Chorus had said, 'I do not understand at all,' they could not add, 'For now I am in a difficulty;' although they might have said, 'I have not well understood all. Now I am still farther in a difficulty'—in Greek, Οὖ πῶν ξυνῆκ' εὖ·		33
	aὐτοφόνα τε κακὰ κἀρτάνας—  Both the evils of self-murder and hangings—¹ page  —καὶ πέδοι ραντήριον.  And the sprinkling on the ground.²  —ματεύει δ', ὧν ἀνευρήσει φόνον.  And she is seeking the murder of those, whom she will discover.³  [To meet the objection, started by Elberling against the folly of describing children as wept for, who had been cut up and cooked by their uncle and eaten by their father, H. says that κλαίεσθαι means not 'to be wept for,' but simply 'to weep.' But though children might weep before they were cut up, they would not do so after the act. How strange that both Herm. and Elberling failed to see that the dramatist wrote Δαι-όμεν ἴδετε βρέφη ἐς σφαγὰς, not Καιόμενα τάδε βρέφη: for we thus recover not only the lost sense but the syntax likewise: 'See children cut up for victims.']  [H. says that some have unjustly stumbled at Οὔπω ξυνῆκα νῶν γὰρ ἐξ αἰνιγμάτων—ἀμη-χανῶ. But surely after the Chorus had said, 'I do not understand at all,' they could not add, 'For now I am in a difficulty;' although they might have said, 'I have not well understood all. Now I am still farther in a difficulty'—in Greek, Οὐ πῶν ξυνῆκ' εὖ	aὐτοφόνα τε κακὰ κἀρτάνας— Both the evils of self-murder and hangings—¹ page 127 line —καὶ πέδοι ραντήριον.  And the sprinkling on the ground² 127 —ματεύει δ', ὧν ἀνευρήσει φόνον.  And she is seeking the murder of those, whom she will discover.³ 127  [To meet the objection, started by Elberling against the folly of describing children as wept for, who had been cut up and cooked by their uncle and eaten by their father,  H. says that κλαίεσθαι means not 'to be wept for,' but simply 'to weep.' But though children might weep before they were cut up, they would not do so after the act.  How strange that both Herm. and Elberling failed to see that the dramatist wrote Δαισάλε βρέφη: for we thus recover not only the lost sense but the syntax likewise: 'See children cut up for victims.'] 127  [H. says that some have unjustly stumbled at Οὖπω ξυνῆκα· νῦν γὰρ ἐξ αἰνιγματων—ἀμηχανῶ. But surely after the Chorus had said, 'I do not understand at all,' they could not add, 'For now I am in a difficulty;' although they might have said, 'I have not well understood all. Now I am still farther in a difficulty'—in Greek, Οὐ πῶν ξυνῆκ εὖ·

if this were the place for a lengthened note. Paley refers more aptly to Eurip. Or. 1180.  $\sigma \tilde{y} \psi v \chi \tilde{y} \pi a \rho \delta v$ . But there  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$  is not added, as here.

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts κάρτάνας from MS. Farn,, and inserts τε before κακὰ with Pauw, whose name however is not mentioned, or καὶ after κατὰ—But as there were no acts of self-murder nor of hanging, to which Cassandra could allude, the passage must conceal a corruption, a portion of which Emper has corrected by reading κάρτάμου for κάρτάναι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. alters  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta o \nu$  into  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta o \iota$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In lieu of ὧν ἀν εὑρήση, H. adopts Porson's ὧν ἀνευρήσει—But this the Chorus could not say, unless, like Cassandra herself, they had a prophetic power.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
1081,2. σταγών, ἄτε γὰ δορὶ πτώσιμος ξυνανύτει βίου δυντὸς αὐγαῖς Α drop, which falling to the ground by the sword, ends with the rays of depart- ing life. <sup>1</sup> page	128 line 7
1087. [Although H. retains τύπτει in the text, yet in the Notes he prefers θένει, for the sake of the metre, referring to Hesych. Θένει κόπτει, τύπτει; and in like manner he considers τεύχει as the gl. for κύτει, first edited by Blomf.]	128 11
1093-5. — κακῶν γὰρ διαὶ πολυεπεῖς τέχναι θεσπιωδοὶ φόβον φέρουσιν μαθεῖν: For oracular arts with many words bring [persons] to learn through evils a fear. <sup>2</sup>	128 15
1096. τὸ γὰρ ἐμὸν θροεῖς πάθος ἐπεγχέας— For thou moanest my suffering, after pouring upon—3	128 17
1097. ποῦ δή με δεῦρο τὴν τάλαιναν ἤγαγεν; Whither has he brought me hither, the wretched one?	128 18
1098. ἀκόμετος βοᾶς φιλοίκτοις ταλαίναις φρεσὶν Unsatiated with moaning, with hapless thoughts lament-loving————————————————————————————————————	128 22

<sup>1</sup> H. with Ahrens alters ἄτε καὶ δορία into ἄδε γᾶ δορὶ—where δορὶ is due to Casaubon; and he renders ξυνανύτει, 'desinit,' a meaning vainly assigned to εὐδαίμων ἀνύσει καὶ μέγας ἐκ κείνων in Soph. Phil. 720. Had H. seen my note on Eurip. Tro. 338, he would have found what I think Æschylus wrote: ἄδε γὰρ δορὶ πτωσίμοις Ξυναντᾶ βίου δυντὸς αὐγά, i.e. 'For this light of setting life meets those about to fall by a spear;' where Cassandra alludes to her own death, not to that of Agamemnon.

<sup>2</sup> So H. by altering  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \psi \delta \delta \nu$  into  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \iota \psi \delta \delta \iota$ —

<sup>4</sup> H. alters ηγαγες into ηγαγεν, which he would refer to Agamemnon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Such is the literal and to myself unintelligible version of the text of H., who has altered  $\theta\rho o\tilde{\omega}$   $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\gamma\chi\epsilon\alpha\sigma\alpha$  into  $\theta\rhoo\epsilon\epsilon\zeta$   $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\gamma\chi\epsilon\alpha\zeta$ ; where  $\theta\rhoo\epsilon\epsilon\zeta$ , he says, is addressed to the Chorus, and  $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\gamma\chi\epsilon\alpha\zeta$  is the conjecture of Franz likewise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. by adopting ἀκόρετος βοᾶς from Ald., and φιλοίκτοις ταλαίναις φρεσὶν from Vict., who probably obtained the reading from MSS. Ven. and Flor.

Line in Greek Text. 1106,7. περεβάλοντο οἱ πτεροφόρον δέμας	Reference t Bohn's Edi	
$ heta\epsilon$ οὶ		
Around her have the gods thrown a feather- bearing body—1 page	128 line 2	6
1112. —— δμοῦ στένουσ'  Moaning at the same time— ·	128 3	2
1122. [For the sake of the metre H. has given καὶ παῖς νεόγονος ἃν μάθοι, i. e. 'even a newborn child would learn,' in lieu of νέογνος ἀνθρώπων μάθοι.]	129	2
1123. πέπληγμαι δ' ὅπως δάκει φοινίφ I am struck, as it were, with a biting animal that fetches blood	129	3
1124. ——— μινυρά φοβερόθροα With a shrieking and fearful cry—4	129	3
1131. ἐγὼ δὲ θερμὸν οὖς τάχ' ἐν πέδφ βαλῶ.  And I will throw quickly my warm ear upon the ground. <sup>5</sup>	129	9
1155,6. ἐκμαρτύρησον προυμόσας τὸ μὴ εἰδέναι λόγω Or testify, having previously been sworn,		4
1158. [Although H. retains in the text παιώνιον,	130	4
yet in the Notes he prefers παιώνιος, found according to Elmsl. in MS. Farn.]	130	6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So reads H. with Ahrens, where  $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \beta \acute{a} \lambda o \nu \tau o$  oi is due to MS. Med. G. But since  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$  is never contracted into  $\pi \epsilon \rho$ , he should have adopted  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \acute{a} \lambda o \nu \tau o$  from Ald., or rather have elicited  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda o \nu$  from  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \acute{o} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$  in MSS. Ven. Flor. Farn., for the middle voice would be inadmissible; and thus  $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$  might be preserved, which H. has unceremoniously rejected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. has introduced from conjecture  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu \sigma'$  after  $\dot{\delta} \mu o \tilde{\nu}$ , so that this verse may answer to the one in the strophé.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> So H. by altering  $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$   $\delta\dot{\eta}\gamma\mu\alpha\tau\iota$  into  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega\varsigma$   $\delta\dot{a}\kappa\epsilon\iota$ —

<sup>4</sup> H. alters κακά θρεομένας into φοβερόθροα to agree with θανατοφόρα.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts Canter's θερμον ους elicited from θερμόνους. But why Cassandra should be described as throwing her 'warm ear' on the ground, H. has not explained, nor could any one tell. And yet did Æschylus write here ους, while the other words might be recovered by remembering the 'dull cold ear of Death' in Gray's Elegy.

<sup>6</sup> H. reads with Dobree, τὸ μη είδεναι in lieu of τὸ μ' είδεναι—

Line in Greek Text		Reference Bohn's Ed	_
1161-	4. KAΣ. μάντις μ'	130 <i>line</i> 1	
. 1175.	[H., unable to suggest anything that he considered to be quite certain, has, in lieu of φροιμίοις ἐφημίοις, edited φροιμίοις δυσφροιμίοις, i. e. 'unfortunate preludes.' Strange he should not have stumbled upon ταράσσων φροιμίοις φρέν ἐνθέοις—where φρένα is dependent upon ταράσσων.]	130 2	:9
1190.	[Although H. retains in the text, Τοιαῦτα τολμᾶ θῆλυς ἄρσενος φονεὺς Ἐστιν—yet in the Notes he prefers, what Ahrens was the first to suggest, Τοιάδε τόλμα θῆλυς ἄρσενος φονεὺς Ἔστιν, i. e. 'Such female boldness is the murderer of a man:' where τοιάδε is due to MSS. Ven. and Flor.]	131	7
1194.	$\frac{-}{\text{And a truceless war,}^2}$ ${\text{A}\rho\eta}$	131 1	1
1211.	<ul> <li>ἢ κάρτ' ἄρ' αὖ παρεσκοπεῖς χρησμῶν ἐμῶν;</li> <li>Hast thou 'greatly wandered again from my oracles ?³</li> </ul>	131	6
1215.	παπαῖ τόδ' οἶον πῦρ ἐπέρχεται δέ μοι— Ah me! This [is] how great a fire. And it comes upon me—	132 เ	4

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts ἄρην, first published by Lobeck, on Soph. Aj. 802.

<sup>4</sup> So H. reads in lieu of οloν τὸ  $π\tilde{v}ρ$ . But οloν could not be thus inserted between τόδε and  $π\tilde{v}ρ$ . Correct Greek would require οlον τόδε

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although H. asserts that the new order in which he has disposed this tetrastich is required by the train of thought, he ought to have shown what could have led the Chorus to ask Cassandra, whether she obtained the gift of prophecy from Apollo, as a lover's present; and as he confesses that  $\beta a \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ , furnished by MS. Farn., is what Cassandra was about to say, or was at least thinking of, he should have shewn us as well what could possibly have induced Æschylus to put down the unintelligible  $\dot{a} \beta \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. reads with Franz  $a\tilde{v}$  for  $a\nu$ , and renders  $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \delta \pi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ , 'hast thou wandered from '—But  $\pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \nu$  is rather, 'to view on one side,' i. e. 'to take an incorrect or partial view.'

Line in Reference to Greek Text. Bohn's Edit. 1226. ΐτ' ές φθόρον πεσόντ' · έγω δ' άμ' έψομαι Go, falling to destruction. And I will at the same time follow—1 page 132 line 24 1227. ἄλλην τιν' ἄτης ἀντ' έμοῦ πλουτίζετε. Enrich some other [woman] instead of me with calamity—2 .... 132 25 —ἐποπτεύσας δέ με 1229-31. κάν τοῖσδε κόσμοις καταγελωμένην μέγα φίλων ὑπ' ἐχθρῶν, οὐ διχορρόπως, ματήρ. And looking upon me, even in these ornaments laughed at greatly by friendly foes, not with two terms of the scale, a seeker.3 27

 $\pi \tilde{v} \rho$ . In the letters  $\pi a \pi a i o i o \nu \tau o \pi v \rho$  evidently lie hid  $a \sigma \tau \rho a \pi \tau o \nu$  o  $i o \nu$  what a fire, like lightning—' while from  $\delta \epsilon \mu o i$  Stanley correctly

 $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \alpha \varsigma$ . For  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  would be perfectly unintelligible here.

<sup>1</sup> H. alters  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau$  ἀγαθὼ δ΄ ἀμείψομαι into  $\pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau$  εγὼ δ΄ ἄμ΄ εψομαι. But as one could not thus account for the introduction of the letters θω, in which the chief difficulty lies, Æschylus wrote perhaps,  $T \tau$  ες φθόρον, σ ἢν ἄγν, σ ενὸ ἀμείψομαι—where ὧδ' ἀμείψομαι is due to Jacob; while ἀγνὰ is plainly confirmed by ὡς ἔτ' οὖσ' ἀγνὴ χρόα in Eurip. Tro. 453.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads with Stanley  $\tilde{a}\tau\eta\varsigma$  for  $\tilde{a}\tau\eta\nu$ , and asserts that  $\pi\lambda_0\upsilon\tau i\zeta\epsilon\iota\nu$  can govern a genitive as well as a dative; an assertion it would be difficult

to prove.

<sup>3</sup> By such a text H. thought he had restored the dramatist by changing μέτα into μέγα, and μάτην into ματήρ. But though he refers to Hesych. Ματήρ ἐπίσκοπος, ἐπιζητῶν, ἐρευνητής, it is strange he did not see, what is obvious to every one else, that Ματήρ is a corruption of Μαστήρ; and that φίλων ὑπ' ἐχθρῶν could not be thus united, where sense and syntax evidently require <math>φίλων θ' ὕπ' ἐχθρῶν τ'. I propose to restore the passage by reading—

ο δους δ' 'Απόλλων, αυτός ἐκδύων ἐμὲ χρηστηρίαν ἐσθῆτ', ἀποπτύσας δ' ἐᾳ κἀν τοῖσδε κόσμοις καταγελωμένην μ', ἄμα φίλων θ' ὑπ' ἐχθρῶν τ' οὐ διχορρόπως, λάτριν.

Apollo, he who gave, the same strips off
From me the prophet's dress, and spurning leaves me,
E'en in these trappings laugh'd at both by friends
And foes, without dissenting voice, a slave.

With regard to the expression  $\delta$   $\delta$ oùc  $A\pi\delta\lambda\lambda\omega\nu$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}c$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\delta\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$   $\mu$ , it the very counterpart of that in Æschyl.  $O\pi\lambda$ . Kpis. O  $\delta$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}c$ 

Line in Greek Text. 1232,3. καλουμένη δὲ φοιτὰς, ὡς ἀγυρτρία, πτωχὸς τάλαινα, λιμόθνης ἦνεσχόμην. And called a maniac, like an alms-beggar, a poor, wretched creature, with hunger dying,		ence to s Edit.
	132 <i>li</i>	ne 29
1245. τί δῆτ' ἐγὼ μέτοικος	133	5
1254. ὦ πολλὰ μὲν τάλαινα, πολλὰ δ' αὖ σοφὴ O thou very wretched and on the other hand very wise—3	133	13
1258. οὐκ ἔστ' ἄλυξις, οῦ, ξένοι, χρόνον πλέω. There is no escape, O strangers, for a longer time.	133	17
1281,2. ἄπαξ ἔτ' εἰπεῖν ῥῆσιν, οὐ θρῆνον θελω εμόν τὸν αὐτῆς. Still once I wish to speak a word, not a lament for myself—5	134	13

ὑμνῶν, αὐτὸς ἐν θοίνη παρὼν, Αὐτὸς τάδ' εἰπὼν, οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ κτανὼν Τὸν παῖδα τὸν ἐμόν: while λάτριν, as necessary for the sense, as μάτην is unnecessary, is the very word applied to Hermes, the servant of Jupiter, in Eurip. Ion. 4.

I So H. conceived, that by a new punctuation, he could get rid of the difficulty in  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \iota \nu a$ : in which however it is easy to see  $\tau \epsilon$   $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$  lying

hid. The poet probably wrote—

καλουμένη δὲ φοιβάς, ὡς ἀγύρτρια, πτωχός τε δεινὰ λιμοθνής τ' ἠνεσχόμην— And call'd a prophetess, like one begging alms, Poor, and with hunger dying, ills I've borne—

where  $\phi o \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} c$  is due to Spanheim.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads with Ahrens  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau o \iota \kappa o \varsigma$  for  $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \tau o \iota \kappa o \varsigma$ —But what the idea of 'a foreign settler' could have to do here, we are not told. How superior is the conjecture of Emper—T $\acute{\iota}$   $\delta \tilde{\eta} \tau$ '  $\acute{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$  où  $\kappa \alpha \tau$ '  $\epsilon i \kappa \grave{o} \varsigma$   $\check{\omega} \delta$ '  $\check{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega$ ; 'Why without reason do I thus bewail?'

<sup>3</sup> So H. with other editors; not one of whom has seen that in lieu of  $\delta'$   $a\tilde{v}$ , where  $a\tilde{v}$  is perfectly unintelligible, the poet wrote  $\delta'$   $o\tilde{v}$ . For thus the Chorus would sneer, as they should do, at the prophetess—'Thou very wretched, but not very wise—'

4 H. reads with Paley, whose name however is not mentioned, χρόνον

in lieu of χρόνφ.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $o\dot{v}$  for  $\ddot{\eta}$ , and thus rejects his previous alteration  $\dot{\rho}\dot{v}\sigma\iota\sigma\nu$   $\theta\rho\tilde{\eta}\nu\sigma\nu$ , to which Blomf. justly objected.

18

Line in Reference to Greek Text. Bohn's Edit. 1282. -ήλίφ δ' έπεύχομαι πρός ύστατον φως βασιλέως τιμαόροις ίσας δίκας φανέντας ἀσκεύοις όμοῦ έχθροῖς φονεῦσι τοῖς έμοῖς τίνειν έμοῦ δούλης θανούσης εὐμαροῦς χειρώματο**ς.** And I pray to the sun at the last light that avengers of a king may appear, and inflict equal punishment at the same time upon [his] enemies unprepared, [and] upon the murderers of me, a slave, dying by easy page 134 line 14 handiwork.1 ---εύτυχοῦντα μὲν 1287,8. σκία τις αν πρέψειν-Things prosperous a shadow may liken—2 .... 134

So H. reads in lieu of τοῖς ἐμοῖς τιμαόροις, Ἐχθροῖς φονεῦσι τοῖς έμοῖς τίνειν ὁμοῦ—and has with Wellauer changed ὁμοῦ into ἐμοῦ: while τίνειν is probably a literal error for τείνειν: for δίκας τίνειν is 'to suffer punishment, but δίκας τείνειν 'to inflict it.' With regard to the introduction of ἀσκεύοις, H. refers to Hesych. 'Ασκεύοις' ψιλοῖς, άπαρασκεύοις.—Αίσχύλος 'Αγαμέμνονι. Ι propose to read—

> –ἡλίου τόδ' εῦχομαι πρὸς ὕστατον φῶς τοὺς νέους τιμαόρους έχθρας φονεύσι τίσιν ίσην τείνειν έμοῦ δούλης, θανούσης εὐμαροῦς χειρώματος—

-to this last light Of the sun I pray, that young avengers may For feuds an equal punishment inflict Upon the murderers of me a slave, Dying by handiwork not hard to do.

For most assuredly in such a prayer Cassandra would never think of making any allusion to Agamemnon. With regard to the alterations, ηλίου τόδ' ευχομαι might have been easily corrupted into ηλίω δ' έπεύχομαι, and έχθρας into έχθροῖς, and τίσιν ἴσην τείνειν ἐμοῦ into τοῖς έμοῖς τίνειν ὁμοῦ. At all events, we thus get rid of the repeated τοῖς έμοῖς, to which H. has properly objected.

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H. But what he understood by those words I am at a loss to discover; and still more to guess even at the reasons that led Boissonade, whom H. has followed, to alter  $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ ψειεν into  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi$ ειεν. For as  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$  is always an intransitive verb, it cannot govern εὐτυχοῦντα. It is true, indeed, that a shadow could not be said 'to overturn things prosperous,' but it might 'to conceal them;' and hence it is evident that the poet wrote κρύψειεν, and not τρέψειεν.

Line in Greek Text. 1296. [H. has marked after Πριάμω the loss of something like διὰ παντός, requisite to complete	Referenc Bohn's E	
the paræmiac, and to restore the correspondence of two anapæstic systems.] page	135 line	8
1299,300. ———ἄλλων		
ποινὰς θανάτων ἐπικραίνει.		
Accomplishes the punishment for other deaths.1	135	11
1301. τίς ποτ' ἃν εὕξαιτο βροτῶν— Who of mortals would ever pray—2	135	12
1307. ἀλλὰ βουλευσώμεθ, ἃ *ν πως ἀσφαλη βουλεύ- ματα.		
But let us communicate counsels, which may	136	4
1311. — σὺν νεοβρύτω ξίφει. With a new-drawn sword	136	9
1316,7. — οί δὲ τῆς μέλλους κλέος πέδοι πατοῦντες οὐ καθεύδουσιν χερί.		
But they, trampling on the ground the glory	100	7 -
of delay, do not sleep, with their hand.	136	15

<sup>1</sup> H. substitutes  $\theta a \nu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$  for  $\ddot{a} \gamma a \nu$ , which is omitted in MSS. Ven. and Flor. How much easier to read  $\dot{a} \tau \tilde{a} \nu$ , Dorice for  $\dot{a} \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ —

<sup>2</sup> H. with Ahrens inserts  $\pi o \tau$  after  $\tau i \varsigma$ ; and though he confesses that  $\pi o \tau \varepsilon$  is seldom found in the second clause of a sentence, yet he has discovered it once in Soph. Trach. 1230.

<sup>8</sup> H. reads with Bernhardy  $\ddot{a}$  " $\nu$   $\pi\omega\varsigma$  in lieu of  $\ddot{a}\nu$   $\pi\omega\varsigma$ . And so too Paley, with some hesitation. Strange that no Editor should have suggested,  $\varepsilon \dot{v}$   $\pi\omega\varsigma$ —as I did in the Church of England Quarterly Review, vol. 7. p. 105.

<sup>4</sup> So H. with Wellauer. But all words ending in ρυτος are derived from ρέω, not as H. says, from έρύω. Hence for συν we must read τούν,

(i. e. τὸ ἐν) νεορράντψ—where νεορράντψ is due to Blomf.

<sup>5</sup> Such is the literal translation of the text of H., which I must leave for those to understand, who can. The MSS. and old ed. have οἱ δὲ μελλούσης κλέος......καθεύδουσιν χερί. But Trypho, quoted by Blomf., and Manuel Moschopul. quoted by H., read τῆς μέλλους χάριν: which H. deems in the Notes to be preferable. Probably Æschylus wrote—

———οί δὲ, τῆς μέλλους χάριν, πέδον πατοῦντες οὐ καθεύδουσιν Δίκης

i. e. 'but they are not asleep, through the pleasure of delay, while treading down the soil of Justice:' where  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \delta o \nu$   $\Delta \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \eta \varsigma$   $\pi \alpha \tau o \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$  is plainly

Lane in Greek Text.		1 10 1			Referen Bohn's	
	roῦ δρῶντός ἐστι t is the part of beyond.¹			counsel	136 <i>lin</i>	e 17
	σάφ' εἰδότας χρὴ t is meet for th angry about tl	ose, who kno	w correct	ly, to be	136	27
	rαύτην ἐπαινεῖν π am pressed wi to praise this	th a multitu		very side 	136	29
	<ul> <li>πâs yáρ τις ἐχ δοκοῦσιν εἶναι, φράξειεν ὕψος for every one, w against enem would make a mity of a heightem.</li> </ul>	πημονής ἀρκύ , κρείσσον έκη hile bringin ies, who seen fence with	στατ' αν τηδήματος g acts of n to be the nets	enmity friends, of cala- p out of	137	3
	μεθῆκεν αὐτοῦ κά He let down his		vith—5		137	12
					- •	

supported by Eum. 527, βωμὸν αἰδέσαι Δίκας, μηδέ νιν, κέρδος ἰδων, ἀθέφ ποδὶ λὰξ πατήσης.

1 Here again I cannot understand the text of H., who has adopted

Schütz's πέρα

<sup>2</sup> H. reads with Ahrens  $\theta\nu\mu\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\nu}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  in lieu of  $\mu\nu\theta\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\nu}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ . But why the Chorus should allude to their anger here, neither critic has thought proper to tell us. To myself, it seems evident that the dramatist wrote  $\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu\delta\epsilon$   $\nu\tilde{\rho}\tilde{\nu}\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$   $\pi\epsilon\tilde{\rho}\iota$ , 'to put down our opinion on these matters.'

<sup>3</sup> Such is perhaps the best version of the text; which is not what the author wrote, as it would be easy to show, and not difficult to suggest what he did. H. thus paraphrases—'Undique conveniunt mihi argu-

menta, ut hanc sententiam probem.

<sup>4</sup> H. reads with Bothe, whose name however is omitted,  $\pi \tilde{a} \zeta$  for  $\pi \tilde{\omega} \zeta$ , and with Elmsley  $\dot{a} \rho \kappa \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau a \tau$   $\dot{a} \nu$  in lieu of  $\dot{a} \rho \kappa \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau a \tau o \nu$ , and  $\pi \eta \mu o \nu \eta \zeta$  instead of  $\pi \eta \mu o \nu \eta \nu$ , with Auratus and Paley on Pers. 100, neither of whose names are mentioned.

<sup>5</sup> So H. renders αὐτοῦ—a meaning that word never bears. Had H. ever been a performer on a stage, as well as a scholar in a study, he would have seen that Æschylus wrote μεθῆκεν οὕτω κῶλα—where οὕτω indicates the gesture of the actor, showing how the muscles of Agamemnon became relaxed.

Line in Greek Text.	A	lana alian				ence to 's Edit.
1348. οὖτω τὸν αὖ Thus does life.¹	he in f	alling v	omit out		137 <i>li</i>	ne 14
But if it we	kaίως ην, ύπ ere becomin this corp	rερδίκως μ ng, so as	έν οὖν. to make a	liba- just,	137	20
And hast	ιποτόμως ;	away i	in a reje y the peop	cting le;²	138	6

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts Schütz's αὐτοῦ for αὐτοῦ, and alters ὁρμαίνει into ὁρυγαίνει, on the authority of a gl. in Hesych. Όρυγάνει ἐρεύγεται: where ὀρυγάνει is plainly derived from a faulty reading for ἐρυγγάνει.

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H. I propose to restore the passage as follows;—

Εί δ' ην πρέπον τι στάγμ' ἐπισπένδειν νεκρῷ, τῷδ' οὐ δίκαι' ην οὐδ' ὑπέρδιχ', ώς γ' ἐμοὶ, ὅσων γε κρατηρ', ἐς δόμους μολών, ὅδε ἔπλησ', ἀρύστεις αὐτὸς ἐκπίνει, κακῶν.

Were it becoming on a corpse to pour One drop of a libation, upon him It were not so; nor to my mind does he Unjustly gulp himself of ills the draught, Or which the cup, on coming home, he fill'd.

with which may be compared Shakespeare's well-known-

' ———even-handed Justice Commends th' ingredients of the poison'd chalice To our own lips.'

With regard to the alterations, and the reasons on which they rest, I must leave the discussion of them to the time (should ever such arrive) when I can complete my still unfinished edition of Æschylus.

H. reads  $\dot{a}\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma}\mu\omega \varsigma$  for  $\dot{a}\pi \dot{\epsilon}\tau a\mu \epsilon \varsigma$ , and refers to  $\dot{a}\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma}\mu \sigma \upsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}\mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$  in Eurip. Alc. 992. But there the poet evidently wrote  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \iota \tau \dot{\sigma}\nu \sigma \upsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}\mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$ .

Line in Greek Text.  1382-5. ————————————————————————————————————	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
τοιαθτ' ἀπειλεῖν, ὡς παρασκευασμέ <b>νης</b> ἐκ τῶν ὁμοίων χειρὶ νικήσαντ' ἐμοθ	
άρχειν.  And I tell you to make threats of such a kind; since I am prepared on equal terms for a person conquering with the hand to rule over me page	138 line 18
1396. οῦ μοι φόβον μέλαθρ' αν έλπὶς έμπατεῖν  There is no expectation that fear will walk in the house. <sup>2</sup>	
1400. [After this verse H. has marked the loss of another, which he thinks was to this effect—ἀνὴρ, θυγατρὸς τῆς ἐμῆς φονεὺς, ὅδε, i. e. 'this husband, the murderer of my daughter—']	138 33
1405,6. — ναυτίλοις δὲ σελμάτων lσοτριβής.  And worn down by the sailors equally with the benches [of the ships <sup>3</sup> ]	139 3
1409. κεῖται φιλήτωρ τῷδ — Lies dear to the heart of this one—•	139 5
1409. [H. has edited εὐχῆς for εὐνῆς. But as I cannot understand his Greek, I must leave t for those, who can, to construe it.]	139 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal English version of the text of H., of which his Latin explanation is: 'hoc dicit, jubeo te talia minari, ut me parata imperare mihi, qui vicissim me vicerit:'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. changes  $\mu i \lambda a \theta \rho o \nu$  into  $\mu i \lambda a \theta \rho$   $a \nu$ —But it was not fear, of which Clytemnestra had any fear, but death; and hence H. should have adopted  $\phi i \nu o \nu$ , 'murder,' suggested by Auratus; who, he says, was the only critic who had felt the least difficulty here.

<sup>3</sup> So H. renders his own ναυτίλοις δὲ σελμάτων ἰσοτριβής—But ναυτίλος is 'a ship,' not 'a sailor.' And hence Casaubon wished to read ναυτικῶν δὲ σελμάτων—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. explains  $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$   $\tau\tilde{\psi}\delta$ '—referring to Lobeck's Paralipom. p. 217, for other instances of compounds ending in  $-\eta\tau\omega\rho$ : although it appears from Strabo x. p. 484, and Hesych., that  $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$  was applied to a man in love, and not to a woman.

Line in Greek Text.	Referenc Bohn's E	
1421. [After this verse H. has marked the supposed loss of five lines and a half. Had he looked into my representation of the whole of these Lyric and Anapæstic Songs, which I published in the Classical Journal, No. 24. p. 346, he would have seen that not a single	139 line	
1427-9. ἢ πολύμναστον ἐπηνθίσω αἷμ' ἄνιπτον, στᾶσα τότ' ἐν δόμοισιν ἐρίδματός τις ἀνδρὸς οἰζύς Alas! thou heavy pest, the destroyer of a husband, standing then on the house, hast become conspicuous through blood much-		
mindful, and not to be washed out. <sup>1</sup> 1435. ἀξύστατον ἄλγος ἔπραξεν	139	16
Has effected a pain that cannot stand toge-	139	22
1436-9. δαίμον, δε ἐμπίτνεις δώμασι καὶ διφνίοισι, Τανταλίδαισιν, κράτος τ' ἰσόψυχον ἐκ γυναικῶν καρδιόδηκτον ἐμοὶ κρατύνεις  O thou demon, that fallest on the house and the sons of Tantalus with their double-branch, and rulest the victory of an equal soul, biting my heart through women, (Helen and Clytompostre. 3)		0.4
and Clytemnestra—3)	139	24

<sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of ἀξύστατον, which Paley renders 'incompatible,' without producing a single passage to prove that ἀξύστατος either has, or could have, such a meaning.

<sup>3</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text,

I Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text, both equally unintelligible—to myself at least; and what is still stranger, the translation does not give even a fair representation of the Greek, which he has concocted out of  $\pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma \tau o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \nu \theta i \sigma \omega$   $\delta i' a l \mu' \dot{a} \nu \iota \pi \tau o \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\sigma} \mu \iota \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \delta \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\sigma} \varsigma o \dot{\iota} \zeta \dot{\nu} \varsigma$ . For in the first place he translates the words  $\pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \sigma \varsigma$  actively 'remembering,' which means passively 'much remembered.' Secondly, he renders  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \nu \theta i \sigma \omega$  'conspicua,' which, if it meant anything at all, would mean 'thou hast caused thyself to bloom.' But there is, in fact, no such verb as  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \nu \theta i \sigma \omega$  without the preposition  $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$ , found in the MSS. Lastly, although  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota$  is compounded with some passive participials, it is not so with  $\delta \mu a \tau \dot{\sigma} \varsigma$ .

Line in Greek Text. 1440-2. ἐπὶ δὲ σώματος, δίκαν κήρυκος, ἐχθροῦ σταθεῖσὰ ἐκνόμως ὅμνον ὑμνεῖν ἐπεύχεται	Reference Bohn's L	
And standing after the manner of a herald upon the body of a foe, she lawlessly boasts	140 line	1
1444,5. τον τριπάχυντον δαίμονα—		
<b>573</b> ' A., <b>3</b> 3	140	4
1447,8. ἐκ τοῦ γὰρ ἔρως αἰματολοιχὸς νείρει τρέφεται— For by it is blood-licking love brought up in a		
	140	5
1449,50. η μέγα δώμασι τοῖσδ' αϊμονα και βαρύμηνιν αἰνεῖς—		
Greatly dost thou praise [a power] of blood and grievously angry with these houses—4	140	8
1466. μηκέτι λεχθη δ' But let it no longer pe said—5	140	21

where he has inserted  $\tau$  after  $\kappa\rho\acute{a}\tau o\varsigma$ , and thus left the two sentences without a conclusion, and translated  $\kappa\alpha\rho\delta\iota\acute{o}\delta\eta\kappa\tau o\nu$  cor edentem, as if  $\delta\eta\kappa\tau \acute{o}\varsigma$  could ever be taken in an active sense, and be followed by the dative  $\mathring{\epsilon}\mu oi$ .

Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has altered κόρακος, 'a crow,' into κήρυκος, 'herald,' and added μύσος to supply the defect in

the metre.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Bamberger's τὸν τριπάχυντον in lieu of τὸν τριπάχυιον: who might have referred to Shakespeare's 'I will feed fat the ancient

grudge I bear him.

<sup>8</sup> So H. understands νείρει, which, he says, is the dative of an old word νεῖρος, signifying 'a recess:' at least, Lycophron has in v. 896, Kρύψασ' ἄφαντον ἐν χθονὸς νειροῖς μυχοῖς. But as Lycophon delights rather in words coined at Alexaudria than in those which were current at Athens, his νειρὸς, used as an adjective, could be no authority for introducing νείρει, as a substantive, into a play of Æschylus.

<sup>4</sup> So H. reads instead of η μέγαν οἴκοις τοῖσδε δαίμονα: and renders αἴμονα 'fond of blood,' or 'bloody,' but without stating to what noun

aïµova is to be referred.

5 H. alters μηδ' ἐπιλιχθῆς into μηκέτι λεχθῆ δ'—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
1472,3. τόνδ ἀπέτισεν	
τελεον νεαροῖς ἐπιθύσας  Has paid off this grown person, by sacrificing  [him] in addition to the young—¹ page	140 line 25
1479,80. — ὅποι δὲ καὶ προβαίνων πάχνα κουροβόρω παρέξει.  And wheresoever it is progressing it shall afford to hoar-frost boys-devouring. <sup>2</sup>	140 28
1489. [H., who once defended the words—οῦτ' ἀν- ελεύθερον οἰμαι θάνατον τῷδε γενέσθαι, which Seidler was the first to reject as spurious, has subsequently given them up, but with- out stating how they could have come	
here.] 1492. ἄξια δράσας ἄξια πάσχων—	140 40
After doing worthy acts, worthy acts suf-	
	141 3
1498. ἀπάλαμον μέριμναν As to care without skill*	141 7

<sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of words, which H. thus paraphrases— 'Has paid off this grown person, as an act of revenge for children, by his being slaughtered for them.'

<sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of words, which H. says have this meaning—'suffering things worthy of worthy doings.' But how Agamemnon's doings towards Iphigenia could be called 'worthy,' instead of 'unworthy,' as in the common text, H. has not even attempted to show.

<sup>4</sup> H. alters εὐπάλαμνον, or, as Porson edited, εὐπάλαμον into ἀπάλαμον—So too I had published in Classical Journal No. 24. p. 347, ἀπάλαμος μεριμνᾶν—unknown perhaps to H., but without referring, as he has done, to Pindar, Ol. I. 95, for an example of the word ἀπάλαμον: while ἀπάλαμος μεριμνᾶν may be compared with ἄχαλκος ἀσπίδων, in Soph. Œd. T. 185; where see Brunck and Elmsley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of words, which H. thus paraphrases—'and wheresoever it is progressing, it shall exhibit to clotted blood boys devouring them,' i. e. 'the flowings of blood that came from the same seed:' while he rejects  $\delta i \kappa a \nu$ , which Butler proposed to read in lieu of  $\delta i \kappa a i$ , and some have adopted, and rightly so; for Æschylus wrote, as I pointed out thirty-nine years ago,  $\delta \pi a i \zeta \sigma i \gamma a \rho$ ,  $\pi \rho o \beta a i \nu \omega \nu \lambda a i \gamma \alpha$ ,  $\kappa \eta \rho i \beta o \rho a \nu \pi a \rho i \xi \epsilon i$ , i. e. 'for the boy, progressing with the down on his chin, will give thee as food for fate'—where there is an evident allusion to Orestes.

Line in Greek Text. 1509,10. — κτείνασ' ἄνδρα τὰν αὐτῆς	Reference Bohn's Ed	
αποκωκῦσαι ψυχῆ τ'— After killing thine own husband to bewail him, and to perform for his soul—  page		7
1513. [H. retains ἐπιτύμβιος αἶνος, considering ἰάπτω as an intransitive verb; which it never is: and vainly does he refer to Suppl. 531.]	141 - 5	20
1519. H. has marked the omission, as he imagined, of a distich, of which the sense was—'nor shall we suffer others to accompany his funeral;' and he renders τῶν ἐξοίκων, the reading of Auratus, 'of the domestics,' referring to Cho. 426, δαΐαις ἐν ἐκφοραῖς ἄνευ πολιτῶν ἄνακτ', ἄνευ δὲ πενθημάτων ἔτλης ἀνοίμωκτον ἄνδρα θάψαι.]	141	27
1525. [Although H. retains πόρθμευμ' ἀχέων in the text, yet in the Notes he seems to prefer πόρθμευμα νεκρῶν—without giving any reason; nor, had he been asked, could he, I think, have given one.]	141 9	27
1530. — μίμνοντος ἐν θρόνφ Διὸς Jove remaining on his throne—2	141 3	31
1533. κεκόλληται γένος προσόψει The race is glued to a looking-on—3	141 3	34
1534,5. ἐς τόνδ' ἐνέβης ξὺν ἀληθεία Thou hast come of a truth upon this oracle—4	141 8	35

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\theta \rho \delta \nu \psi$ , the correction of Schütz in lieu of  $\chi \rho \delta \nu \psi$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. alters  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$  into  $\psi v \chi y \tau$ —and unites  $\psi v \chi \tilde{y}$  to  $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho \tilde{a} \nu a \iota$ , to avoid the asyndeton. And so Ahrens had edited before H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the unintelligible text of H., who once suggested  $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$   $\ddot{u}\psi\eta$ —a word of his own coining; and after rejecting what was manifestly wrong, he has proposed what is not more correct,  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\phi}\psi\epsilon\iota$ —rather than admit  $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$   $\ddot{a}\tau q$ , so happily elicited by Blomfield from  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{a}\psi\alpha\iota$ .

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts Canter's  $\ell\nu\ell\beta\eta\varsigma$  for  $\ell\nu\ell\beta\eta$ —But surely the oracle came rather upon Agamemnon, than he upon the oracle; just as in Hamlet, Ophelia went to the water, rather than the water came, as the Gravedigger wanted to prove, to Ophelia. Hence the poet probably wrote,  $E_{\zeta}$  τόνδ  $\ell\nu\ell\beta\eta$ .......χρησμός, not χρησμόν.

Line in Greek Text.  1542-4.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
άλληλοφόνους μανίας μελάθρων ἀφελούυη. After having taken away from the hou these phrenzies producing alternate mu	
1558. ἀστοξένια	s² 142 19
1563,4. ἔκρυπτ'	142 24
1573,4. τρίτον γὰρ ὄντα μ' ἐπίδεχ' ἀθλίφ πατρὶ συνεξελαύνει τυπθὸν ὄντ' ἐν σπαργάνοις For me, being the third in succession, did la drive away, together with my unhapped father, while I was still a little one in swaddling clothes—4	p <b>y</b>
1583. [After this verse H. has marked the loss another, which he conceived was to the effect — Τοίγαρ στυγηθεὶς δυσθέοις τολμ μασιν, i. e. 'Hence hated for thy impior darings.' But here, as in the precedin lyrical portions, there is nothing to supplied, but only something to be considered.	nis ή- us ng be
	143

1 H. alters μοι δ' into τάσδ'—

<sup>3</sup> H., who once thought that some verses had dropped out here, has now suggested after Tyrwhitt, whose name is not mentioned,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\nu\pi\tau'$  in the place of  $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\rho\nu\pi\tau'$ —adopting likewise Dindorf's  $\delta$   $\delta'$ , and reading moreover

καθημένοις, which, as far as I can discover, is without regimen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such I presume, is the meaning H. intended by his ἀστοξένια, which he has made out of αὐτοῦ ξένια—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. alters, not without some hesitation,  $\ell\pi i \ \delta\ell\kappa'$  into  $\ell\pi i\delta\epsilon\chi\alpha$ —But as  $\ell\pi i\delta\epsilon\xi$ , from which he derived  $\ell\pi i\delta\epsilon\chi\alpha$ , is a word not to be found elsewhere, the restoration of the passage is still left for a more happy critic; since neither Emper, who first objected to  $\ell\pi i \ \delta\ell\kappa'$ —for nothing is known elsewhere of the thirteen children of Thyestes,—nor Ahrens, who felt the full force of the objection, have been able to meet it satisfactorily.

Line in Freek Text.		Reference Bohn's E	
	ώς διδάσκεσθαι βαρθ		
•	τὸ τηλικούτω σωφρονεῖν εἰρημένον.  Iow hard it is to be taught that, which is prescribed for a person of such an age, to	143 line	18
1606. [	After this verse H. has marked the loss of a line, which he conceived was to this effect,		
	ὥστ' ηὐλαβεῖτ' ἄν' νῦν δ' ἐγὼ κρατῶν δόμων, ἐκ τῶνδε τοῦδε χρημάτων πειράσομαι ἄρχειν πολιτῶν—		
	i. e. 'so that he would have been on his guard; but now being the master of the house, I will endeavour from the property of this man to rule over the citizens.' But here too nothing has been omitted, only	<b>-</b>	
·	something corrupted 	144	2
	unite to a heavy [yoke], and I will not [make him] full of oats, a trace-bearer. <sup>2</sup>	144	4
1621. [	Here again H. conceives a line has been lost, but without venturing even to guess at the sense of the missing matter.]	144	14
7 00 1		TII	T.Z.
1624. – W	——— τὴν τύχην αἰρούμεθα Te choose the fortune.3	144	21

<sup>8</sup> H. adopts Auratus' αἰρούμεθα in lieu of ἐρούμεθα.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of Tò......εἰρημένον. But such a sentence would indicate that the thing to be taught was— $\tau\eta\lambda$ ικούτψ σωφρονεῖν, not simply σωφρονεῖν. Hence he should have suggested—ώς διδάσκεσθαι βαρὰ Τῷ τηλικούτψ—" σωφρονεῖν δεῖ "—ρῆμ' ἐμόν—i. e. "how hard it is for a person of such an age to be taught my saying (namely) 'one must be temperate.'"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such, I presume, is what H. understood by  $o\tilde{v}\tau\iota \mu\dot{\eta}$ —for he probably conceived that  $\zeta\epsilon\dot{v}\xi\omega$  was to be supplied in the second clause, although it is a negative idea, from the verb in the first clause, although it is a positive one. This however is not the only difficulty. For  $\pi\epsilon\iota$ - $\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega\rho$  could mean only 'man-persuading,' not what the sense requires, 'man-persuaded.' Moreover, correct language would demand  $o\dot{v}$ , not  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , before  $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\rho\rho\alpha$ , while to avoid the asyndeton, one would have expected  $\kappa o\tilde{v}$   $\tau\iota$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , not  $o\tilde{v}$   $\tau\iota$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ —

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit	
1626,7. [H. has transposed these two verses, and given πημονης άλις δ' instead of πημονης δ' άλις γ'—] page	144 line 24	1
1627. ἀλλὰ καὶ τάδ' ἐξαμῆσαι πολλὰ δύστηνον θέρος.  But even these are many [so as] to reap a woeful harvest <sup>1</sup>	144 23	3
1628. [H. has placed here the verse Σώφρονος—commonly found after πειρωμένους in 1635, and supplied αἶσχος μέγα, i. e. 'a great disgrace,' after κρατοῦντ']		
1629. στείχε καὶ σὺ χοί γέροντες Go, both you and the old men————————————————————————————————————	144 2	5
1630. πρὶν παθεῖν ἔρξαντες ἀρκεῖν χρῆν τάδ, ὡς ἐπρά- ξαμεν.		
Before you suffer after having done [something]. It is meet for these things to suffice, as we have done. <sup>3</sup>	144 20	8
1631. εὶ δ' ἔτ' οὐ μόχθων γένοιτο τῶνδ' ἄλις, δεχοί- μεθ' ἄν—		
But if there is still not enough of these troubles, we shall receive—4	144 27	7
1634. [Although, says H., Wakefield's ἀκοντίσαι is not inappropriate, yet ἀπανθίσαι seems to be said correctly; for it means nearly the same as δρέψασθαι. But such is never its meaning; and if it were, 'to pluck a foolish tongue,' would be here perfectly unintelligible, where the sense required is, as Wakefield saw, 'to dart out a foolish tongue;' in Greek, ματαίαν γλώσσαν ἀκον-		
	144 33	3

<sup>2</sup> Η. adopts στεῖχε καὶ σὺ χοὶ γέροντες—first suggested by Franz.

3 H. now alters ἔρξαντες καιρὸν in MS. Flor. into ἔρξαντες ἀρχεῖν—and thus rejects his previous suggestion ἔρξαντ' ἄκαιρα—

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  H. unites τάδε πολλά ἐστιν—and understands ώστε before ἐξα-μῆσαι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. instead of εἰ δὲ τοι μόχθων γένοιτο τῶνδ' ἄλις γ' ἐχοίμεθ  $\mathring{a}\nu$ —observing that εἰ...οὐ are here united, not εἰ...μη, because οὐ is to be referred to ἄλις, not to εἰ—

## THE CHOEPHORI.

Line in Greek Text.  3. [After κατέρχομαι H. conceives a tristich to have dropped out, which he has attempted to supply, as regards the sense, in the manner following:—'I unhappy Orestes, after bringing my foot in secret, where my father was destroyed by violence with the secret craft of a woman's head—'] page  7. [After πενθητήριον H. has marked a lacuna by	Reference Bohn's E	dit.
asterisks, and then introduced a distich, quoted by the Vatican Scholia on Eurip. Alc. 784.—'For I did not lament, being present, thy fate, O father, nor did I stretch out my hand at the carrying out of thy	147	1
13. $\frac{\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a \dots \nu \hat{\epsilon} o \nu}{\text{Has a new calamity }?}$	147	5
23. χοᾶν πρόπομπος— A sender-forth of libations— <sup>2</sup>	147	13
24,5. πρέπει παρῆσι φοίνιος διωγμὸς δνυχος ἄλοκι νεοτόμφ.  Conspicuous on the cheeks is the blood-shed- ding-force of the nails in a new-cut furrow <sup>3</sup>	147	15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts  $\pi \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$  from two MSS. and Rob.

<sup>2</sup> H. in the Notes reads  $\chi o \tilde{a} \nu$  with Casaubon, for  $\chi o d \varsigma$ . He should have adopted rather Paley's  $\chi o d \varsigma$   $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \mu \pi o \nu \sigma'$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. alters παρηῖς φοινισσαμυγμοῖς into παρῆσι φοίνιος διωγμὸς— But how διωγμὸς, literally 'persecutio,' could be rendered 'cruenta vis,' by H., one cannot understand.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
31. τορὸς δε φοῖτος δρθόθριξ— A piercing agitation causing the hair to stand erect—  math page	147 line	19
<ul> <li>53-7. ροπη δ' ἐπισκοπεῖ Δίκας ταχεῖα τοὺς μὲν ἐν φάει, τὰ δ' ἐν μεταιχμίω σκότου μένει χρονίζοντ' ἀτυχῆ, τοὺς δ' ἄκραντος ἔχει νύξ.</li> <li>But the sudden balance of Justice looks upon some in the light; but the things in twilight remain for a time unfortunate; but some persons does night not perfected hold.*</li> </ul>	148	6
63-5. —πόροι τε πάντες ἐκ μιᾶς ὁδοῦ διαίνοντες τὸν χερομυσῆ φόνον καθαρσίοις ἴοιεν ᾶν μάτην  And all the streams from one road, wetting thoroughly a foul hand murder, would with purifying [powers] go in vain. <sup>3</sup>	148	13
69-70. δίκαια καὶ μὴ μαῖς πρέπον τύχαις βίου βία φερομένων αἰνέσαι—  It is becoming to my misfortunes in life to praise [the deeds] just, or not, of those who bear themselves with violence—	148	18
71. — δακρύων ὑφειμάτων With the sorrows of tears under a cloak <sup>5</sup>	149	2

<sup>1</sup> H. reads with Bamberger φοῖτος for φόβος—

<sup>8</sup> H. adopt's Lachmann's διαίνοντες in lieu of  $\beta$ αίνοντες, and alters καθαίροντες ἰοῦσαν ἄτην into καθαρσίους ἴοιεν ἀν μάτην: where μάτη ν is due to Heath, and καθαρσίοις obtained from καθάρσιοι, of which Bamberger said καθαίροντες was the explanation.

<sup>4</sup> Such, I presume, is the sense which H. meant to convey by his text, which he has elicited from δίκαια καὶ μὴ δίκαια πρέποντ' άρχαῖς βίου—where πρέπον τύχαις is due to Schütz.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads δακρύων ὑφειμάτων, and unites δακρύων πένθεσιν, in lieu of δακρύω δ' ὑφ' εἰμάτων.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has elicited  $\chi\rho\sigma\nu''_{\zeta}\sigma\nu\tau''_{\delta}\sigma\nu''_{\delta$ 

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's	
84. ἔσθλ' ἀντιδοῦναι	149 line	:11
97—100. λόγους ἃν, οἶσπερ ἢδέσω τάφον πατρὸς, στέγοις ᾶν, εἶ τι τῶνδ ἔχεις ὑπέρτερον, φθέγγου χεόυσα σεμνὰ τοῖσιν εὕφροσιν.  The reasons, for which you reverence the tomb of your father, you will conceal, if you have anything of greater momentSpeak, pouring forth solemn words to the well-		
	149	22
112. ἀπλωστὶ φράζουσ' By saying simply— <sup>3</sup>	150	12
115,16. κήρυξ μέγιστε τῶν ἄνω τε καὶ κάτω ἄρηξον Ἑρμῆ	150	16
118. — πατρώων αἰμάτων ἐπισκόπους— The inspectors of my father's blood—5	150	18
121-5. ἀ'γὼ, χέουσα τάσδε χέρνιβας φθιτοῖς, λέγω, καλοῦσα πατέρ', ἐποικτείρειν ἐμὲ φίλον τ' 'Ορέστην		
φίλον τ' 'Ορέστην πως ἀνάξομεν δόμοις.  Which words do I, while pouring out these sacred urn-drops for the dead, pronounce, calling upon our father to pity me and dear Orestes, [and to see that we are conquerors,] and that we may somehow bring back Orestes home.	150	90
Olegies Home	100	20

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts Elmsley's ἔσθλ' for ἐστ', and, in v. 85, Stanley's δόσιν γε for δόσιν τε.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. transposes the order of the verses and the speaker, and supposes the loss of a verse, indicated by asterisks.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ H. alters  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$   $\tau\iota$  into  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\omega\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$ , although he confesses that  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\omega\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$  is a word not to be found elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. places the verse here, which is commonly found after 159, and inserts  $\tilde{a}\rho\eta\xi\rho\nu$  to fill up the sense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts Ahrens αἰμάτων for δωμάτων—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. reads  $\tilde{a}'\gamma\dot{\omega}$  for  $\kappa\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ , and  $\phi\theta i\tau\tilde{o}i\zeta$  for  $\beta o\tau\tilde{o}i\zeta$  in one MS., and  $\beta \rho o\tau\tilde{o}i\zeta$  in another; and  $\epsilon\pi\tilde{o}i\kappa\tau\epsilon i\rho\tilde{o}\nu\tau'$  for  $\epsilon\pi\tilde{o}i\kappa\tau\epsilon i\rho\tilde{o}\nu$   $\tau'$ , and  $\pi\omega\zeta$  for  $\pi\tilde{\omega}\zeta$ , and conceives that some words have dropped out, answering to those between the brackets.

Line in Greek Text.	Refere Bohn's	
137. καὶ τοὺς κτανόντας ἀντικακτανεῖν δίκη.  And to kill in return with justice, those who killed thee <sup>1</sup> page	150 lin	ne 33
145-51. ἵετε δάκρυ καναχὲς  ολόμενον ολομένω  δεσπότα πρὸς ἔρμα γᾶς  τόδε κεδνόν κακῶν δ'  ἀπότροπον ἄγος ἀπεύχετον κεχυμένων χοᾶν, κλύε δέ μοι σέβας,  κλύ, ὧ δέσποτ, ἐξ ἀμαυρᾶς Φρενός.  Send a tear with a shriek, miserable, for the miserable lord, at this sacred mound of earth; but the pollution from libations poured out, to ward off ills, is an abomination. Hear, O lord, hear the honors [paid to thee] from a darkened mind. <sup>2</sup>		8
152-8. ὀ το το το το το το ι ο το το το ι ο το το το ι ο ι	151	13
175. οὐχ ἦσσον αὖ δακρυτὰ— Not less on the other hand to be wept for—4	152	19

4 H. adopts Emper's αὖ δακρυτά in lieu of εὖ δακρυτά.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts Scaliger's ἀντικακτανεῖν in lieu of ἀντικατθανεῖν. But Scaliger's reading was, as I can testify, ἀντικατακτανεῖν, found subsequently with a γρ. in MS. Med. In Attic Greek κατακτανεῖν could not be contracted into κακτανεῖν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has altered ἔρυμα into ἕρμα, and τόδε κακῶν κεδνῶν τ' into τόδε κεδνὸν κακῶν δ', and ἄλγος into ἄγος, and κλύε δέ μοι κλύε σέβας  $\vec{\omega}$  into κλύε δέ μοι σέβας κλύ'  $\vec{\omega}$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., where he has changed  $\tau'$  èv  $\chi \epsilon \rho o \tilde{v}$  into  $\tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \rho i$ , and  $\nu \omega \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \eta$  into  $\nu \omega \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu \xi i \phi \eta$  with Pauw.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
183. [After this verse, H. has marked the loss of another with this sense—' the wife of Ægistheus the doer of shame;' in Greek, 'Η τοῦ μὲν αἰσχυντῆρος Αἰγίσθου δάμαρ.] page		
189. [After 'Ορέστου H. understands οὐκ ἔχω with the Schol., and conceives that Electra is here talking to herself.]	152 2	20
191. εἰθ' εἶχε φωνὴν ἔμφρον'—  Would that it had an intelligent voice i	152 2	21
193. ἀλλ' ἦν σαφηνῆ τόνδ' ἀποπτύσαι πλόκον— But it would have been clear² for me to reject this lock—	152 2	23
197-201. [This tetrastich, commonly the continuation of the speech of Electra, is assigned to the Chorus by H. but without his giving any reason for the change.]		27
204. [After συνεμπόρου τινός, H. has marked the loss of one or more lines by asterisks.]		33
220. ὡς ὄντ' 'Ορέστην γάρ σ' ἐγὼ προσεννέπω; As being Orestes do I then address thee ?	153	19
225,6. — βόστρυχον τριχὸς σαυτῆς ἀδελφοῦ, συμμέτρου τῷ σῷ κάρᾳ.  The bunch of the hair of thy brother, corresponding with that on thy head		23
228. [After εἰς δὲ θήρειον γραφὴν, 'upon the picture of animals,' H. has marked by asterisks the loss of a line; which, had it been preserved, would have equalized the eleven lines spoken by Orestes with the eleven in the		
	153	30
235. ω τερπνὸν ὄνομα—΄ O name delightful to me— <sup>5</sup>	154	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts Auratus'  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\phi\rho\sigma\nu$ ' instead of  $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\phi\rho\sigma\nu$ '—

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Erfurdt's  $\eta \nu \sigma \alpha \phi \eta \nu \tilde{\eta}$  in lieu of  $\tilde{\epsilon} \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \phi \eta \nu \tilde{\eta}$ —

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts Valckenaer's ὄνομα for ὅμμα—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. reads γάρ σ' ἐγὼ προσεννέπω in lieu of τάδ' ἐγὼ σε προυννέπω: where προσεννέπω is due to Arnald. But γάρ could not be the fourth word in a sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This verse H. has placed after βόστρυχον τριχός, not, as commonly, after κηδείου τριχός.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
252-60. [These nine verses H. assigns to Electra, to answer to the nine spoken by Orestes.] page	154 <i>line</i>	18
275. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐκ γῆς δυσφρόνων μηνίματα For the angry feelings of the ill-disposed [coming] from the earth <sup>1</sup>	155	3
276. — τὰς δ' αἰνῶν νόσους, Praising others as diseases—2	155.	5
281. [H. has put the verse, commonly read here, after φόβος, in v. 284. So too does Blomf., whose name however is not mentioned	155	8
291. ——δέχεσθαι δ' οὕτε συλλύειν τινα. And that no one receive him nor sail with him³	155	18 ·
302. [H. prefers in the Notes εἰ δὲ μὴ, τάχ' εἴσομαι, to prevent εἴσεται being taken in a passive sense.]	156	3
316. σκότφ φάος ἀντίμοιρον A light, having a share opposite to [or 'in return for '] darkness	156	12
327-9. πατέρων τε καὶ τεκόντων γόος ἔνδικος ματεύει ροπὰν, ἀμφιλαφης ταραχθείς. A just sorrow, excited in abundance, seeks the turn [in the scale] for a father and a mother <sup>5</sup>	156	18
330,1. — ὄδ' ἐπιτύμβιος θρῆνος This lament ever thy tomb— ···· ··· ···	156	21

1 H. adopts Lobeck's μηνίματα in lieu of μειλίγματα—

3 So H. adopts Bothe's interpretation of συλλύειν—

4 H. adopts Erfurdt's αντίμοιρον in lieu of ἰσόμοιρον—

<sup>5</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has adopted Lachmann's  $\dot{\rho}o\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu$  in lieu of  $\tau\dot{o}$   $\pi\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ . ταραχθεὶς, literally 'troubled,' could hardly mean 'excited.' But

 $\delta$  H. reads  $\delta \epsilon$  σ'  $\delta \delta$ ' in lieu of  $\tau o i \varsigma$ —for the sake of the metre in the strophé; where is now retained  $\delta \nu$   $\epsilon \kappa a \theta \epsilon \nu$ —although he once suggested  $\delta \gamma \kappa a \theta \epsilon \nu$ , asserting that the optative could be used in a potential sense without  $\delta \nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., which I must leave for others to understand, if they can.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
346,7. τέκνων τε κελεύθοις κτίσας ἐπιστρεπτὸν alῶ After having built up a life to be turned to in the paths of thy children— page	157 line	2
355. βασιλεύς γὰρ ἦν, ὄφρ' ἔζη For he was a king, while he lived—	157	9
360. μετ' ἄλλφ δουρικμῆτι λαφ With another spear-subdued clan—	157	14
361,2. παρὰ Σκαμάνδρου πόρον τεθάφθαι πέπρωσο  By the stream of Scamander, thou hadst been fated to be buried—4	157	15
369. – δύνασαι γάρ. For thou canst	157	21
372,3. — τῶν δὲ κρατούντων χέρες οὐχ ὅσιαι στυγερῶν γ' ὅντων. But unholy are the hands of those in power being hateful. <sup>6</sup>	157	2 <b>3</b>
380. — τοκεῦσι δ' ὅμως τελοῖτο.  And may it be accomplished equally for parents.'	157 2	2 <b>4</b>
381. ——γένοιτό μοί ποτε— Would that it may be at some time for me <sup>s</sup>	158	4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So reads H. in lieu of  $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \delta \nu$  αίῶνα κτίσσας, and refers to Bekker, Anecdot. p. 363, 17, Αίῶ· τὸν αίῶνα κατ' ἀποκοπὴν Αίσχύλος  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \nu$ —and so too Ahrens, whose name however is not mentioned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\eta_{\zeta}$  and  $\xi \zeta \eta_{\zeta}$ .

<sup>3</sup> H. reads μετ' ἄλλφ with Stanl. and δουρικμητι with Blomf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. alters  $\tau i\theta a\psi ai$  into  $\tau i\theta a\phi \theta ai$  with Ahrens (or rather Abresch), and inserts from conjecture  $\pi i\pi \rho \omega \sigma o$ —But  $\pi i\pi \rho \omega \sigma o$  is a word that never is, for it never could be, found.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. alters ὀδυνᾶσαι γὰρ, found in MSS., to δύνασαι γάρ—which he renders—'For thou mayest.' But the meaning of those words he has failed to unfold.

<sup>6</sup> H. alters τούτων into γ' ὄντων—

<sup>7</sup> H. reads with Boissonade τοκεῦσι δ' ὅμως τελοῖτο in lieu of τελεῖται. But ὅμως means 'however,' not 'equally.'

<sup>8</sup> H. adds from conjecture ποτε after γένοιτο μοι-

Line in Greek Text.  384-8. — τί γὰρ κεύθ- ω, φρενὸς οἶον ἔμπας ποτᾶται πάροιθε πρώρας δριμυστάκτου κραδίας, θύματος ἔγκοτον, στύγος; For why should I conceal how great a hatred of mind, mixed with anger for a sacrifice, is flitting entirely before the prow of a heart	Referenc Bohn's E	
dropping with bitterness? 1 page	158 line	6
394. κλῦτε δὲ τὰ χθονίων πρότιμα— And hear ye, the honored of those under the earth.*	158	12
401. — ἀραὶ τεθυμένων Ye curses of the sacrificed—3	158	19
406. οἰκτρὸν τόνδε κλύουσαν οἶκτον. On hearing this piteous lament.4	158	22
410-12. ὅταν δ' αὖτ' ἐπαλκὲς ἦτορ θάρση, ἀπέστασεν ἄχος πρὸς τὸ φανέν τί μοι καλῶς. But when again a valiant heart shall be bold, it has displaced a sorrow, by causing something to appear well to me. 5	159	1

<sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; where he has altered  $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\imath} o \nu$  into  $o \tilde{\imath} o \nu$ , and  $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\omega} c$   $\tilde{a} \kappa \tau a \iota$  in Rob. into  $\delta \rho \iota \mu \nu \sigma \tau \dot{a} \kappa \tau o \nu$ , and  $\pi \dot{a} \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$  δè into  $\pi \dot{a} \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon$ —

3 H. reads τεθυμένων for φθιμένων—But των could not be omitted.

4 H. inserts from conjecture οίκτρον between κέαρ and τόνδε—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. who now prefers πρότιμα to τιτηνὰ, which he once suggested, in lieu of τετιμέναι, and this too after τιτηνὰ had been received by Martin, Bamberger, and Paley, as the very word of Æschylus, or leading the nearest to it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such I presume is the literal version, which H. would have given of his text; where he has introduced  $\eta \tau o \rho$  from conjecture after  $\epsilon \pi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \zeta$ , and altered  $\theta \rho a \rho \epsilon$  into  $\theta a \rho \sigma \eta$ , and  $\pi \rho \delta \zeta$   $\tau \delta$   $\phi a \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$   $\mu o \iota$  into  $\pi \rho \delta \zeta$   $\tau \delta$   $\phi a \nu \epsilon i \nu$   $\tau \iota$   $\mu o \iota$ —To get however at the presumed sense, it would be requisite to write  $\pi \rho \delta \zeta$   $\tau o \tilde{\nu}$  in lieu of  $\pi \rho \delta \zeta$   $\tau \delta$ —But as even H. confesses the whole passage to be in a desperate state, it would have been perhaps wiser to have left it untouched.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
413,4. τίδ αν φάντες τυχοιμεν; η τά περ		
πάθομεν ἄχεα πρός γε τῶν τεκομένων ;		
In saying, what should we hit [the mark]?		
Are they not the pangs, which we have suffered from our parents? page	159 line	3
415. πάρεστι σαίνειν— It is possible to flatter [some acts <sup>3</sup> ]	159	3
418. ἔκοψα κομμὸν Αριον		
	159	7
418,9. — τε Κισσίας		
νόμοις ἰηλεμιστρίας—		
And with the measures of a Cissian woman	1 50	_
• •	159	7
420. — ἄδην ίδεῖν		_
To see in abundance—•	159	8
439. ἔχεις πατρφον κόρον— Thou hast [or 'hearest'] thy father's death	160	4
	100	7
441. μυχῷ δ' ἄφερκτος Confined in a recess—s	160	6
443. χέουσα πολύδακρυν γόον— Βουνίης forth a manning with many toons	160	8
Pouring forth a moaning with many tears	100	0
444. [H. has marked by asterisks the loss of some		
words between ἀκούων and ἐν φρέσιν; and in the Notes asserts that, although it cannot		•
be stated exactly what has dropped out, the		
be stated enderly what has dropped out, and		

<sup>12</sup> H. adopts  $\tau i \delta' a \nu \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ , suggested by Bothe and Bamberger, in lieu of  $\tau i \delta' a \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ , and  $\ddot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \alpha$ , for  $\ddot{\alpha} \chi \theta \epsilon \alpha$ , with Lachmann.

3 So probably H. understood Πάρεστι σαίνειν, by mentally sup-

plying  $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  in the first clause, from  $\tau \dot{a} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  in the second.

<sup>5</sup> H. corrects πολεμιστρίας into *iη*λεμιστρίας on the authority of Hesych. Ίηλεμιστρίας θρηνητρίας.

7 H. alters λέγεις into ἔχεις—

<sup>8</sup> H. adopts Stanley's  $\mu\nu\chi\hat{\psi}$  for  $\mu\nu\chi\hat{\omega}$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. alters  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa o\psi \epsilon$  into  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa o\psi$ , and "Ap $\epsilon io\nu$  into "Ap $io\nu$ —where 'Arius,' he says, alludes to the people called Arii, who were related to the Medes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. reads  $\pi ο \lambda υ \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \tau' \ddot{\alpha} \delta \eta \nu$  with Bamberger, in lieu of  $\pi ο \lambda υ \pi \dot{\alpha} - \lambda \alpha \gamma \kappa \tau \alpha \delta' \ddot{\eta} \nu$  in Turneb.

<sup>9</sup> H. adopts Dobree's χέουσα in lieu of χαίρουσα—

War shall conflict with war—1 page 160 line 20  475,6. κἀγὼ, πάτερ τοιῶνδε σοῦ χρείαν ἔχω τυχεῖν, μέγαν προσθεῖσαν Αἰγίσθῳ φθόρον— I have a need of meeting with such things from thee, O father, that after having placed a great destruction upon Ægistheus—2 161  7  492. ἡ τὰς ὁμοίας ἀντίδος λαβὰς λαβεῖν. Or grant them in return to receive equal layings-hold?3 161  29  497 and foll. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— 497 OR. 498 EL. 499 OR. 502 EL. 505 CH. 508 OR., and reads Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε in lieu of Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζει, and, placing Τίμημα before, instead of after, καὶ μὴν, he changes ἀμόμφητον δὲ τινα τὸν into ἀμεμφῆ τόνδ ἐτεινάτην—] 162  7  524. [H. after Abresch assigns this verse to OR.] 162  525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream.4 162  546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do anything at all.] 163  20	Line in Greek Text.  whole verse was perhaps to this effect:— 'Hearing of the insulting acts done by these parties, in your thoughts—']	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
Tuχείν, μέγαν προσθεῖσαν Αἰγίσθο φθόρον—  I have a need of meeting with such things from thee, O father, that after having placed a great destruction upon Ægistheus—2 161  492. ἢ τὰς ὁμοίας ἀντίδος λαβὰς λαβεῖν.  Or grant them in return to receive equal layings-hold?3 161  29  497 and foll. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— 497 OR. 498 EL. 499 OR. 502 EL. 505 CH. 508 OR., and reads Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε in lieu of Αὐτὸς δὲ σώζει, and, placing Τίμημα before, instead of after, Καὶ μὴν, he changes ἀμόμφητον δὲ τινα τὸν into ἀμεμφῆ τόνδ ἐτεινάτην—] 162  524. [H. after Abresch assigns this verse to OR.] 162  525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream.4 162  546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do		160 line 20
Or grant them in return to receive equal layings-hold? 161 29  497 and foll. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— 497 OR. 498 EL. 499 OR. 502 EL. 505 CH. 508 OR., and reads Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε in lieu of Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε, and, placing Τίμημα before, instead of after, Καὶ μὴν, he changes ἀμόμφητον δὲ τινα τὸν into ἀμεμφῆ τόνδ ἐτεινάτην—] 162 7  524. [H. after Abresch assigns this verse to OR.] 162 29  525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream. 4 162 30  546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do	τυχείν, μέγαν προσθείσαν Αἰγίσθω φθόρον— I have a need of meeting with such things from thee, O father, that after having placed	161 7
497 OR. 498 EL. 499 OR. 502 EL. 505 CH. 508 OR., and reads Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε in lieu of Αὐτὸς δὲ σώζει, and, placing Τίμημα before, instead of after, Καὶ μὴν, he changes ἀμόμφητον δέ τινα τὸν into ἀμεμφῆ τόνδ ἐτεινάτην—] 162 7  524. [H. after Abresch assigns this verse to OR.] 162 29  525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream 162 30  546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do	Or grant them in return to receive equal	161 29
<ul> <li>525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream.4 162 30</li> <li>546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do</li> </ul>	497 OR. 498 EL. 499 OR. 502 EL. 505 CH. 508 OR., and reads Αὐτὸς δὲ σῶζε in lieu of Αὐτὸς δὲ σώζει, and, placing Τίμημα before, instead of after, Καὶ μὴν, he changes ἀμόμφητον δέ τινα τὸν into ἀμεμφῆ τόνδ	162 7
<ul> <li>525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a dream.4 162 30</li> <li>546. [H. thus arranges the speeches:— CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends. OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do</li> </ul>	524. [H. after Abresch assigns this verse to OR.]	162 29
CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends.  OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do	525. αὐτὴ προσέσχε μαστὸν ἐν γ' ὀνείρατι She gave herself the breast at least in a	
anything at all.] 163 20	CH. So may it be; but explain the rest to thy friends.  OR. The story is a simple one. I tell this person to go within, and others to do one thing, and others not to do	
	anything at all.]	163 <b>20</b>

1 Η. adopts Pauw's ξυμβαλεῖ for ξυμβάλλει.

<sup>2</sup> H. alters τοιάνδε σου φυγεῖν in Turneb. into τοιῶνδε σου....τυχεῖν — and substitutes his own  $\theta\theta$ όρον for  $\mu$ όρον, the supplement of Canter.

4 H. reads εν γ' ὀνείρατι in lieu of εν τ'-

18

Reference to Jine in Greek Text. Bohn's Edit. 563,4, τί δη πύλαισι τον ίκετην απείργεται Αίγισθος; είπερ Why does Ægistheus bar out the suppliant at the gates? especially if—1 page 163 line 35 567.8. ἢ καὶ μολὼν ἔπειτά μοι κατὰ στόμα άρει, σάφ' ίσθι, και κατ' όφθαλμους βαλεί Or even after arriving he shall then, know thou clearly, lift up his mouth before me, and cast down his eyes—2 1 581,6. ποντίαι τ' άγκάλαι κνωδάλων άνταίων βρύουσι πλάθουσι καὶ πεδαίχμιοι λαμπάδες πεδάοροι πτανα δε και πεδαβάμον απ' ανεμοέντων αλγίδων φράσαι κότον. And the arms of the sea flourish with hostile monsters; and the lights in mid air are plentiful in the space between combatants; and things flying and walking on foot

have spoken of the anger from windy hurri-

canes—8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads ἀπείργεται Αἴγισθος, with MS. Med. But ἀπείργεται is never found in an active sense. Ald. and Turn. more correctly, ἀπείργετε, Αἴγισθος εἴπερ—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is Bamberger's version of his own text— $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha$   $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tilde{\imath}$ —adopted by H. in lieu of  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tilde{\imath}$ —But correct Greek and common sense would require rather— $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau'$   $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \iota$   $\gamma'$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha}$   $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha$   $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tilde{\imath}$ —for thus  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \rho \epsilon \tilde{\imath}$  would be properly opposed to  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\imath}$ —

<sup>3</sup> Such is the literal translation of the text of H.; where he has altered  $\beta\rho\sigma\tau\sigma\tilde{\iota}\sigma\iota$  into  $\beta\rho\tilde{\iota}\sigma\upsilon\sigma\iota$ , of which, as being, he asserts, the underwritten gloss, he has rejected  $\beta\lambda\alpha\sigma\tau\sigma\tilde{\iota}\sigma\iota$ , and changed  $\pi\epsilon\delta\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\mu\sigma\iota$   $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu$  into  $\pi\epsilon\delta\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\mu\sigma\nu'$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi'$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ —observing that the masculine  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ , joined to the feminine  $\alpha\dot{\iota}\gamma\dot{\iota}\delta\omega\nu$ , ought not to excite the least suspicion. But as he has failed to show the syntax in  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\iota$ , I have translated, as if he meant to write  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\nu$ , i. e.  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\rho\alpha\sigma\alpha\nu$ . He might however have intended to take  $\phi\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\iota$ , the infinitive, in the sense of the imperative. The latter part of these alterations was first proposed in his Dissertat. de different. Pros. et Pcet. Orat. p. 33; but its meaning even Wellauer said he could not comprehend.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
587–92. ἀλλ' ὑπέρτολμον ἀνδρ-		
òs φρόνημα τίς λόγ <b>φ</b>		
καὶ γυναικῶν Φράσει		
τλημόνων παντόλμοις		
ἔρωτας ἄταισι συννόμους βροτῶν,		
συζύγους θ' όμαυλίας;		
But who will tell in a speech the overdaring		
thoughts of a man, and the loves of bold		
women, and their cohabitings under a yoke,		
the fellow-livers with very daring calamities		
to mortals <sup>1</sup> page	164 line 2	22
593,4. θηλυκρατής ἀπέρωτος ἔρως πάρα νείκα κνωδάλων τε καὶ βροτῶν.		
The love, that rules in females, is present		
unlovely in a contest, in the case of monsters		
and mortals <sup>2</sup>	164	24
595–8. ἴστω δ' ὅστις οὐχ ὑπόπτερος Φροντίσιν, τὰν δαεῖσ' ὁ παιδολύμ-		
ας τάλαινα Θεστιὰς μήσατο,		
πυρδαῆτιν πρόνοιαν—	•	
Let him, who is not with flighty thoughts, know		
the fire-burning plan, which the wretched		
child-destroying daughter of Thestis knew		
	164 2	26
		••
604. ἄλλον δ' ἐστὶν ἐν λόγοις στυγεῖν Another there is in stories to hate—4	165	7
VII.	165	1
605. —— έχθρῶν ὑπαὶ		
Induced by foes—5	165	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; where he has altered  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$  into  $\lambda \dot{\delta} \gamma \psi$ , and  $\phi \rho \epsilon \sigma \dot{\iota} \nu$  into  $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ , and adopted from one MS.  $\pi a \nu \tau \dot{\delta} \lambda \mu o \iota \varsigma$  instead of  $\pi a \nu \tau \dot{\delta} \lambda \mu o \nu \varsigma$ , and rejected  $\kappa a \dot{\iota}$  after  $\tau \lambda \eta \mu \dot{\delta} \nu \omega \nu$  on conjecture. And he has thus given up the notion he once promulgated, even after it had been adopted by his admirers, that  $\tau \dot{\iota} \varsigma \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma o \iota$  could be united without  $\ddot{a} \nu$ .

<sup>3</sup> Such is the version of the text of H.; where he has altered δαείς τὰν into τὰν δαεῖσ', and πυρδαῆ τινα into πυρδαῆτιν—

<sup>4</sup> H. alters δή τιν' into δ' ἔστιν, as he had suggested at Soph. Œd. R. 688; and adopts Canter's ἄλλαν for ἀλλὰ—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such, I presume, is the version of the text of H.; where he has altered, with Victorius,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\pi\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$  into  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\tau\sigma_{\mathcal{G}}$ , and  $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\nu\kappa\ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}$  into  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\alpha\nu\epsilon\dot{\kappa}\boldsymbol{q}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes observes that Porson's ὕπερ for ὑπαὶ is very apt.

## THE CHOEPHORI.



Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
614-8. ἄκαιρος δ' όσέβων Unseasonable is the person reverencing page	165 <i>line</i>	8
619. ἐπ' ἀνδρὶ δάοις ἐπικλύτφ Against a man renowned amongst foes————————————————————————————————————	165	10
622,3. — γοᾶται δὲ γᾶ πάθος κατά- πτυστον		
	165	14
623,4. — ἤκασεν δέ τις το δεινὸν ἃν Λημνίοισι πήμασιν And a person would assimilate the dreadful thing to the calamities at Lemnos.4	<b>164</b>	15
631-3. — τὸ μὴ θέμις γὰρ, οὖ λὰξ πέδοι πατούμενον, τὸ πῶν Διὸς σέβας παρεκβαντὸς οὖ θεμιστῶς.  For that which is not lawful, being not trodden on the ground [is the act] of a person, who has transgressed not lawfully the whole respect due to Zeus	165	21
636-8. τέκνον δ' ἐπεισφέρει δόμοισιν, ἐκ δ' αἰμάτων παλαιτέρων τίνει μύσος χρόιω κλυτὰ βυσσόφρων Ἐρινύς. And it brings a child to houses; and the deep-thinking Erinnys, time-honoured, pays the pollution [arising] from more ancient	•	
	165	25

<sup>1</sup> H. alters ἀκαίρως δὲ......σέ $\beta$ ας into ἄκαιρος δ' ὁ......σέ $\beta$ ων—

3 H. alters γοᾶται δὲ δὴ ποθεὶ into γοᾶται δὲ γᾶ πάθος—and takes γοᾶται in an active sense, which would be inadmissible in correct Greek.

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts Portus' αν for αν-

<sup>5</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; out of which the reader is left to make what sense he can. That it was not very intelligible to H. himself, is shewn by his abridged representation of the passage.— 'The wickedness of that person, who has impiously violated the reverence due to Jupiter, is not neglected.' But how such a meaning can be extracted from the words of the text, I am at a loss to discover.

<sup>6</sup> Here again the reader is left to make what sense he can out of this literal version of the text of H., where he has adopted Müller's  $i\kappa$   $\delta$ '—

Canter's αἰμάτων, and τίνε, in Turneb.

 $E \mathcal{X}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. substitutes ἐπικλύτψ for ἐπικότψ, and refers to Apollon. Rh. II. 236: Εἰ δὴ ἐγὼν ὁ πρίν ποτ' ἐπίκλυτος ἀνδράσι Φινεὺς "Ολβψ μαντοσύνη τε—

Line in Freek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
642,3. τρίτον τόδ ἐκπέραμα δωμάτων καλῶ, εἶπερ φιλόξεν ἐστίν, Αἰγίσθου βίαν.  I make this third call for the coming-out of the might of Ægistheus from the house, if indeed it is friendly to strangers.¹ page	166 line 3
650. γυνη στέγαρχος	166 12
651. alδώς γὰρ ἐν λέσχαισιν For modesty in places of public resort*	166 14
657. — δικαίων τ' ὀμπνίων παρουσία  And the presence of food for just persons	166 20
677. οἱ 'γὼ κατ' ἄκρας, ἐκπαθῶς πορθούμεθα. Woe! woe! we are destroyed utterly without suffering.5	167 6
680. [H. transposes this verse after v. 682, and reads ἀποψιλοῖ, 'he strips me naked,' instead of ἀποψιλοῖς, 'thou strippest me naked.]	
684,5. σὺν δ', ἢπερ ἐν δόμοισι βακχείας ζαλῆς ἐατρὸς ἐλπὶς ἦν, παροῦσαν ἐγγράφει.  And at the same time he (Orestes) writes down as present the hope, which was the cure for the storm of drunken passion. <sup>6</sup>	167 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts Bamberger's reading and interpretation. But such a sense cannot be fairly elicited from the Greek. For καλῶ could not be thus united, as Bamberger fancies it could, to the two accusatives, ἐκπέραμα and βίαν.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Bamberger's στέγαρχος in lieu of τόπαρχος in MSS.

3 H. adopts Emper's λέσχαισιν for λεχθεῖσιν—

<sup>4</sup> H. alters ὀμμάτων into ὀμπνίων, referring to Hesych. "Ομπνη· τροφή· "Ομπνια· τὰ ζωτικά· 'Ομπνία· καρποφόρος τροφή.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $i\kappa\pi\alpha\theta\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$  in lieu of  $i\nu$   $\pi\alpha\sigma'$   $\omega\varsigma$  in MSS., and  $i\nu\theta\dot{\alpha}\delta'$   $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$  in Turn., and remarks that  $i\kappa\pi a\theta\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$ , which elsewhere means 'out of suffering,' as shewn by Suidas in ' $\mathbf{E}\kappa\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\varsigma$ , here means 'immediately.'

<sup>6</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has altered  $\nu\bar{\nu}\nu$  into  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ , and adopted Emper's  $\zeta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\varsigma$  for  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ : while he attempts to explain the passage thus altered, by saying - He (Orestes) shews the hope to be present; since he is present himself, although reduced to ashes.'

Line in Greek Text.					erence to n's Edit.
699. ὀπισθόπουν τε τοῦδε κα Both the follower of of his path <sup>1</sup>		and par		167	line 28
714. [H. rejects here τὸν ; p. 115, τὸν νύχιον : but without mention	whom Paley	has follo	wed,	168	6
716. [After this verse H another, which he Οἴκοισι πένθος θεὶς τ from the words of πεποιηκέναι πένθος τ and he thus rendereading τυχεῖν κακὸ 'This stranger seem in the house by the	has given in νέοις άγγέλμα f the Scholia τῶ οἶκω διὰ τὰ ers this supp ν in lieu of ms to have ex	the Not σιν, obta ast—ἀντὶ ῆς ἀγγελί lement, α τεύχειν κ	es— ined τοῦ as— after aκον,		
725. θετοσκυθρωπὸν ἐντὸς δ κείθουσ' Concealing a smile of her eyes <sup>2</sup>				168	17
738. [In defence of the that led Dindorf to H. has written a admirers, with the berger, will probable omitted.]	to suspect a note, which exception	lacuna l ch even n of I	here, his Bam- been	168	28
759,60. ἀλλ' αὐτὸν ἐλθεῖν, ἄνωχθ' ὅσον τάχιση Order him to come a a sleeping mind, th	rá γ' εύδούση as quickly as	φρενί. possible		169	13
760. ἐν ἀγγέλω γὰρ κρυπτὸ For in the case of speech is made str		yos. a conce 		169	15

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  H. adopts Pauw's  $\delta\pi\iota\sigma\theta\delta\pi\sigma\upsilon\nu....\xi\upsilon\nu\epsilon\mu\pi\sigma\rho\sigma\upsilon$ , and changes  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  τόν $\delta\epsilon$  into  $\tau\epsilon$  το $\tilde{\upsilon}\delta\epsilon$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. adopts Erfurdt's  $\theta$ ετοσκυ $\theta$ ρω $\pi$ ον in lieu of  $\theta$ έτο σκυ $\theta$ ρω $\pi$ ον—But  $\theta$ ετοσκυ $\theta$ ρω $\pi$ ος is scarcely a good Greek compound.

<sup>3</sup> H. changes γαθούση into γ' εὐδούση, referring to εὐδούση φρενὶ in Soph. Tympanistr. Fr.
4 H. retains κρυπτὸς, furnished by the Scholiast in the Leipsic MS. οἱ

Line in Greek Text.						Reference Bohn's E	
772–4.	δός τύχας κυρίως τὰ μαιομένοις	σώφρον' εὖ					
G		events may that temper well.1			ay be	170 line	1
775,6.	καδ δίκαν : ἔλακον—	πῶν ἔπος				_	
A	ccording word <sup>2</sup>	to Justice,	I have	spoken 	•	170	3
	•	έχθρῶν τὸν ἐ eus, him w		•	before	170	4
781–7.	ίσχε δ' ἀν ιν ζυγέντ' πημάτων, προστιθεί σωζόμενο τοῦτ' ὶδεῖ	ς μέτρον, τίν	้ สข้	•	••••		-
8	beloved r and place this soil	nan, yoked to the thou a line may see ago aces may, a asure	to the ca nit to hi in that	r of call is race, s the end	amity; so that eavour reserve	170	8

Homer, IA. xv. 207, and rejects  $\kappa \nu \pi \tau \delta c$ , found in the Venice MS. according to Villoison, and adopted by Blomf.

1 So H., where εὐ τυχεῖν is due to Bamberger, in lieu of δὸς τύχας

δέ μου τυχείν κυρίως τὰ σώφροσυνεο μαιομένοις ίδείν.

<sup>8</sup> Η. adopts Seidler's τὸν ἔσωθεν in lieu of τῶν ἔσω—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads καδ δίκαν πᾶν is lieu of διὰ δικᾶσαι in MSS., where πᾶν is due to Pauw. But καδ δίκαν is an Æolism, never found in Tragic Greek at Athens; although it is in the Comic fragments of the Doric Epicharmus.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has adopted Pauw's  $l\sigma\chi\epsilon$  for  $l\sigma\theta\iota$ —and altered  $\tau\iota\varsigma$   $d\nu$  into  $\tau\iota\nu$ '  $d\nu$ —and  $\delta\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\delta\sigma\nu$  into  $\gamma\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\delta\sigma\nu$ . To myself the Greek and the version are equally unintelligible.

Line in 'Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's K	
788-90. οι τ' ἔσω δωμάτων πλουτογαθη μυχὸν ἐνίζετε, κλῦτε, σύμφρονες θεοί.  Ye too, who sit in the recess rejoicing in wealth within the house, hear, ye gods, who think with us. <sup>1</sup> vage	170 <i>line</i>	
791,2. ἄγετε, τῶν πάλαι  λύσασθ αἶμα προσφάτοις δίκαις  Come, absolve by new acts of justice the blood  of those of former times—2	170	12
793. [After dikais H. has marked the loss of a line by asterisks.]		
795,6. τὸ δὲ καλῶς κτίμενον οι μέγα ναίων στόμιον Ο thou that dwellest in the well-built large [cavern's] mouth—3	170	15
796-8. — εὖ δὸς ἀνέδην δόμον ἀνδρὸς καί νιν ἰδεῖν φιλίοις ὅμμασιν ἐκ δνοφερᾶς καλύπτρας.  Grant that the house of the man and himself may freely see with friendly eyes out of the dark veil⁴	170	16
799–801. ξυλλάβοι δ' ἐνδίκως παῖς ὁ Μαίας ἐπιφορώτατος πρᾶξιν οὐρίαν θέλων		
And may the son of Maia, bearing down very much, assist justly, wishing an action with a favourable wind.	170	19

<sup>2</sup> H. omits with Canter πεπραγμένων after των πάλαι—

<sup>4</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has altered ἀνιδεῖν into ἀνέδην—of which he says, that both ἐλευθερίως and λαμπρῶς are glosses, that have crept into the text.

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts Seidler's ἐνίζετε in preference to his own ὁρίζετε, in lieu of νομίζετε—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. adopts Bamberger's κτίμενον for κτάμενον—which I first proposed in the Classical Journal No. 13, p. 168.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such is the literal and to myself unintelligible version of the text of H.; where he now retains ἐπιφορώτατος, which he formerly altered into ἐπιφθορώτατος.

Lane in	Reference t	
reek Text.	Bohn's Edi	t.
802-5. τὰ δ' ἄλα' ἀμφανεῖ	•	
χρήζων ἄσκοπον δ' ἔπος λέγων		
νύκτα πρό τ' δμμάτων σκότον φέρει,		
καθ ήμέραν δ' οὐδεν εμφανέστερος.		
He, who gave the oracle, will shew forth what		
was dark; but, by speaking a word not to		
be seen through, he brings a night and		
darkness before the eyes, and during the		
	170 line 2	0
806. καὶ τότ' ήδη, τότε πλοῦτον οἴσομεν		
And then now, then, we shall bring wealth <sup>2</sup>	171	_
809,10. ἄμα δὲ κρεκτὸν γοατὰν νόμον		
θήσομεν πόλει		
And at the same time we shall place in the		
city a strain struck on the lyre-string, by		
		1
	111	
810,11. — τὰ δ' ϵΰ		
έχουτ' εμύν κερδος αύξει τόδ'—		
But affairs, by turning out well, increase this		
my gain.4	171	4
813,16. σὺ δὲ θαρσῶν, ὅταν ἥκῃ μέρος ἔργων,		
έπαίσας τε θροοῦσαν		
πρὸς σὲ—τέκνον—πατρὸς		
περαίνειν επίμομφον αὐδάν.		
And do thou boldly, when shall come [thy]		
share in deeds, having heard her cry out to		
thee—'My child'—bring to a finish the		
	171	7
monthaume torso or only resource	11 L	•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such, I presume, is what H. meant by his refiction of the text; where, in lieu of  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta' \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a \phi a \nu \epsilon i \chi \rho \eta i \zeta \omega \nu \kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ —he reads  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta' \tilde{a} \lambda a' \tilde{a} \mu \phi a \nu \epsilon i \chi \rho \eta i \zeta \omega \nu$ —and says that  $\kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$  has crept into the text from the Schol.  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\nu} \nu \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ . But if the sense is what I have supposed, correct Greek would require  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$ : and hence, perhaps,  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \zeta \omega \nu$  must be rendered 'if he wishes it—'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; where he has supplied oἴσομαι from conjecture, and elicited τότ' ηδη τότε from τότε δη—without observing that τότ' ηδη is Blomfield's suggestion, and still less, that τότ' ηδη is incorrect Greek, and that τότε could not be repeated after τότ' ηδη.

δμοῦ κρεκτὸν γοητῶν νόμον μεθήσομεν πόλει: where δὲ is due to Blomf.

<sup>4</sup> H. alters τὰ ἐμὸν ἐμὸν κέρδος ἀέξεται τόδε into τὰ δ' εὖ ἔχοντ' ἐμὸν κέρδος αὕξει τόδ'—answering to the Scholiast's explanation, τὰ καλῶς ἀποβαίνοντα τὸ ἐμὸν κέρδος ἐστίν.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such is the text of H., which he has substituted in lieu of ἐπαῦσας

Line in Greek Text. 819,20. τοις τ' ἄνω πρόπρασσ' ιων	Reference Bohn's Ed	
χάριτας δργᾶς λυγρᾶς.  And in behalf of those above go and perform the favour of a harsh passion page	171 line	10
820,21. — Ενδοθεν φοινίαν ἄγαν τιθεὶς		
Placing within thee an indignation [boiling with blood <sup>2</sup> ]		12
823. [After this verse H. marks the loss of another, but without even attempting to shew what was wanting for the sense.]		13
828. γένοιτ' αν άχθος δειματοσταγές—	171	18
842. — κἀπιθεάζουσ' And calling upon the gods'	172	2
850. [After this verse H. notices the loss of another, in Greek πλοῦτόν τε δόμων, i. e. 'and the		0
wealth of houses.'] 862. — δεσπότου πεπληγμένου	172	8
Of my master, who has been struck <sup>5</sup>	172	19
	172	26
871. — ἐπὶ ξυροῦ πελας Near to the edge— ·	172	27
872. — πρὸς δίκης πεπληγμένος. Struck justly <sup>8</sup>	172	27

πατρός ἔργφ θροούσα πρός σὲ, τέκνον, πατρός αὐδὰν και περαίνων ἐπίμομφαν ἄταν, in MS. Med.

<sup>2</sup> H. alters ἄταν into ἄγαν—

3 Η. retains δειματοσταγές—

4 H. adopts Schütz's κάπιθεάζουσ' in lieu of κάπιθοαζουσ

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts Schütz's πεπληγμένου instead of τελουμένου—

<sup>6</sup> H. retains  $\pi o \tilde{i}$  against Elmsley's  $\pi o \tilde{v}$ —

<sup>7</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he prefers  $\ell \pi \iota \xi \dot{\eta} \nu o v \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \zeta$ , 'near to the butcher's block,' as suggested by Abresch; who refers to Ag. 1236.

<sup>8</sup> So H. reads partly with MS. Med., instead of  $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$   $\delta\iota\kappa\eta\nu$   $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\gamma$ .  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta\varsigma$  in Turneb. But most assuredly a domestic servant of Ægistheus would never have said that the neck of Clytemnestra had been struck justly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the text of H., where χάριτας is due to Schütz, and λυγρᾶς to Blomf. in lieu of τοῖς τ' ἄνωθεν προπράσσων χάριτος ὀργᾶς λυπρᾶς.

Line in Greek Text.		erence to n's Edit
891. — καὶ παραινεῖς μοι καλῶς.	173	line 16
906. ἀλλ' εἴφ' ὁμοίως  But state equally—2	173	40
932. — καὶ κτεάνων τριβᾶς ὑπαὶ δυοῖν λύσιν μιαστόροιν And a release from the wasting of chattels by	175	1
936,7. ἔθιγε δ' ἐν μάχᾳ χερὸς ἐτήτυμος Διὸς κόρα—	175	•
And the true daughter of Zeus hath touched a hand in battle—4	175	4
942,3. άγνὸν ἔχων μυχὸν χθονὸς ὁ Πύθιος μεσομφάλοις θεὸς παρ' ἐσχάραις Holding the great recess of the earth, the Pythian god at the hearths of the mid-	- In -	
943. [After the Supplement, mentioned in the last Note, H. has marked the loss of the rest of the first Antistrophé, and the commence-	175	7
ment of the second Strophé.]  945. [H., who once attempted out of ἐπ' ὅχθει ἄξεν ἀδόλως δολίας to elicit ἐπαξίως δολία, and subsequently ἐπ' ἐχθροξένοις δόλοισιν δόλια, and more recently ἐπ' ἐχθρόφρον ἔταξεν, ἃ δόλιά σε δολίαν, has confessed, at last, his inability to make anything satisfactory out of ἐπ' ὅχθει ἄξεν—and has retained merely	175	7
å δόλιά σε δολίαν—and χρονισθείσαν, got out of Pauw's χρονισθείσά γ'—]	175	9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he prefers his own παρήνεσας

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads ἀλλ' εἴφ'—instead of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  ἀλλ' εἴφ'—How easy was it for him to read M  $\dot{\eta}$  ἄλειφ'—' Do not daub out—'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. introduces from conjecture λύσιν between δυοίν and μιαστόροιν—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. adopts Pauw's δ'  $\ell\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\dot{\alpha}$ ; and in the Notes prefers Scaliger's  $\ell\tau\eta\tau\dot{\nu}\mu\omega\varsigma$  to  $\ell\tau\dot{\eta}\tau\nu\mu\sigma\varsigma$ .

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  H. refers to this place the fragment, as he imagined, of Æschylus, preserved by Marius Plotius, p. 2645: ὁ Πύθιος μεσομφάλοις θεὸς παρ ἐσχάραις.

Line in Greek Text. 952,3. κρατείτω δ' έπος τὸ θεῖον τὸ μή μ' ὑπουργεῖν κακοῖς.	Reference Bolin's E	
Let the divine word rule—"Do not assist the wicked." page	175 line	10
956. μέγα τ' ἀφηρέθην ψάλιον οἰκετῶν.  I have taken away a great manacle of the		
	175	12
957. ἄναγε μὰν, δόμοι. Rise up, ye houses. <sup>3</sup>	175	13
957,8. πολύν ἄγαν χρόνον χαμαιπετεῖς ἔκεισθ' ἀεί.  For a very long time ye have lain for ever		
fallen upon the ground.4	175	14
963-5. τύχα δ' εὐπροσωποκοίτα το πῶν  ἰδεῖν θρευμένοις  μέτοικοι δύμων πεσοῦνται πάλιν.  And with a fortune, that has a good-looking		
bed, [it is possible] for those, who lament, to see all the foreign settlers in the house	175	18
phan lan again.	110	10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. alters κρατεῖται δέ πως into κρατείτω δ' ἔπος—and rejects παρὰ before τὸ μη—as if it had dropped from the clouds, to use the language his son-in-law, Fritzsche.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads μέγα with Porson and οἰκετῶν with Franz, in lieu of

μέγαν and οίκων.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. alters ἀναγεμὰν δόμοις into ἄναγε μὰν δόμοι—But how the singular ἄναγε is to agree with the plural δόμοι, H. has neglected to shew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. changes χαμαπετεῖσε κεῖσθ' in MSS. into χαμαιπετεῖς ἔκεισθ'— where χαμαιπετεῖς is due to Wellauer, and ἔκεισθ' to Bamberger, as it seems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Such is the literal and to myself unintelligible version of the text of H., of which he has given this Latin representation—translation it is not—'Prospera ad videndum narrantibus fortunæ revertentur restituti ædibus'—which I must leave for those to understand, who can; and to explain why he omitted ἀκοῦσαι between ἰδεῖν and θρευμένοις—for to say, as he does, that it was introduced by an interpreter to explain something, when, in fact, it explains nothing, is to give a reason that is in fact no reason. With regard to the strange compound εὐπροσωποκοίτα, it neither is, nor could be, a Greek word.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
976. [After ποδοῖν ξυνωρίδα, H. has with Meineke introduced eight verses, commonly found after φρονήματος, in v. 998.] page	176 <i>line</i>	3
983-6. τοιοῦτον ἃν κτήσαιτο φηλήτης ἀνὴρ ξένων ἀπαιόλημα, κἀργυροστερῆ βίον νομίζων τῷδέ γ' ἃν δολώματι πολλοὺς ἀναιρῶν πολλὰ θερμαίνοι φρένα.		
Such a thing of trickery a man, who cheats strangers, would possess; and he, who prac- tices a money-robbing life, would with this craftiness destroy many persons and warm		
	176	2
991. ——Αἰγίσθου γὰρ οὐ λέγω μόρου. For of the fate of Ægistheus I say nothing— <sup>2</sup>	176	9
992. — ώς νόμος	176	11
996,7. ἢ σοι δοκεῖ μύραινά γ' εἴτ' ἔχιδν' ἔφυ, σήπειν θιγοῦσ' ἄν μᾶλλον, οὐ δεδηγμένη.  Does she not seem to you, whether she were naturally a muræna or a viper, to produce a rotting by touching rather, not having been bitten—	176	14
1001. στρ.		
1009. νῦν αὐτὸν αἰνῶ  Now I praise myself <sup>5</sup>	176	<b>32</b>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. reads with a new punctuation, and by adopting Lobeck's  $\theta$ ερμαίνοι φρένα, and rejecting Dindorf's  $\theta$ έρμ' ἄνοι φρενί—

<sup>2</sup> H. prefers λέγω in Schol. and Turneb. to ψέγω in MSS.

3 H. prefers ώς νόμος in Canter's ed. to ώς νόμου—

5 H. reads αὐτὸν for αὐτὸν—But αὐτὸν is never used for ἐμαυτὸν, as Elmsl. and Blomf. have correctly remarked. Hence H. should have read Νῦν μ' αὐτὸν αἰνῶ—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has preferred Meineke's H σοι δοκεῖ to his own Οὐ σοὶ δοκεῖ, and to Τί σοι δόκεῖ in MSS.; and he has received from Rob. θιγοῦσ' ἀν—and from Blomf. μᾶλλον—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
1013-16. οὖτις μερόπων ἀσινῆ βίοτον	2011 7 24	
διὰ πάντ' εῦθυμος ἀμείψει,		
τεκνον, ες μοχθον σ		
τέκνον, ές μόχθον δ΄ ὁ μὲν αὐτίχ, ὁ δ΄ ὕστερον, ήξεν.		
No one of voice-dividing beings shall pass with		
good spirits through a life wholly harmless,	•	
my child; but one has rushed on the instant		
to trouble, and another subsequently.1 page	177 line	5
. ,	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
1017. ἀλλ' ὡς ἀν εἰδῆτ', οὐ γὰρ οἶδ' ὅπη τελεῖ—		
But that ye may know—for I do not know		
where [things] will end—2	177	9
1018-20. ὥσπερ ξὺν ἶπποις ἡνιοστρόφον δρόμου ἐξωτέρω φέρουσι γὰρ νικώμενον φρένες δύσαρκτοι.		
Feelings ill-controlled carry [me], as a cha-		
rioteer overcome, together with his horses,	aa baadaa aa	
out of the course.3	177 1	10
1020,1πρὸς δὲ καρδίαν φόβος		
ἄδειν ετοιμος, η δ΄ ύπορχεισθαι κρότφ.		
And fear is ready to sing to the heart, which		0
[is ready] to dance with the noise.4	177	12
1028. [After παρέντα δ, H. puts the mark of an		
	177 2	20
1029. τόξω γαρ ούτις πημάτων προσθίξεται.		
For no one will touch upon calamities with	- mm	
an arrow. <sup>5</sup>	177 2	21

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\dot{\omega}_{\mathcal{G}}$   $\dot{\alpha}_{\mathcal{V}}$   $\epsilon i \delta \tilde{\eta}_{\mathcal{T}}$ , où  $\gamma \dot{\alpha}_{\mathcal{V}}$  oi $\delta$ —as suggested by Emper and

Martin—in lieu of ἄλλος αν είδη τοῦτ' ἄρ'—in MSS.

4 H. reads with Emper  $\hat{\eta}$  δ'  $\hat{\upsilon}\pi o\rho \chi \epsilon \tilde{\iota}\sigma\theta a\iota$   $\kappa \rho \acute{o}\tau \psi$ : where  $\kappa \rho \acute{o}\tau \psi$  is due to Abresch. But  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  could not thus follow the relative  $\hat{\eta}$ —although it

might  $\dot{\eta}$ , in the sense of  $a\ddot{v}\tau\eta$ .

Η. adopts Meineke's προσθίξεται in lieu of προσίζεται. But in this

<sup>1</sup> So H., with the view of equalizing the antistrophical measures, has introduced from conjecture τέκνον after ἀμείψει, and ὕστερον before ijξεν—and altered ἄτιμος ἀμείψεται into εῦθυμος ἀμείψει. He either got the idea from, or suggested it to, Erfurdt; who, in the Heidelberg Journal for 1809, p. 294, proposed to insert τέκνον, and with Schütz, ὕστερον, and to read ξντιμος—

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  So H. by taking away the stop after  $i\xi\omega\tau$ έρω—as if  $\gamma$ αρ could thus be found after the seventh word in a sentence—and by adopting Schütz's  $\dot{\eta}\nu\iota\sigma\sigma\tau$ ρόφον in lieu of  $\dot{\eta}\nu\iota\sigma\sigma\tau$ ρόφον. And yet how easy was it to read  $\dot{\omega}$ ς  $\gamma$ αρ —and  $\phi$ έρουσιν  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ ε instead of  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi$ ερ and  $\phi$ έρουσι  $\gamma$ αρ —

Line in Greek Text.		—സ്റ്റ് <i>ർ</i> ർ	ÉATION			=:	ence to 's Edit.
No	ãλλη τραπέσο or as a per another road	θαι son at 1				177 li	ine 29
I	τὰ δ' ἐν χρόν ἐκμαρτυρεῖν j say that all t in what way nished by me	μέλε' ἐπ he Argiv unhapp	roρσύνθη ves will	κακά. in time t	estify fur-	178	1
	After this ve with asterisk			Paley m		178	3
	roîai γυναῖκες hat women a		—}•	••••	••••	178	8
W	íνες σε δόξαι, hat visions, father—4					178	11
	κ τῶνδέ σοι το com these a r				ind <sup>5</sup>	178	17

formula the perpetual phrase is  $\hat{\epsilon}\phi i\kappa \nu \epsilon \tilde{i}\sigma\theta a i$  or  $\pi \rho o \sigma i\kappa \nu \epsilon \tilde{i}\sigma\theta a i$ . Hence

Schütz's ἐφίξεται, adopted by Blomf., is preferable.

1 H. elicits ἄλλη from ἄλλην and retains ἐφέστιον in MSS. But I confess I do not understand how a person, who was at the hearth, could be said to turn by another road, without any mention being made of the place to which Orestes was to go.

<sup>2</sup> H. alters καὶ μαρτυρεῖν μοι μενέλεως ἐπορσύνθη κακά in MSS. into  $\dot{\epsilon}$ κμαρτυρεῖν  $\ddot{y}$  μέλε'  $\dot{\epsilon}$ πορσύνθη κακά—and thus rejects his former reading—ως μέλε'—adopted by Paley. He conceives, however, that some-

thing has been lost here.

<sup>3</sup> H. has given  $\pi o \tilde{i} \alpha i$  for  $\Delta \mu \omega \alpha i$ —as he had tacitly corrected in his Dissertation attached to his edition of Aristotle's Poetics, p. 224. he should have adopted Deivai rather, as I suggested on Eum. 95.

δμωαί could scarcely have been corrupted into ποῖαι.

4 So H. in the text; but in the Notes he gives up his previous alteration  $\pi \acute{a}\tau \rho a c$  for  $\pi a \tau \rho i$ , although it had been adopted by Schütz, and proposes to read-φίλτατ' ἀνθρώπων, κέαρ Στροβουσιν. But had he turned to my note on Eum. 95, he would have seen that I had already suggested  $\phi i \lambda \tau \alpha \tau' \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ —which is nearer to the old  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i$ than his κέαρ—

<sup>5</sup> H. reads σοι for τοι—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
1056,7. είς σοι καθαρμός Λοξίου δε προσθιγών		
ελεύθερόν σε τωνδε πημάτων κτίσει.		
There is one purification for thee; and laying		
hold of Loxias, it shall render thee freed		
from these calamities.* page	178 line	20
1066. μόχθοι τάλανες. Wretched troubles. <sup>3</sup>		
Wretched troubles.3	178	29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts είς σοι—elicited from είσσ' ὁ in MS. by Erfurdt and Ahrens.

<sup>8</sup> H. omits τε θυέστου, as he had suggested in Opusc. I. p. 112.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. retains  $\kappa\tau i\sigma\epsilon\iota$ . But he does not explain the syntax; which, as Ritschel saw, requires  $\kappa\tau i\sigma\epsilon\iota\varsigma$ , if  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\theta\iota\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$  is to be applied to Orestes; and so it must be applied; for assuredly the purification did not touch Apollo, although it came from him.

## THE FURIES.

Line in Ireek Te	xt.	Reference Bohn's E	
в.	Tiτανis άλλη, παι̂s Χθονός— Another Titanian, a daughter of Earth¹ page	179 line	5
21.	[After avaστροφαί H. has marked a supposed lacuna by asterisks; but without assigning any reason for doing so.]	180	8
32.	Αnd if there are present any of the Greeks—	180	14
45.	λήνει μεγιστοσωφρόνως ἐστεμμένον— Crowned with wool in a very modest manner <sup>3</sup>	181	3
<b>5</b> 0.	[After τύποις H. marks the absence of a verse, which Wakefield first attempted to supply from the Schol.]		7
<b>5</b> 5.	ρέγκουσι δ' οὐ πλαστοῖσι φυσιάμασιν. And they snore with breathings not feigned	181	10

<sup>2</sup> So H. with Abresch. But  $\pi \acute{a} \rho a$  is never found with a plural noun in the sense of  $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ ; nor is the last syllable elided, when it is united to a noun singular.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads μεγιστοσωφρόνως in lieu of μεγίστω σωφρόνως, and refers to μεγιστότιμος in Suppl. 679. Drake, in his recently published edition of this play, would read λήνει μὲν είς τὸ σῶφρον ἐξεστεμμένω: where <math>μὲν is due to Hemsterhuis, as stated by Valckenaer on Phœn. 994.

<sup>4</sup> H. retains  $\pi\lambda\alpha\sigma\tau\tilde{\iota}\tilde{\iota}$ , which every one else since the time of Schütz, who first proposed  $\pi\lambda\alpha\tau\tilde{\iota}\tilde{\iota}$ , had rejected; and this too without H. explaining what he understood by "not-feigned breathings;" as if the breathings of the Furies would be represented in any other light than real.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. H. with Stanley and Wakefield.

Line in Greek Text. 56. ἐκ δ' ὀμμάτων λείβουσι δυσφιλη λίβα	Reference to Bohn's Edit.	
And they distil disagreeable rheum from their eyes 1 page	181 <i>line</i> 1]	
61. — μη μεταστένειν πόνον So as not to groan after its labour—2	181 15	
70. [After H. had in Opuscul. VI. p. 23, asserted that πεσοῦσαι was a nominative absolute, he discovered that the aorist participle could not be so used; and hence he conceived that a verse of this kind has fallen out— Ἐν τοῦσδε τοῦς θρόνοισιν ἀσθενεῖς πάρα, i. e. 'In these seats here are weak.']		
72. Νυκτός παλαιαί παίδες	182 7	
79. βιβῶντ' ἀν' ἀεὶ τὴν πλανοστιβῆ χθόνα— Stalking through the earth ever-trodden by wanderings—	182 12	
95. — σέβει τοι Ζεὺς τόδ' ἐκνόμων σέβας, Zeus reverences this honour of lawless persons—5	182 27	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts my  $\lambda i \beta a$ , which he calls an egregious emendation; although he once laboured to defend  $\beta i a \nu$ , the reading suggested by Sophianus, in lieu of  $\delta i a$ , and by so doing misled Wellauer and his followers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. adopts Arnald's πόνον for πόνων—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. adopts  $N\nu\kappa\tau\delta\varsigma$  in lieu of  $\Gamma\rho\alpha\tilde{\iota}\alpha$ ,—the conjecture of Valckenaer—But how such a mistake could have arisen, it is difficult to understand. The poet evidently wrote  $\Gamma\rho\alpha\tilde{\iota}\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi\acute{\alpha}\lambda\alpha\iota$   $\tau'$   $\mathring{a}\pi\alpha\iota\delta\epsilon\varsigma$ , as I suggested thirty years ago.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So reads H., and compares Plato, Legg. VIII. p. 832. c. ἄρχει σὺν ἀεί τινι βία—But though ἀεὶ might be thus inserted between σὺν and τινὶ, it could not be between σὺν and  $\tau \tilde{y}$ . Moreover, as  $\beta \iota \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu$  is an Epic form, it cannot be shewn to be a Dramatic one by quoting, as H. does in its defence, the Lyric Pindar.

b H. retains  $\ell\kappa\nu\delta\mu\omega\nu$   $\sigma\ell\beta\alpha\zeta$ , and renders  $\ell\kappa\nu\delta\mu\omega\nu$ , 'lawless,' since Suidas explains ' $\ell\kappa\nu\delta\mu\omega\zeta$  by  $\ell\kappa\lambda\omega$  and he observes that the whole passage means, that pity is not wanting to the wicked, when assisted by a faithful companion. But how such a meaning can be elicited from the Greek words, I must leave for others to discover; especially as H. never hit upon it, when he suggested in Opuscul. VI. p. 25,  $\ell\kappa\nu\delta\mu\omega\zeta$ , what he has subsequently rejected, even after it had been adopted by Dindorf.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
99,100	
A disgrace on account of whom—1 page	183 line 5
106. ὅρα δὲ πληγὰς τάσδε καρδίας ὅθεν— But see these blows of the heart from whence [they are] <sup>2</sup>	183 10
108. ἐν ἡμέρᾳ δὲ μοῖρ' ἀπρόσκοπος φρενῶν But in the day the lot of the mind is not fore- seeing—3	183 11
117,8. ἀκούσαθ' ως ἔλεξα τῆς ἐμῆς πέρι ψυχῆς. Φρονήσατ'— Hear; since I have spoken of the danger of my soul. Reflect—'	183 19
122. φίλοις γάρ δίσιν, οὐκ ἐμοὶ, προσίκτορες. For to relations, not to me, there are deities presiding over suppliants	183 22
128. τί σοι πέπρακται— What deed has been done by you <sup>6</sup>	184 1,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. has edited  $\tilde{\omega}\nu$  for  $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ , as suggested by Wakefield and Tyrwhitt. But how  $\ddot{\upsilon}\nu\epsilon\iota\delta o\varsigma$   $\ddot{\omega}\nu$  can have that meaning, I cannot understand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; which Müller would not, although Dindorf would, receive; while Schæmann is content with  $\kappa \alpha \rho \delta i q$   $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$  found in three MSS., as I had edited long ago.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. in lieu of  $\beta \rho o \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu$ , reads what the Schol. leads to,  $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ , whose words are  $-\dot{\eta}$  τῆς  $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \dot{o}$ ς  $\mu o \tilde{\iota} \rho \alpha$  ο  $\dot{\nu}$  προορ $\tilde{\alpha}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. retains ως, which Schütz had altered into ων—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. understands this passage by altering  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\rho}i\zeta$  into  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\rho}i$ —But  $\phi i\lambda \sigma i\zeta$  does not mean 'relations;' nor, if it did, would the ghost of Clytemnestra speak of her son, who had murdered her, by the title of  $\phi i\lambda\sigma i\zeta$ ; nor lastly, could  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigmai\kappa\tau\sigma\rho\sigma\zeta$  mean 'presiding over suppliants,' unless the name of a deity were introduced. The alteration and interpretation, it seems from Paley's note, are due to Müller.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. retains πέπρακται, despite the fact, that πέπρωται, suggested by Stanl., is confirmed by Τί γὰρ πέπρωται Ζηνὶ πλὴν ἀεὶ κρατεῖν in Prom. 518.

Line in 'Greek Text.	Referenc Bohn's E	
140-2. σὺ δ' αίματηρὸν πνεῦμ' ἐπουρίσασα τῷδ' ἔπου, μάραινε δευτέροις διώγμασιν, ἀτμώ κατισχναίνουσα, νηδύυς πυρί. But do thou sending to this person a favorable breath blood flowing, waste him away by second pursuits, making him thin by a vapour, the fire of the belly page	184 line	10
165,6. κρατοῦντες, τὸ πῶν δίκας πλέον, φονολιβῆ θρόμβον Ruling over the blood-distilling gore, altogether more than is just <sup>2</sup>	184 .	27
174. ἐμοί τε λυπρὸς καὶ τὸν οὐκ ἐκλύσεται— And he is both grievous to me, and he shall not liberate him <sup>3</sup>	184	34
176,7. ποτιτρόπαιος ὧν δ' ἔτερον ἐν κάρᾳ μιάστορ', ἔστιν ὃν, πάσεται. But being impious he shall possess another avenger, it is whom, on his head.4	185	2
187. παίδων τε χλοῦνις ἢδ' ἀκρωνία κακῶν.  The castration of boys and the climax of ill—5	185	11

<sup>2</sup> S. adopts Wakefield's θρόμβον for θρόνον, and unites θρόμβον with

κρατοῦντες.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H. where he once wished to read ἔστιν οὖ—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; who has altered  $\tau\tilde{\varphi}$  into  $\tau\tilde{\varphi}\delta$ , through his conceiving, what he could not support by a single passage, that  $\tau\tilde{\varphi}\delta$  could thus end one verse, if the next began with a vowel; while to prevent the ambiguity that would arise from  $\tau\tilde{\varphi}\delta$  thus coming before  $d\tau\mu\tilde{\varphi}$ , he has changed the order of vv. 141,2.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{\iota}$  for  $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\mu o\hat{\iota}$ —and applies  $\tau \dot{o}\nu$ , in the sense of  $\tau o\tilde{\nu}\tau o\nu$ , to Orestes. But had Æschylus alluded to Orestes, he would have written rather  $\tau \dot{o}\nu \delta \epsilon \tau$ —not  $\kappa a\hat{\iota} \tau \dot{o}\nu$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts the emendation, suggested by Fritzsche, Παίδων τε χλοῦνις ἠδ' ἀκρωνία κακῶν—to which he was led, as the son-in-law of Hermann should have stated, by my correction—Παίδων τε χλοῦνις καὶ κακῶν ἀκρωνία—For ἠδὲ is an Homeric word, never found except in corrupt passages in the extra-choral parts of Greek tragedy, as Valckenaer was the first to remark, whose doctrine I have supported sufficiently against the objections of Porson.

Fina in

Line in Greek Text.	heference to Bohn's Edit.
188. λευσμόν τε καὶ μύζουσιν page	185 line 12
193,4. ἐν τοῖσδε πλησίοισι χρηστηρίοις In these neighbouring oracular shrines—2	185 17
199. ἀλλ' εἶς τὸ πῶν ἔπραξας, ὡς παναίτιος But you singly have done all, as being the entire cause <sup>3</sup>	185 20
212. — καὶ παρ' οὐδὲν ἦκέ σοι And of no account have come to you—4	186 13
219. τὸ μὴ τίνεσθαι μηδ' ἐποπτεύειν κότφ So as not to punish nor to look upon them with anger— ·	186 19
226. τιμὰς σὺ μὴ σύντεμνε τὰς ἐμὰς ψόγφ Do not cut short my honors by abuse—•	186 26
230. — κἀκκυνηγετῶ. And I hunt him out. <sup>7</sup>	186 30
236-8. οὐ προστρόπαιον, οὐδ' ἀφοίβαντον χέρα ἄλλοισιν οἶκοις καὶ πορεύμασιν βροτῶν ἀλλ', ἀμβλὺς ἤδη προστετριμμένον μύσος— Nor uncleansed as to hand in other houses and journeyings of men; but already blunted as to a pollution, worn down— <sup>8</sup>	187 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. retains  $\lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \delta \nu$  and unites it to  $\mu \dot{\nu} \zeta o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ , as Kühner wished to do. But those scholars should have produced at least one passage, to prove that  $\mu \dot{\nu} \zeta o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$  could be thus introduced between  $\tau \epsilon$  καὶ and  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \kappa = \tau \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ .

<sup>2</sup> So H. retains  $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma$ ioi $\sigma$ i, and refers  $\pi\lambda\eta\sigma$ ioi $\sigma$ i  $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\eta\rho$ ioi $\zeta$  to the places near the temple, where the Chorus were then supposed to be, after having been driven out of it by Apollo.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads with Canter  $\epsilon i c$  for  $\epsilon i c$  and retains  $\omega c$  against Wakefield's  $\omega \nu$ , which Dindorf attributes to one Martin.

4 H. reads ήκέ σοι in lieu of ήρκέσω in MSS.

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts Meineke's alteration of γενέσθαι into τίνεσθαι, and endeavours to support it by Œd. C. 994, εἰ πατήρ σ' ὁ καίνων ἢν [vulg. ἢ] τίνοι ἀν εὐθέως—But he forgot that as τίνεσθαι is ' to revenge oneself,' it could not be applied to the Furies. Bad, however, as is the proposed reading, it is better than πένεσθαι, 'to be in poverty,' formerly suggested by Schütz, and adopted by H.

<sup>6</sup> H. reads ψόγ $\varphi$  for λόγ $\varphi$ —

7 H. has edited Eurfurdt's κάκκυνηγετῶ in lieu of κάκκυνηγέτης in MSS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; where he transposes two

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
253. ὅρα, ὅρα μάλ' αὖ, λεῦσσέ τε πάντα— Look, look much again, and look at every-	40071
thing—1 page	187 line 15
254. ὁ δ' αὖτέ γ' ἀλκὰν ἔχων—²  He having protection again—	187 17
257. ὑπόδικος θέλει γενέσθαι χρεῶν Is willing to became amenable in a law-suit for	
debts <sup>3</sup>	187 18
283. [The verse Χρόνος καθαιρεῖ πάντα γηράσκων όμοῦ is considered spurious by H., as it was by	100 00
Musgrave. And so too it is by Dindorf.]	<b>188 2</b> 0
289. ἀλλ' εἶτε χώρας ἐν τόποις Λιβυστικοῖς  But whether in the Libystian places of a country	189 5
291. τίθησιν ὀρθὸν ἢ κατηρεφῆ πόδα. Places her foot erect or covered—	189 6
299. ἀναίματον βόσκημα τῶνδε δαιμόνων. The bloodless food of these deities	189 13
310,11. τοὺς μὲν καθαρὰς καθαρῶς χεῖρας προνέμοντας	100 01
On those who put forth purely pure hands'	189 21
346. ἀθανάτων δίχ' ἔχειν γέρας— To have honours apart from the immortals <sup>8</sup>	190 4

verses, and reads  $\mu \dot{\nu} \sigma o c$  instead of  $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho \delta c$ —which he once changed into  $\pi \dot{a} \rho o c$ , adopted by Schütz and Reisig, and subsequently into  $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho \delta c$ , as others likewise had done.

1 H. alters λεύσσετον into λεῦσσέ τε—

<sup>3</sup> H. in the text adopts Scaliger's  $\chi \rho \epsilon \tilde{\omega} \nu$ . But in the Notes he prefers  $\chi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$ .

<sup>4</sup> So H. reads with an antiptosis—

- <sup>5</sup> So H. in the text, explaining  $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \rho \epsilon \phi \tilde{\eta}$  by 'cloud-covered'—for he probably remembered the expression in Horace: 'Nube candentes humeros amictus'—But he observes in the Notes that that my  $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \phi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\eta}$ , from which Fritzsche got his  $\kappa \alpha \tau \eta \phi \epsilon \rho \tilde{\eta}$ , is not an improbable conjecture.
  - 6 Η. reads τῶνδε δαιμόνων, rejecting σκιάν after δαιμόνων, as a gl.

7 H. supplies καθαρῶς after καθαρὰς—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads αὖτέ γ' instead of αὖτε γοῦν in some MSS., and αὖτέ γ' οὖκ in others. But γε could not thus follow αὖτε.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> So reads H., where  $\gamma \epsilon \rho a \varsigma$  is due to Evers, as stated by Müller, in lieu of  $\chi \epsilon \rho a \varsigma$ —

Line in  Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
348. παλλεύκων πέπλων δ' άγέραστος ἄμοιρος ἄκληρος ἐτύχθην.  And I have been formed without the honour and the share and the lot of very white garments.\(^1\) page	190 line	4
352-4. ἐπὶ τὸν, ὡ, διόμεναι, κρατερὸν ὃν ἔθ' ὁμοίως μαυροῦμεν νέον ἄλμα. After whom, alas! pursuing, we render equally obscure the young leaping, although it is strong <sup>2</sup>	190	8
355-7. σπενδομένα δ' ἀφελεῖν τινὶ τάσδε μερίμνας Μοῖρ' ἀτέλειαν ἐμαῖσι λιταῖς ἐπικραίνει μηδ' εἰς ἄγκρισιν ἐλθεῖν.  And for me, making a libation to take away from some one these cares, Fate has accomplished a non-efficiency to the prayers addressed to myself, and to come not even		10
358,9. Ζεὺς γὰρ δειματοσταγὲς ἀξιόμισον ἔθνος τόδε λέσχας ἄς ἀπηξιώσατο— For Zeus has thought this race, fear-shedding, hate-deserving, not worthy of his converse.	190	13
<ul> <li>363,4. σφαλερὰ τανυδρόμοις γὰρ κῶλα—         For limbs are unsteady to the quick running<sup>5</sup></li> </ul>	190	15
366. τακόμενοι κατὰ γᾶς— Wasted away below the earth <sup>6</sup>	190	17

1 H. supplies from conjecture ἀγέραστος before ἄμοιρος.

<sup>5</sup> H. inserts γαρ—as Paley was the first to suggest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own present text; for in Opuscul. VI. 2. p. 73, he had suggested another refiction of the passage which is commonly read at its close—μαυροῦμεν ὑφ' αἵματος νέου.

<sup>3</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; who has altered  $\sigma \pi \epsilon v - \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$  into  $\sigma \pi \epsilon \nu \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ , and  $\tau \iota \nu a$  into  $\tau \iota \nu i$ —But what he understood himself by the passage, as thus edited, he does not state, nor can I discover.

<sup>4</sup> Η. alters αίματοσταγές into δειματοσταγές—

<sup>6</sup> H. reads κατά γᾶς for κατά γᾶν, as Paley first suggested.

Line in Greek Text. 384,5. —— ἔτι δέ μοι μένει γέρας παλαιὸν	Reference to Bohn's Edit	
Still to me remains the ancient honour page	190 line 31	1
397. κώλοις ἀκμαίοις τόνδ' ἐπιζεύξασ' ὅχον.  After having united this car to limbs in their prime. <sup>2</sup>		9
405,6. λέγειν δ' ἄμομφον ὅντα τοὺς πέλας κακῶς— But for a person, who has no cause of blame, to speak ill of his neighbours—3	191 18	5
406. [Although H. has in the text ἠδ' ἀποστατεῖ θέμις, yet in the Notes he prefers the reading of Abresch—ἦδ' ἀποστατεῖ θέμις.]		6
452,3. ποικίλοις ἀγρεύμασιν κρύψασ', ἃ λουτρῶν ἐξεμαρτύρει φόνον.  Having concealed with cunning means of capture, which witnessed the murder at the bath—4	. 192 <b>2</b> 3	3
452. εἰ μή τι τῶνδ' ἔρξαιμι τοὺς ἐπαιτίους Unless I did something to the parties, who are the causers of these things. <sup>5</sup>	19 <b>2</b> 28	8
462,3. τὸ πρᾶγμα μεῖζον ἢ εἴ τὶς οἴεται τόδε βροτὸς δικάζειν. The matter is greater than if any mortal thinks to decide this	193 32	2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. inserts from conjecture μένει after μοι—He formerly supplied ἐστιν after παλαιὸν—

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Wakefield's κώλοις in lieu of πώλοις—

<sup>3</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his present text;  $\Lambda$ έγειν δ' ἄμομφον ὅντα τοὺς πέλας κακῶς—where he has adopted

αμομφον from Rob., as recommended by Elmsl. on Med. p. 93.

<sup>5</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he proposes to alter εi μή τι τωνδ' ἔρξαιμι into Εi μὴ ἀντιδρῶν ἔρξαιμι—for he saw, as I was the first to point out, that there was nothing to which τωνδε could be referred.

<sup>4</sup> H. has edited Κρύψασ', ὰ λουτρῶν ἐξεμαρτύρει φόνον—as he suggested in Opuscul. tom. IV. p. 339. Schoemann, however, and Franz, prefer Κρύψασα, λουτρῶν δ' ἐξεμαρτύρει φόνον, as I had edited long ago from the conjecture of Scaliger, whose supplement of δ' after λουτρῶν has been confirmed by three MSS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> H. reads μεῖζον η εἴ τις οἴεται, where after μεῖζον he has inserted η, which he once conceived to be unnecessary. See my Poppo's Prolegom. p. 200.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
465-7. ἄλλως τε καὶ σὺ μὲν κατηρτυκώς δρόμοις ἐκέτης προσῆλθες, καθαρὸς ἀβλαβής δόμοις ἐμοῖς ἄμομφον ὅντα δ' αἰδοῦμαι πόλει.  Especially since, after having been worn down by runnings, thou hast come as a suppliant, purified and guileless, to my house; and I feel a pity for a person, who is without blame from the city.¹ page	191 <i>line</i>	1
469-471. καὶ μὴ τυχοῦσαι πράγματος νικηφόρου, χώρα μεταῦθις ἰὸς ἐκ φρονημάτων πέδω πεσὼν ἄφερτος αἰανὴ νόσος.  And not meeting with a victory-bringing suit, hereafter poison from our thoughts, falling on the ground, [shall be] a disease painful, not to be borne by the country. <sup>2</sup>	· 194	4
472,3. τοιαῦτα μὲν τάδ' ἐστίν' ἀμφότερα μένειν πέμπειν τε, δυσπήμαντ' ἀμηχάνως ἐμοί—  Of such kind are these things; both acts to remain and to send, are, as being very calamitous, without a plan for me. <sup>3</sup>	194	7
474-7. ἐπεὶ δὲ πρᾶγμα δεῦρ' ἐπέσκηψεν τόδε, φόνων δικαστὰς ὁρκίους αἰρουμένη σέβειν κελεύσω τῶν ἐμῶν ἀστῶν πόλιν, θεσμὸν, τὸν εἰς ἄπαντ' ἐγὰ θήσω χρόνον. But since this matter has come, like a thun- derbolt, hither, I will, after selecting sworn judges of murders, * order the state of my citizens to reverence * the ordinance, which I will lay down for all time.4		
2 " 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111	100	J

<sup>1</sup> So H. transposes the verses, and adopts δρόμοις from two MSS. in lieu of ὅμως, and ἐμοῖς, the conjecture of Linwood and Franz, in lieu of ὅμως, and alters αἰροῦμαι into αἰδοῦμαι—

<sup>8</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., who has adopted Sca-

liger's  $\delta v \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \nu \tau$ ' in lieu of  $\delta v \sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau$ '.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H.; where  $\chi \omega \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau' \alpha \bar{\nu} \theta \iota \varsigma$ , the conjecture of Wellauer, elicited from  $\chi \bar{\omega} \rho \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \tau' \alpha \bar{\nu} \theta \iota \varsigma$  in MSS., has been adopted, even though the verb required for  $i \delta \varsigma$  is wanting in this sentence, and in the preceding one another verb to agree with  $\tau \nu \chi o \bar{\nu} \sigma \alpha \iota$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. partly in the text, and party in the Notes, where he has given the Greek words  $\Sigma i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$  κελεύσω τῶν ἐμῶν ἀστῶν πόλιν, answering to the English between the asterisks. But that Æschylus, or any other poet, would have written τῶν ἐμῶν ἀστῶν πόλιν, even H. himself, were he alive, would scarcely have undertaken to prove.

Line in Greek Text. 479. — ἀρωγὰ τῆς δίκης θ' δρκώματα.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
	194 line 11
482. δρκον πορόντας μηδέν ξκδικον φράσειν. Giving an oath not to say anything unjustly.2	194 13
495. πάντ' ἐφήσω μόρον. I will send every destruction.:	195 4
496-500. πεύσεται δ' ἄλλος ἄλλοθεν, προφων- ων τὰ τῶν πέλας κακὰ, λῆξιν ὑπόδυσίν τε μόχθων ἄκεα δ' οὐ βέβαια τλά- μων μάταν παρηγορεῖ. One shall hear from one quarter, and another from another, while proclaiming the ills of neighbours, an end and remission of labours, and an unhappy one vainly advises reme-	•
510-12. ἔσθ' ὅπου τὸ δεινὸν αὖ τις φρενῶν ἐπίσκοπον δειμανεῖ καθήμενον. It is where a person will again dread what	195 5
515,16. τίς δὲ μηδὲν ἐν δέει καρδίαν ἔτὶ ἀνατρέφων—	195 11
Who nourishing not at all his heart still in fear—s	195 13

1 H. adopts Wellauer's ἀρωγὰ τῆς δίκης θ' ὁρκώματα—But the copulative could not be thus found after the third word in a sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. elicits πορόντας from περῶντας, by the aid of the Scholiast's διδόντας, and adopts Markland's φράσειν for φρεσίν. But ὅρκον πορεῖν is not a Greek phrase; and, if it were, it would mean, like ὅρκον διδόναι, 'to tender an oath to another', not 'to make oath,' as the reading of H. would require.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. tacitly retains  $i\phi \dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$ —to which not a few critics have justly objected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the literal version of H.'s last refiction of the text, which differs but slightly from the equally unintelligible one which he had given in Opuscul. VI. 2. p. 82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. has now edited  $a\tilde{v}$  in place of  $\epsilon\tilde{v}$ , which he had previously retained from MSS.; and he is now content with  $\delta\epsilon\iota\mu\alpha\nu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ , which he had previously altered into  $\delta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$   $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu$ .

<sup>6</sup> II. adopts δέει for φάει, as suggested by Auratus, and inserts ἔτ' before ἀνατρέφων—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Rohn's Edi	to iz.
526-8. ἐκ δ' ὑγιείας αὖ φρενῶν ὁ πά;ιφιλος καὶ πολύευκτος ὅλβος. But on the other hand, after health of mind, wealth all-loved and much-prayed-for—¹ page	194 line 1	.9
542-45. τὸν ἀντίτολμον δέ φαμι καὶ παραιβάταν τὰ πολλὰ παντόφυρτ' ἄνευ δίκας βιαίως σὺν χρόνω καθήσειν λαῖφος— And I say that the man of opposite boldness, and a transgressor,² [as regards] the majo- rity of things all confused without justice, will the sail let down with violence in time—³	195 2	26
556. εΐτ' οὖν διάτοροςΤυρσηνική Whether the piercing Tyrrhene trumpet—4	196	7
560-3. σιγᾶν ἀρήγει καὶ μαθεῖν θεσμοὺς ἐμοὺς καὶ τὸν διώκοντ' ἦδὲ τὸν φεύγονθ' ὅμως πόλιν τε πᾶσαν, εἰς τὸν αἰανῆ χρόνον ἐκ τῶνδ' ὅπως ᾶν εὖ καταγνωσθῆ δίκη.  It is an advantge to be silent * and for both the pursuer (plaintiff), and the flyer (defendant) equally,* and for the whole city, to learn my statutes, in order that the suit may be decided upon correctly by these for all		
time. <sup>5</sup>	196-	9

1 Η alters φρενῶν ὁ πᾶσι φίλος into αὖ φρενῶν ὁ πάμφιλος—

<sup>3</sup> Such, I presume, is the version that H. intended of his text; where  $\kappa a \tau \dot{a}$  is to be supplied before  $\tau \dot{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ —or perhaps he meant  $\lambda a \tilde{\iota} \phi o c$  to be the object, not the subject of  $\kappa a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ; and in that case the version would be—' will let down the sail.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. has edited, what he proposed in Opuscul. VI, 2. p. 84,  $\phi a \mu i \kappa a i \pi a \rho a \iota \beta \acute{a} \tau a \nu$ , and rejects his previous  $\phi \eta \mu i \pi a \rho \beta \acute{a} \tau a \nu$ , although the latter has been adopted by his followers, little dreaming that they would be eventually deserted by their guide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. marks here a lacuna betwteen διάτορος and Τυρσηνική, which, he says, cannot be supplied in any sure manner; and though he conceived that his own supplement, proposed in Opuscul. VI. 2. p. 85, Εῖτ' οὖν διάκτωρ διάτορος Τυρσηνική, is not unworthy of the poet, he has not shewn what he understood by διάκτωρ, thus standing by itself; and he has even confessed it is a word not to be found elsewhere.

<sup>5</sup> So H. has marked in the text a lacuna, which he has supplied in the Notes with his own Greek—Καὶ τὸν διώκοντ' ἡδὲ τὸν φεύγονθ' ὅμως—

nce to Edit.
<b>u</b> 15
18
15
20
23
1

answering to the English between the asterisks. But he forgot that  $\eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$  was an Homeric, not a Tragic word, as shewn on v. 187, n. 5, and that  $\delta \mu \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  is never, in correct Greek, used in the sense of  $\delta \mu o i \omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

<sup>1</sup> H., who once proposed to read, καὶ τόνδ΄ ὅπως ᾶν—and to refer τόνδε to Orestes, but afterwards preferred Kαὶ τούσδε—has now suggested Έκ τῶνδ'—which he refers with the Schol. to the Areopagites.

H. adopts  $\nu \delta \mu \varphi$ , edited long ago by myself and suggested likewise by Erfurdt, in lieu of  $\delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ , for which H. once proposed  $\theta \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu$ —and

so after him did Elmsley on Med. 155.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads " $0\pi\omega\varsigma$   $\tau$ ' for " $0\pi\omega\varsigma$ —

4 So H. in the text; but in the Notes, he says: 'I have not thought proper to change  $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$   $\tau o\tilde{v}$   $\delta$ '  $\epsilon\pi\epsilon i\sigma\theta\eta\varsigma$ —although  $\pi\rho\delta\varsigma$   $\tau o\tilde{v}$   $\delta\epsilon$   $\pi\epsilon i\sigma\theta\epsilon i\varsigma$ , would come into the mind of any one,' where he alludes to myself; for so I had edited, and corrected  $\kappa a\epsilon i \tau i\nu o\varsigma$  into  $\epsilon\kappa\tau a\nu\epsilon\varsigma$ , not only to avoid the tautology in  $\tau o\tilde{v}$  and  $\tau i\nu o\varsigma$ , but to complete the sense.

<sup>5</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he doubts whether Æschylus did not write Nai δεῦρο γ'—forgetting that κai—γε are frequently united

with a word intervening, but vai—ye are never so.

6 So H. reads Κάμοι γ' in lieu of πέποιθ'—to which he was led by finding in the Schol. βοηθοὺς κάμοὶ πέμψει ὁ πατήρ.

7 So H. reads τί γάρ for τοὶ γάρ in some MSS., and τὸ γάρ in others.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Boku's Edit.
622. [After δεδεγμένη H. has marked a lacuna by asterisks.] page	198 line 28
642,3. — τὰ δ' ἄλλα πάντ' ἄνω τε καὶ κάτω στρέφων τίθησιν οὐδὲν ἀσμένω μένει. But turning all the rest of the things topsy- turvy with a delighted power, he considers them as nothing. <sup>1</sup>	199 17
655. [After Διὸς Η. has marked by asterisks a lacuna, first pointed out by Butler; and he observes that the sense of the missing verse was, 'Ex capite Jovis armata prosiliens'— Perhaps he meant to read, "Ακρου θοροῦσ' ἔνοπλος ἐκ κρατὸς Διός.]	200 1
673. ——— ἀστικὸς λεώς— Ye people of the city—2	200 17
674. [After χυτοῦ H. inserts a tristich commonly placed after 698, ἐν τόποις.]	
680. πάγον δ' ὅρειον τόνδ'—  But this mountain-hill³	200 24
688,9. αὐτῶν πολιτῶν μὴ ἀπικαινούντων νόμους κακαῖς ἐπιβροαῖσι— The citizens themselves not making new laws by a vicious influx—	200 26
692. [H. retains περιστέλλουσι, but without stating what he understood by that word.]	201 2
719. σύ τοι, παλαιὰς διανομὰς καταφθίσας— Thou then, having destroyed the ancient distributions—  **Tributions***  **Tributions***  **Tributions**  **Tributi	201 30

<sup>1</sup> H. alters τίθησιν οὐδὲν ἀσθμαίνων μένει, read in some MSS., into τίθησιν οὐδὲν ἀσμένω μένει, and takes τίθησιν as τίθημι in Soph. Ε 1.1270, δαιμόνιον αὐτὸ τίθημ' ἐγώ.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads ἀστικὸς for ᾿Αττικὸς—

4 H. adopts Stephens' ἐπικαινούντων for ἐπικαινόντων, putting a

colon after ἐπιρροαῖσι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. reads ὅρειον for Αρειον—and so too Dindorf. But the adjective derived from ὅρος, is ὀρεινὸς, not ὅρειος, in correct Greek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts  $\delta \iota a \nu o \mu \dot{a} \varsigma$ , as quoted by the Schol. on Eurip. Alc. 10, first edited by Matthiæ.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
766. καὐτοί γ' ἃν ἡμεῖς εἴμεν εὖμενέστεροι.  And we ourselves would be with more kindly feelings.¹ page	203 line	6
774,5. ἰὸν ἰὸν ἀντιπενθ- ῆ μεθεῖσα καρδίας Sending down poison, poison, in return for the		
	203	13
776. — χθόνιον, ἄφορον— Belonging to the earth, not bearing— <sup>3</sup>	203	14
777. ———— δ δίκα, δίκα— Ο Justice, Justice! ·		
780. — γελώμαι πολίταις I am laughed at by the citizens—	203	18
781. δύσοισθ' à "παθον. Hard to be borne are what I have suffered—•	209	19
787. αὐτός θ' ὁ φήσας αὐτὸς ἦν ὁ μαρτυρῶν And he who spake, was himself the witness—	203	25
789,90. ὑμεῖς δέ τοι γἢ τἢδε μὴ βαρὺν κότον σκήψητ', ἀφεῖσαι δαΐων σταλαγμάτων. But do not ye hurl on this land, like a thunder-bolt, heavy anger, sending of hostile drop-		
pings <sup>9</sup>	203	27
813. καὶ κλήδας οίδα δώματος μόνη θεῶν I alone of the gods know the keys of a house <sup>10</sup>	204	12

<sup>1</sup> H. alters αὐτοῖσιν ἡμεῖς ἔσμεν into καὐτοί γ' ἀν ἡμεῖς είμεν-

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $i \partial \nu$ ,  $i \partial \nu$   $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \tilde{\eta}$  from three MSS.

<sup>4</sup> H. repeats Δiκα—

6 H. rejecting what he had suggested in Opuscul. VI. 2. p. 101, reads δύσοισθ'  $\ddot{a}$ "  $\pi a \theta o \nu$ —

7 H. reads  $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma a c$  for  $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a c$  in MSS.

9 H. adopts Pauw's δαίων for δαιμόνων.

<sup>3</sup> Η. reads χθόνιον, ἄφορον for χθονιαφόρον.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. adopts γελῶμαι, the conjecture of Tyrwhitt and Lachmann, in lieu of γένωμαι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. incloses within brackets, as being spurious.  $\mu\eta$   $\theta\nu\mu\sigma\bar{\nu}\sigma\theta\epsilon$   $\mu\eta\delta$   $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\alpha\rho\pi(\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\xi\eta\tau'$ , commonly inserted between  $\sigma\kappa\dot{\eta}\psi\eta\tau'$  and  $\dot{\alpha}\phi\epsilon\bar{\iota}\sigma\alpha\iota$ —But how those words came to be inserted there, H. has failed to unfold.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> H. reads  $\delta \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma$  for  $\delta \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ —For, says he, it is not likely that Zeus would have more than one magazine of lightning.

Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
825. — κατά τε γῶν ολχνεῖν And to go below the earth—¹ page	<b>204</b> line <b>2</b> 1
830. τίς μ' ὑποδύεται τίς ὀδύνὰ πλευράς; What pain, what is going under [my] sides ?	204 23
822. — ἀπὸ γάρ με τιμᾶν ἀμᾶν— For from my honours— <sup>3</sup>	204 25
835. [H. agrees with Bothe in ed. 1. in considering the verse Καίτοι γε μὴν σὰ κάρτ' ἐμοῦ σοφωτέρα as spurious, which he had attempted to amend in Opuscul. VI. 2. p. 107. But he has neglected to shew from whence the rejected words could have come.]	
844. [After στόλων H. has marked a lacuna by asterisks.]	204 33
848. μηδ' ἐκζέουσ' ὡς καρδίαν ἀλεκτόρων Nor causing to boil, as the heart of cocks'	<b>2</b> 05. <b>2</b>
851. θυραῖος ἔστω πόλεμος ἢ μόλις παρών  Let war be outside the doors or present with  difficulty <sup>5</sup>	205 5
879. — τίνα με φης ἔχειν ἔδραν; What seat do you say that I possess?	205 11
890. ὁποῖα νείκης μὴ κακῆς ἐπίσκοπα Such as look upon a contention not bad <sup>7</sup>	202 26

<sup>1</sup> H. alters οἰκεῖν into οἰχνεῖν.

<sup>2</sup> So H. repeats the second  $\tau \iota \varsigma$ —

<sup>3</sup> H. reads ἀμᾶν for δαμαίων in MSS.

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts, in lieu of ἐξελοῦσ', the conjecture of Musgr. ἐκζέουσ'— which he says is to be taken in an active sense, as ἐπέζεσεν is in Eurip.

Cycl. 392, Καὶ χάλκεον λέβητ' ἐπέζεσεν πυρί.

6 H. retains ἔχειν, which Elmsl. had altered into ἕξειν; for he could

not understand exerv, nor can I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H. reads  $\hat{\eta}$   $\mu \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$   $\pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$  in lieu of  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu \delta \lambda \iota \varsigma$   $\pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ , and says that there is an allusion to the battle of Marathon. But why such an allusion should be made here, he has not explained, nor can I discover. Paley, in his recently published second edition of this play, admits the reading, but rejects the allusion.

<sup>7</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he considers νείκης as synonymous with νείκους, and ἐπίσκοπα with ἐπιμελητικά. But νείκη is never found in ancient Greek for νεῖκος.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
918,20.  βαρέων τέκτων οὐκ οἶδεν ὅθεν πληγαὶ βιότου προσέπαισαν.  But he, who does not happen to be a fabricator of grievous things, does not know from whence the blows of life have struck him? page	207 line 7
933. ———— yóvos ð' del And ever let a race²—	207 16
946. — κύρι' ἔχοντες [Ye gods] possessing power over marriages <sup>3</sup>	
947. θεαί τ', ω Μοΐραι, And O ye Fates—who are goddesses—4	207 25
971-4. ἄρα φρονοῦσιν γλώσσης ἀγαθης όδὸν εὑρίσκειν ἐκ τῶν φοβερῶν τῶνδὲ προσώπων μέγα κέρδος ὁρῶ τοῖσδε πολίταις;	
Do I not see a great gain to these citizens, when they are wise to find the road of a good tongue, from these terrible personages?	208 7
976. καὶ γῆ καὶ πόλις ὀρθοδίκαιοι And the land and the state in uprightness and justice	208 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has altered  $\tau o \dot{\upsilon} \tau \omega \nu$  into  $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ , and supplied from conjecture  $\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi a \iota \sigma a \nu$  after  $\beta \iota \dot{\sigma} \tau o \nu$ .

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\delta'$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ , which Musgrave was the first to supply.

6 So H. in lieu of καὶ γῆν καὶ πόλιν ὀρθοδίκαιον—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> So H. renders  $\kappa \dot{\nu} \rho i$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \rho \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ —But how such a sense is to be elicited from those words, I cannot understand; and least of all, where  $\theta \epsilon \rho i$  is omitted.

<sup>4</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\theta \epsilon a i \tau' \omega \mu o i \rho a i$ —to avoid the necessity of uniting  $\epsilon \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$  with  $\theta \epsilon a i$ —a violation of syntax that Kühner considers quite unexceptionable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. renders his present text; where he has now adopted Pauw's εὐρισκειν for εὐρίσκει, and rejected his former alteration ἀρα φρονοῦσα.... εὐρίσκεις—where εὐρίσκεις is due to Rob.—and this too after it had been received as the very words of Æschylus by Dindorf and Paley.

Line in reek Text. 981,2. παρθένου φίλας φίλοις			Refere Bohn's	
εὐφρονοῦντες ἐν χρόνφ. With kind feelings after a friends of the virgin their	time toward		208 lin	ve 13
996. χαίρετε, χαίρετε δ' αδθις, έπαι Farewell, and farewell again word] <sup>2</sup>	<sup>ι</sup> διπλοίζω a—I redouble 		208	24
1009. [After πρεσβυτίδων H. has by asterisks.]	marked a la		208	31
1021,2. δεῦρ' ἴτε, σεμναὶ, σὺν πυρικ λάμπα. Come hither, ye solemn dei devoured torch—3	_		209	9
1024-6. σπονδαὶ δ' ἐς τὸ πᾶν ἔνδαι Παλλάδος ἀστοῖς Ζεὺς ὁ π οὕτω Μοῖρα τε συγκατέβα. Libations for all time toget of torches of houses fro	ther with the m the citizen	ns of		
Pallas. So the all-seeing have come together.	Jupiter and	rate	209	<b>.11</b>

<sup>3</sup> H. inserts σὺν after σεμναὶ—

<sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of φίλοι σωφρονοῦντες-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in lieu of αὖθις, ἐπιδιπλοίζω.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Such is the literal and unintelligible English of the text of H. His Latin version is—'Pax in omne tempus cum lumine tædarum in sedibus [Furiarum] Palladis civibus. Juppiter et Parcæ sic consenserunt.' But συγκατέβα means 'have come down together,' not 'have agreed together,' which would be, in correct Greek, συγκατέφαν.

## THE SUPPLIANTS.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
8. ἀλλ' αὐτογενεῖ φυξανορία  But through a flying from men of the same family¹ page	210 <i>iine</i>	5
24. — καὶ βαθύτιμοι And deeply-honoured— <sup>2</sup>	211	1
38. σφετεριξάμενοι— <sup>3</sup> After having made as their own— <sup>3</sup>	211	10
	211	12
50,1.   πιστὰ τεκμήρια  I will show forth the credible proofs of parents—  rents—	211	17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. adopts αὐτογενεῖ φυξανορία, the emendation of Bamberger, in Zeitschrift tür die Alterthumswissenschaft, 1839, p. 878.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Instead of  $\beta \alpha \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \iota \mu o \iota$  H. has  $\beta \alpha \theta \dot{\nu} \tau \iota \mu o \iota$ —which he refers to the heroes under the earth. But  $\beta \alpha \theta \dot{\nu} \tau \iota \mu o \iota$  would be scarcely a good Greek compound.

<sup>3</sup> H. reads σφετεριξάμενοι, as edited long ago by myself, in lieu of σφετεριξάμενον, from the conjecture of an unknown scholar, whom I have designated by Lβ. From Hermann's words, 'Scripsi σφετεριξάμενοι,' it would seem as if he were the original proposer of the alteration.

<sup>4</sup> H. omits τ' after lviv—as I had tacitly edited.

<sup>5</sup> Η. reads γονέων ἐπιδείξω in lieu of τά τε νῦν ἐπιδείξω—

Line in Greek Text. 51,2.	<u></u> <u>-</u> -Vator	ούμοισι <b>δ'</b> ,	ã.		Referen Bohn's	
ελπτά περ ὄντα And what shal expected, to t	, φανείτα l appear,	although	n being		211 <i>lin</i>	te 18
59. ἄτ' ἀπὸ χλωρῶν : Who roused from	πετάλων έ m the gre	γρομένα een leaves	32	••••	211	22
60. πενθεῖ νέοικτον ο In laments for haunts³			fate of		211	23
61. καὶ ξυντίθησι δὲ And composes—	_4	••••	••••	••••	211	24
75. δάπτω τὰν ἁπαλὰ I tear my chee heat <sup>5</sup>	•		by sumn		211	26
68,9. γοεδνὰ δ' ἀνθεμ δεῖμα, μένουσα With sobs I c waiting for fri	φίλους— ull the		fear, w		211	28
73,4. ὕβριν δ' ἐτύμως πέλοιτ' ἃν ἔνδιι But truly suppo just towards la	κοι νόμοις rting ins	•	ll, will ye		211	32
just to war us in	W 11 D <sub>0</sub>	••••	••••	••••	#II.	02

1 H. elicits γαιονόμοισι tom παντανόμοιαοι—But γαιονόμος is sarcely a good Greek compound.

3 H. reads νέοικτον οἶτον for νέον οἶκτον—But νέοικτον is scarcely a good Greek compound.

<sup>4</sup> H. inserts  $δ \hat{\epsilon}$  after ξυντίθησι—

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts Emper's conjecture είλοθερη in leu ο νειλοθερη, which Blomfield more correctly changed into Νειλοτραφη—Emper's είλοθερη was first suggested by Bothe in ed. 2., and subsequently by Winckelmann in Zeitschrift für die Alterthumswissenschaft, 1840. No. 157.

 $^6$  So H. in lieu of ἀνθεμίζομαι δειμαίνουσα φίλους—But what is

meant by ἀνθεμίζομαι δεῖμα, H. does not state, nor can I tell.

7 H. substitutes στέγοντες for στυγοῦντες, and νόμοις for γάμοις, and inserts εὖ with Heath. Perhaps, however, by στέγοντες he understood 'cncealig'—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in lieu of  $\ddot{a}\tau$   $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$  χώρων ποταμῶν ἐγρομένα—referring to χλωρηῖς  $\dot{a}\eta\dot{o}\dot{\omega}\nu$ .... Δενδρέων ἐν πετάλοισι καθεζομένη, in Od. XIX. 518; while to ἐγρομένα he applies διωκομένη in the Schol., not perceiving that it belongs evidently to κιρκηλάτου.

Line in Greek Text.	Referencet Bohn's Ed	
78. ἰθείη Διδς By the straight-forward [will] of Zeus— page:	212 line	2
80,1. πάντα τοι φλεγέθει κάν σκότφ μελαίν- α τε τύχα μερόπεσσι λαοῖς. Every where [the desire of Zeus] shines, and even in darkness and with a dark fate to people voice-dividing. <sup>2</sup> 2	212	4
88,9. βίαν δ' οὖτις ἐξαλύξει τὰν ἄπονον δαιμονίων. And no one will escape the violence of the deities, which is without trouble <sup>3</sup> 2	212	9
90-2. μνημον ἄνω φρόνημά πως αὐτόθεν ἐξέπραξεν ἔμ- πας ἐδράνων ἀφ' ἁγνῶν  A mind above remembering has somehow from itself avenged altogether, from holy seats.	212 1	4
99,100. ——ἄτ- αν δ' ἀπάτα μεταγνούς. It shall know its fault, too late ,deceived by our flight. 5	212 1	5
100. τοιαῦτα πάθεα μέλεα θρεομενα δ' ἐγὼ— And I lamenting such wretched sufferings— <sup>6</sup>	212 1	6

1 So H. renders his own conjecture iθείη for εἰθείη—referring to Hesych. Εἰθεῖα δικαιοσύνη.

<sup>3</sup> So H. in lieu of ου τιν' έξοπλίζει....αποινον; where ουτις is due to

Auratus and  $\tilde{a}\pi o \nu o \nu$  to Wellauer.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H., in lieu of ημενον ανω....

 $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$   $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\tilde{\omega}\nu$ —where  $\dot{\alpha}\phi'$  is due to a V. D. mentioned by Spanheim.

<sup>6</sup> H. adopts δ' ἐγω, the alteration of Enger for λέγω—But δ' coul

not thus be placed after the fourth word in a sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he reads  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha i \nu q \tau \epsilon \tau i \chi q$  in lieu of  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha i \nu \alpha i \tau i \chi \alpha i$  in MSS., observing that  $\xi \nu \nu$  came from some interpolator, who fancied that preposition to be wanting.

<sup>5</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text,  $\ddot{a}\tau a\nu$   $\delta'$   $\dot{a}\pi \dot{a}\tau a$   $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \nu o \dot{\nu} \epsilon$ —But how those words can convey such a meaning, I must leave for others to to discover.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit
105. καρβάν αὐδὰν εὖ γὰ κοννεῖς Ο foreign land, thou knowest well the word¹ page	212 line 25
121,2. <del> πατ</del> ηρ παντάρχας παντόπτας	
And the father all-ruling, all-seeing, will in	213 2
128. ἔχοντα σέμν' ἐνώπι' "Αρτεμις Artemis having a solemn visage—"	213 5
136-9. εὶ δὲ μὴ, μελανθὲς	213 6
ήλιόκτυπον γένος Ζῆνα τὸν γάϊον— But if not, we, a black-flowered race, sunstruckto Zeus the earthy—5	213 8
144,5. ὦ Ζὴν, Ἰοῦς ἰῶ μῆνις μάστειρ' ἐκ θεῶν. Ο Zeus, through the hatred of Io, there is a heaven-sent anger a seeker-out. <sup>6</sup>	213 18

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H., where  $\gamma \tilde{a}$  korveis is due to Boissonade and Bamberger, both of whom were indebted to my el  $\gamma \epsilon$  korveis; while kap $\beta \tilde{a} \nu'$   $\tilde{\omega}$ , substituted by H. for kap $\beta a \nu o \nu$ , is evidently incorrect; since it was not the land, but the word  $\beta o \tilde{\nu} \nu \iota \varsigma$ , which was 'foreign.'

<sup>2</sup> H. inserts  $\hat{a}\nu$  before  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$  and  $\pi a \nu \tau \hat{a}\rho \chi a \varsigma$  before  $\pi a \nu \tau \hat{o}\pi \tau a \varsigma$ , as in

Soph. Œd. C. 1058. Ζεῦ, θεῶν πάνταρχε, παντόπτα.

<sup>4</sup> H. reads with Heath  $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu i$  for  $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu \sigma i$ , and

changes ἀσφαλέας into ἀσχαλῶσ'—

<sup>6</sup> So H. renders his own text; where he says that  $i\tilde{\varphi}$ , literally 'poison.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> H. alters  $d\sigma\phi a\lambda\tilde{\omega}c$  into  $A\rho\tau\epsilon\mu\iota\varsigma$ —But by no mistake of a transscriber could those two words be interchanged.

<sup>5</sup> Such is the literal version of the text of H. But as no flower is black, except the smut in wheat, there could be no such compound as  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \zeta$ . The gl. in Hesych. Μελανθές·  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$ , is evidently an error for Μελανθέν·  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu$ . Moreover, since  $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \dot{o} \kappa \tau \nu \pi \sigma \zeta$  would mean 'sunstruck,' just as  $\Delta \iota \dot{o} \kappa \tau \nu \pi \sigma \zeta$  means 'Zeus-struck;' the expression  $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \dot{o} \kappa - \kappa \tau \nu \pi \sigma \nu$  y ένος would signify only 'a race that had sufferred from a sunblow'—which is a very different thing to being merely 'sun-burnt.' Lastly, since Γάτον means one, who is 'on the earth,' or 'earthy,' it could not be applied to Pluto, who is 'under the earth.'

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
158,9. — κοννῶ δ' ἄταν		
γαμετᾶς σᾶς οὐρανόνικον I know the heaven-conquering calamity, which comes from thy wife—1 page	213 <i>line</i>	19
180. alδοία καὶ γοεδνὰ καὶ ζαχρεῖ ἔπη Words of reverence and moaning, and very necessary <sup>2</sup>	214	10
187. — τὸ τῆδε, κάρτ' ἐπίφθονον γυνή. With regard to this point, a woman is a thing very exposed to blame <sup>3</sup>	214	14
194 and foll. [The speeches are arranged as marked in the Note, and the loss of a verse indicated by asterisks, where H. conceives that mention was made of a cock, the symbol of the Sun.]	214	20
214,15. πῶς δ' ἀν, γαμῶν ἄκουσαν ἄκοντος πάρα, ἀγνὸς γένοιτ' ἄν;  How shall a person, marrying a damsel unwil- ling from an unwilling, be pure? <sup>5</sup>	215	10
218. [After δίκας H. has marked the lacuna, which he had pointed out in the Vienna Review, vol. C. p. 179.]		
222. πέπλοισι βαρβάροισι και πυκάσμασι By barbaric dresses and coverings <sup>6</sup>	215	18

is to be taken in the sense of 'hatred;' while by 'a seeker-out,' we are to understand 'a pursuer of us.' But iòc never does, nor ever could, mean by itself 'hatred.'

Here, again, I have rendered into English the Latin version by H.

of his own text, where has inserted  $\sigma \tilde{a} \varsigma$  from conjecture.

<sup>4</sup> ΔΑ. Ιδοιτο.... ΧΟ. θέλοιμ'.... ΔΑ. μή νυν.... ΧΟ. ω Ζεῦ.... ΔΑ. κείνου......ΧΟ. ................ ΔΑ. καὶ Ζηνὸς

6 H. adopts πυκάσμασι found in Lβ'. in lieu ot πυκνώμασι.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. adopts  $\zeta \alpha \chi \rho \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$  as proposed by Bamberger in lieu of  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \epsilon \tilde{\iota}$  in Turneb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where he has substituted  $\gamma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$  in the place of  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$ : for says he, from whence did Danaus, who had lately come to Argos, learn that the Argives were  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \phi \theta o \nu o \nu \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$ ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. with the common text; where Dindorf has adopted my  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta \varsigma$ , for which, says H., there is no sufficient reason.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
225. ————οὐδὲ κηρύκων ὑπο Not even by heralds—¹ page	215 line 22
230. μόνον τόδ' Ἑλλὰς χθὼν συνήσεται στόχφ The land of Greece will comprehend this alone by a guess <sup>2</sup>	215 25
231. καὶ τἄλλα πού μ' ἐπεικάσαι δίκαιον ἢν And the rest of things somewhere it were just for me to conjecture <sup>3</sup>	215 26
	215 30
241,2. καὶ πᾶσαν αἴαν, ης δι' άγνὸς ἔρχεται Στρυμών— And all the land through which the pure Strymon passes—•	215 35
242. — τὸ πρὸς δύνοντος ἡλίου κρατῶ.  That which is towards the setting sun, I rule over	216 1
	216 5
253. ——ἀνῆκε γαῖα μηνιταῖ ἄκη The earth sent up consolations for angers	216 10

Instead of οὐδὲ, H. thinks that Æschylus wrote οΰτε—as I edited tacitly, seeing that οὐδὲ could not be introduced between ὅπως τε and ἀπρόξενοί τε.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads ξυνήσεται in lieu of ξυνοίσεται. But the middle ξυνήσομαι from ξυνίημι is not a Greek word; and if it were, it could not be united to  $\sigma \tau \dot{\phi} \chi \varphi$ .

<sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\pi o \acute{\nu} \mu'$  for  $\pi \acute{o} \lambda \lambda'$  in MSS.

<sup>4</sup> So H., who says that by  $\tau \eta \rho \delta \nu$  ' $E \rho \mu o \tilde{\nu}$   $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta o \nu$  is meant 'a herald'—But he should have shewn how  $\tau \eta \rho \delta c$  either is, or could be, a Greek word.

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts αlav ης δί' from Turneb. and alters άλγος into  $\dot{a}\gamma\nu\dot{o}\varsigma$ —referring to Pers. 492,  $\dot{a}\gamma\nu$ οῦ Στρυμόνος.

<sup>6</sup> H. reads  $\tau \delta$  for  $\tau o \tilde{v}$ —But  $\tau \delta$  could hardly thus follow  $\pi \tilde{a} \sigma a \nu$  alav—

although it is partly confirmed by MS. Med.

7 H. elicits  $τ \dot{a}π \dot{i}$   $τ \dot{a}δε$  from  $τ \ddot{a}πειτα$   $δ \dot{ε}$  in Rob. and considers  $iπ \dot{i}$   $τ \dot{a}δε$  as one word. But how  $τ \dot{a}$   $iπ \dot{e}π \dot{e}π$  τ  $iπ \dot{e}$  could thus follow  $τ \ddot{ω}ν δε$  he has not explained; and hence in Præf. Hec. p. 39, he formerly suggested  $τ \ddot{ω}ν δε$   $κ \dot{a}π \dot{e} τ \dot{a}$   $κ ρ ατ \ddot{ω}$ .

<sup>8</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; μηνιταϊ ἀκη elicited from μηνεῖται ἄκη in MSS. But he has not shewn

Line in Greek Text.		erence to n's Edit.
258. ἔχοντες ήδη— Ye having now—¹ page	216	line 14
263. καὶ ταῦτ' ἀληθῆ πάντα προσφύσω λόγφ.  And all these things I will fit to a discourse, that they may appear true—2	216	19
272,3. Ἰνδούς τ' ἀκούων οἶμαι. And hearing of the IndiansI think*	216	25
274-6. καί τὰν ἀνάνδρους κρεοβόρους ᾿Αμάζονας κάρτ᾽ ᾶν ἤκασα ὑμᾶς And unmanly flesh-devouring Amazons I should have conjectured you to be—4	216	26
278-82. ΧΟ. κληδοῦχον "Ηρας φασὶ δωμάτων ποτὲ Ιὼ γενέσθαι τῆδ' ἐν 'Αργεία χθονί.  ΒΑ. ἦν ὡς μάλιστα, καὶ φάτις πολλὴ κρατεῖ.  μὴ καὶ λόγος τις Ζῆνα μιχθῆναι βροτῷ;  ΧΟ. κἄκρυπτά γ' "Ηρας ταῦτα τἀμπαλάγματα.  CH. They say that Io was once the key-bearer of the houses of Juno in this Argive		
land KING. She was as much as possible, and a great report prevails. Is there not a report that Zeus had a connexion with a mortal?  CH. Yes; and that this intercourse was not	216	31
	216	35

where  $\mu\eta\nu\iota\tau\tilde{a}io\varsigma$  is to be found, nor how  $\mu\eta\nu\iota\tau\tilde{a}i'$   $\tilde{a}\kappa\eta$  could bear the meaning he assigns to those words.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads ἔχοντες in lieu of ἔχον δ' ἀν in MSS. But ἔχοντες could not be united to ἐξεύχοιο, unless the first sentence be taken absolutely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. renders the words of the text, which mean literally—' And I will fit all these true things to a discourse.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. adopts my οlμαι in lieu of εlναι—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. changes καὶ τὰν in MSS. to καὶ τὰν—But as τὰν is τοι ὰν, the particles καὶ τοι would have no meaning here. He next adopts κρεο-βόρους, the reading of an unknown critic, and of Lobeck in Paralipom. p. 260, in lieu of κρεοβρότους.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> So H. by rearranging the speeches, and by altering  $\eta \nu$  into  $\eta \nu$ —and καὶ κρυπτα into κᾶκρυπτα—and τῶν παλλαγμάτων in Turneb. ino

Line in Greek Text.			ference to hn's Edit.
293. οἴστρον καλοῦσιν αὐτὸν Ἰνάχου πελας. They call it œstrum, near Inachus.¹ pa	ge	217	line 9
296. [After ikero H. has marked the absence of line by asterisks.]		217	15
300. [After ἐπώνυμος Η. has again pointed out asterisks a lacuna		217	21
322. τίς δ' ἄν φιλῶν ὧνοῖτο τοὺς κεκτημένους; What person loving would purchase his maters? <sup>2</sup>		218	6
324. ναὶ, δυστυχούντων γ' εὐμαμὴς ἀπαλλαγή. Yes, there is an easy liberation—	••••	218	9
336,7. λυκοδίωκτον ὡς δάμαλιν As a fawn wolf-pursued —	••••	218	22
340. νεύονθ ὅμιλον τόνδ' ἀγωνίων θεῶν. This nodding band of the gods, presiding ov contests · · ·		218	23
346. σὺ δὲ παρ' ὀψιγόνου μάθε γεραιόφρων. But do thou with an old mind learn from orborn later		218	30

τάμπαλάγματα—But what is the meaning of the words, 'She was as much as possible,' as applied to Io, or 'It was as much as possible,' to the report, H. has not explained.

<sup>1</sup> So H., who says that as οlστρος is a Greek word, it is false to attri-

bute it to the Ægyptians; and that, if it be an Ægyptian word likewise. it is absurd to introduce the mention of it here. Accordingly he has elicited Ίνάχου from οἱ νείλου—forgetting that the οἱ could not be dispensed with.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads φιλῶν for φίλους.

3 H. reads vai for kai. But what is got by the change, it is difficult to discover.

4 H. elicits λυκοδίωκτον from λευκόδικτον—But neither he nor any one else ever saw or heard of a doe crying out, when pursued by a wolf; for instead of crying out, it runs away as fast at it can, as shewn by Theocritus, Φεύγεις, ώσπερ ὄϊς πολιὸν λύκον ἀθρήσασα, and by Horace— 'Cervus uti....visum lupum fugies.' Hence I suggested λύκφ δερκτον, 'seen by a wolf'—or  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \kappa \psi \delta \eta \kappa \tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ , 'bitten by a wolf.'—

5 Such is the version of the text of H. where  $\nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} o \nu \theta$ ' has been sug-

gested by Bamberger, in lieu of  $\nu \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \theta'$ , and  $\tau \acute{o} \nu \delta'$  by H. instead of  $\tau \widetilde{\omega} \nu \delta'$ -But how the band of the gods could be said to nod under the shade of

the boughs, it is difficult to understand.

6 H. adopts my γεραιόφρων in lieu of γεραφρόνων.

Line in Greek Text.  347-9. ποτιτρόπαιον αἰδόμενος οὐ πενεῖ *καλλιπότμου τύχας * ἱεροδόκα * πέλει * θεῶν λήματ' ἀπ' ἀνδρὸς ἁγνοῦ. Pitying a suppliant thou wilt not be in want of fortune with a good fate. The dispo-	Referen Bohn's	
sition of the gods is sacrifice-receiving from a pure man—1 page	218 line	e 31
384,5. — κου μήποτε εἴπη λεὼς		
	219	27
402. μῶν σοι δοκεῖ—  Does it not seem to you— <sup>3</sup>	<b>22</b> 0	6
418,9 μένει δορί τίνειν		
όμοιταν θέμιν. It remains for equal Themis to pay with the sword	220	15
424. στρέβλαισι ναυτικαΐσιν ως προσηρμένον. As if fitted together by twisted naval [tools].	220	22
426. καὶ δώμασιν μὲν, χρημάτων πορθουμένων, γένοιτ' ἄν ἄλλα κτησίου Διὸς χάριν, ἄτης τε μείζω καινὸν ἐμπλῆσαι γόμον.  And to houses, property being destroyed, there would be other things, through the favour of Zeus, who presides over property, and to fill a new freight greater than calamity.	220	23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such, I presume, is what H. meant by his text: where all the words between the asterisks have been inserted from conjecture, and οὐ πενεῖ elicited from οὖπερ by the aid of οὐ πτωχεύσεις in the Schol. But how λήματα can be said to be ἱεροδόκα, it is impossible to understand. For ἱεροδόκα is applied only to altars or temples, as I have shewn in my note on this passage.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts κου μή ποτε, the alteration of Wordsworth, in lieu of καὶ μή ποτε—

3 H. reads Μῶν σοι δοκεῖ in lieu of Μῶν οὐ δοκεῖ—

5 H. reads προσηρμένον, the conjecture of Scaliger, for προσηγμένον.
6 Such is the literal version of the text of H.: where he has transposed.

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts  $\delta o \rho i \tau i \nu \epsilon i \nu$ , suggested by Boissonade, in lieu of  $\delta \rho \epsilon i \kappa \tau i \nu \epsilon i \nu$  —and reads  $\delta \mu o i \alpha \nu$  with Klaussen. But  $\delta o \rho i \tau i \nu \epsilon \nu$  would mean to pay for wrong by a spear, not to punish; while the Homeric form  $\delta \mu o i \alpha \nu$  is justly repudiated by Dindorf; to say nothing of the fact that Justice was not represented by the ancients, as holding a spear, like Pallas, although she was seen with a sword.

Line in Greek Text.	Bohn's Edit.
431. μὴ ἀλγεῖν, ἃ θυμοῦ κάρτα κινητήρια So that the things, which are exciting anger greatly, may not be a pain.¹ page	r e <b>2</b> 20 <i>line</i> 27
437,8. ἢ κάρτ' ἄνοικτος τοῦδ' ἐγὼ παροίχομαι. πολλῶν ἄκουσον τέρματ' αἰδοίων λόγων. CH. Surely I pass very much unpitied by this person. Hear thou the finish of many modest words. <sup>2</sup>	
441. — τάχ' ᾶν γυναικὶ ταῦτα συμπρεπη πέλοι These would perhaps be becoming to a woman's	a 221 1
445. εἰ μή τι πιστὸν τῷδ' ὑποστήσεις στόλφ. Unless you shall undertake for this migrating band something to be relied upon <sup>4</sup>	g 221 6
449. ἤκουσα δακνιστῆρα καρδίας λόγον I have heard a speech, a biter of the heart.	221 13
4C4. 1θ' ως τάχιστα τήνδ' ἐρημώσασ' ἔδραν— Go as quick as possible, and make a desert of this seat's	of 221 26

the second and third verses, and altered χρήμασιν μὲν ἐκ δόμων into δώμασιν μὲν χρημάτων, and γε μείζω καὶ μέγ' ἐμπλήσας into τε μείζω καινὸν ἐμπλῆσαι, and adopted ἄτης for ἄτην from Turneb.

1 H. has altered ἀλγεινὰ θυμοῦ into μὴ ἀλγεῖν ἃ—but forgotten to

shew on what  $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon i \nu$  depends.

<sup>2</sup> H. has placed the verse H κάρτα νείκους τοῦδ' ἐγὼ παροίχομαι, which commonly follows  $\pi \eta \mu ον \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$  ἄκη, after γνώμην ἐμὴν, and altered it into H κάρτ' ἄνοικτος τοῦδ'—although he was content formerly on Med. 964, to read Καὶ κάρτα νείκους—without any other alteration.

<sup>3</sup> H. adopts  $T\acute{a}\chi'$   $\grave{a}\nu$ , the conjecture of Marckscheffel, in lieu of  $\tau\acute{v}\chi a\nu$  in MSS., and he reads, himself,  $\gamma \nu \nu a\iota \kappa \grave{\iota}$  for  $\gamma \nu \nu a\iota \kappa \check{\omega} \nu$ —But the

question is not about what would be, but what is, befitting.

<sup>4</sup> H. adopts in lieu of  $\dot{v}$ ποστήσει my  $\dot{v}$ ποστήσεις, which he attributes to Wellauer; while Paley takes the credit of the alteration to himself.

5 H. in lieu of μακιστῆρα reads δακνιστῆρα—a word that is certainly not found elsewhere, nor probably could be. H. quotes, indeed, Pers. 569, στένε καὶ δακνάζου. But there it is easy to read—πύκν ἄζ΄ ω. For ἄζειν is 'to cry αῖ,' as οἰμώζειν is 'to cry οῖμοι.'

6 H. has introduced this verse of his own composition, evidently modelled after Agam. 1037, "Ιθ', ω τάλαινα, τόνδ' ἐρημώσασ' ὅχον:

although he says himself not a word about the imitation.

Line in Greek Text. 465. ——— ἀψ ἐν ἀγκάλαις λαβὼν	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
	<b>221</b> line 26
466. βωμούς προνάους καὶ πολυξεστους εδρας Altars before the temples, and much-polished seats—2	221 26
470. καὶ γὰρ τάχ' ἄν τις οἰκτίσας, ἰδὼν τάδε— For perhaps some one, feeling pity, after seeing these things³	221 29
482. — μὴ θράσος τέκη φόβον.  Lest [my] boldness produce a fear [on the part of the people]	222 1
486. καὶ ξυμβόλοισιν— And to those who meet <sup>5</sup>	222 5
488. — καὶ τεταγμένος κίοι And may he ordered go. <sup>6</sup>	222 7
498. ἀεὶ δ' ἀνάρκτων ἐστὶ δεῖμ' ἐξαίσιον.  ¡The fear of persons without a ruler is ever unreasonable."	222 23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. reads  $\hat{a}\psi$  for  $al\psi$ —For though Valckenaer had remarked in Diatrib. p. 139, that  $\hat{a}\psi$  was a word never heard on the Attic stage, yet H. asserts that the language of this play approaches rather close to that of Homer—an assertion it would be difficult to prove, at least in the extra-choral parts.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads πολυξέστους in lieu of πολισσούχων—But why mention should be made of 'much-polished seats,' H. has not thought proper to explain.

So H. reads, as Linwood suggested, whose name is however omitted,

in lieu of ολκτος είσιδων τάδε—

<sup>4</sup> So H. explains the common text—and rejects φόνον, proposed by

Pauw and adopted by nearly all subsequent editors.

<sup>5</sup> Although H. has edited  $\xi \nu \mu \beta \delta \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ , yet in the Notes he doubts whether the poet did not write  $\xi \nu \mu \beta o \lambda o \tilde{\nu} \sigma \iota$ —but without stating that the same correction had been suggested by myself in the Classical Journal, and by Valckenaer in Not. MSS.

6 So H. in text; but in the Notes he prefers κίει, the conjecture of

Schütz, to kiot. For the optative is scarcely intelligible here.

7 H. alters ἀνάκτων into ἀνάρκτων, which he refers to the daughters no longer under the rule of their absent father.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
500. ἀλλ' σέτι δαρών σ' εξερημώσει πατήρ But not for a long time shall father leave you described page	<b>222 line 24</b>
511. πιθοῦ τε καὶ γενέσθα  Be persuaded and let it be.²	<b>222</b> · 33
515. τὸ πρὸς γεναρχᾶν ἐπιδὰν Looking on the side of ancestors <sup>2</sup>	222 36
520,1. διας τοι γένος εἰχόμεθ εἰναι γᾶς ἀπὸ τᾶσδ ἔνοικοι. We boast to be a race from this divine land, being settled [in it]*	223 2
524. ματέρος ἀνθονόμους ἐπωπὰς The flower-feeding lookings-out of her mother—5	223 4
534. Λύδιά τ' ἀν γύαλα And through the hollows of Lydia—5	<b>223</b> 10
535. καὶ δι' όρων Κιλίκων And through the boundaries of Cilicia—'	223 11
537. γᾶς ποταμοὺς ἀενάους The ever-flowing rivers of the land*	223 12

H. reads caρόν σ' έξερημώσει in lieu of caρόν χρόνον έρημώσει— So H. in the text; but in the Notes he mentions the ingenious conjecture of Lobeck on Soph. Aj. p. 283=250, Πειθοῦ τι, καὶ γένει σῷ in lieu of καὶ γενέσξω.

3 H. reads τὸ πρὸς γεναρχᾶν in lieu of τὸ πρὸς γυναικῶν—
4 H. retains ἐιας, which Porson had altered into ἐι' ἄς—

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts the interpretation, given by Paley of ματέρος ἀνθονόμους ἐπωπάς, and refers to Steph. Byz. in Ἑπωπή, which was a name applied to Acrocorinthus, because it was the look-out of Sisyphus. But as a look-out is always on the highest ground, and as the highest ground has the fewest flowers, and as a cow does not, like a goat or a sheep, prefer the short grass upon high grounds to the long grass of low grounds, the interpretation of Paley seems to be perfectly untenable, and at

variance with λειμωνα βούχιλον, 'a meadow with much fodder.'

<sup>6</sup> H. alters τε γαύλα into τ' ἀν γύαλα, as Paley, whose name is not mentioned, had already suggested.

7 H. reads ὅρων for ὀρέων—forgetting that ὀρέων is the very word suited to the mountainous Cilicia.

<sup>8</sup> H. reads  $\gamma \tilde{a} \varsigma$  for  $\tau \dot{a} \varsigma$ —as I had edited long ago.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.	_
540. ໂκνεῖται δ' ἐγκεχριμένα βέλει And she arrives pricked by the dart—' page	223 line 14	:
547,8. — οδύναις τε κεντροδαλ- ήτισι θυιὰς "Ηρας.  And excited by the goading and destructive pains from Juno. <sup>2</sup>	223 15	, <b>)</b>
552. βοτὸν κακόχαρι δυσχερες	223 21	
558,9. δι' αἰῶνος κρέων ἀπαύστου πράκτωρ τῶνδ' ἐφάνη Ζεύς. Zeus, who rules through ceaseless ages, has appeared the doer of these acts.4	223 24	•
560. δύα δ	223 25	ı
560,1. — δακρύων δ' ἀπο- σχάζει πένθημον αἰδῶ. And [she] lays open the grief-producing shame of tears—6	223 26	
576. εὖτε γε πατὴρ When the father <sup>7</sup>	223 34	

1 H. alters εἰσικνουμένη into ἐγκεχριμένη—and refers to Prom. 564, Χρίει...με...οιστρος-

3 So H. inserts hesitatingly κακόχαρι before δυσχερές—

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $\delta \dot{v} \alpha$  for  $\beta i\alpha$ : and he might have referred to my note on

Prom. 534, where I have made a similar correction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> H. reads κεντροδαλήτισι with Erfurdt—and θυιάς with MS. Med. in lieu of κεντροδαλήτοις θείαις—But κεντροδηλητίς is scarcely a good Greek compound.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. reads δι' αίωνος with myself, although my name is not mentioned, and supplies from conjecture— $\pi\rho\acute{\alpha}\kappa\tau\omega\rho$   $\tau\~{\omega}\nu\acute{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\acute{\alpha}\nu\eta$   $Z\epsilon\grave{\nu}\varsigma$  similar to  $K\dot{\nu}\pi\rho\iota\varsigma$   $\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu\delta'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\acute{\alpha}\nu\eta$   $\pi\rho\acute{\alpha}\kappa\tau\omega\rho$  in Soph. Trach. 862, and to Ζεὺς ὅτου πράκτωρ φανη in 251.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Such, I presume, is the version of the text of H., which he has substituted for δακρύων δ' άποστάζει πένθιμον αίδω. His own explanation is—' Pudor cum dolore et lacrimis conjunctus, quod forma humana privata erat.'

 <sup>7</sup> H. supplies the lacuna by reading Εὖτέ γε—

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
588. δήμου κρατοῦσα χεὶρ ὅπερ πληθύνεται.  For which matter the prevailing hand of the people has become numerous.   page	224 line 8
603. λέγων διπλοῦν μίασμα πρὸς πόλεως φανέν Saying that a double pollution, appearing on the part of the city <sup>2</sup>	224 22
607,8. δημηγόρους δ' ἔλυσεν εὐπιθεῖς στροφάς δημος Πελασγῶν.  And the Pelasgian people set free the well-persuading turns of the public-speaker.*	
608. —— Ζεὺς δὲ κράνειεν τέλος. And may Zeus perfect the end. ·	<b>224</b> 27
616. — τάνδε Πελασγίαν This Pelasgian <sup>5</sup>	224 34
618. — ἀρότοις ἐν ἄλλοις— In other ploughed fields <sup>6</sup>	224 35
625. δίον ἐπιδόμενοι πράκτορα πάνσκοποι Looking up to the divine all-seeing avenger—	225 3
626,7. — δστις ἃν δόμος ἔχη σφ' ἐπ' ὀρόφων ἰαύοντα  Whatsoever house shall have it sleeping on the roof.8	225 4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So H. in lieu of χεὶρ ὅπως πληθύνεται in Turneb. But how ὅπερ can be governed by πληθύνεται, we are not informed.

<sup>2</sup> H. reads πρὸς πόλεως for πρὸ πόλεως—

3 H. changes ηκουσεν into έλυσεν—

5 Η. reads τάνδε Πελασγίαν for τὰν Πελασγίαν and rejects πόλιν-

7 H. reads πράκτορα πάνσκοπον—with the aid of the Schol. Διὸς

σκοπόν τὸν Διὸς ὀφθαλμὸν τὸν πάντα σκοποῦντα.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> So H. in lieu of Ζεὺς δὲ κράνειν τέλος: for, says he, how did Danaus know that Zeus had brought the affair to an end? A wish is rather required here. Hence he might have read, Ζεῦ δὲ πᾶν κραίν' εῦ τέλος—' and do thou, Zeus, well bring all to an end.'

<sup>6</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he prefers ἐνάλλοις, suggested by Pauw, to ἐν ἄλλοις. But what are 'the other' or 'strange ploughed fields,' where Mars is the reaper, we are yet to learn.

<sup>8</sup> So H. in lieu of δν οῦτις ἀν δόμος ἔχοι ἐπ' ὀρόφων μιαίνοντα— But if the divine avenger were sleeping on the house-top, it would ill merit the appellation of the 'all-looking,' which H. himself had just given to it.

Line in Greek Text. 636,7. μήδ στάσις	Referenc Bohn's K	
αίμα <b>τίσαι</b>	225 line	10
642,3. καὶ γεραροῖσι πρεσβυτοδόκοι προβούλ- οις θυμέλαι φλεόντων. And let the altar-places, receiving old men, be full with honoured counsellors <sup>2</sup>	225	13
644-6. τως πόλις εὖ νέμοιτο Ζῆνα μέγαν σεβόντων τὸν ξένιον δ΄ ὑπέρτατον. So may be well directed the city of those worshipping the great Zeus, and the highest god, presiding over hospitality.*	225	23
648,9. τίκτεσθαι δὲ φόρους γᾶς ἄλλους εὐχόμεθ' εἶναι. And we pray for other produce of the land to be brought forth	225	17
662. πρόνομα δὲ βοτὰ γᾶς And may the cattle feeding over the land <sup>6</sup>	225	25
663. τὸ πᾶν τ' θάλοιεν And may they flourish altogether—6	225	26

<sup>1</sup> H. adopts στάσις, which Bamberger would supply here.

<sup>5</sup> H. reads  $\beta$ οτὰ  $\gamma$ ãς in lieu of  $\beta$ οτά τως in Turneb., a tacit correction of  $\beta$ ρότατος in MSS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So reads H. inserting from conjecture προβούλοις in lieu of πρεσβυτοδόκοι γεμόντων θυμέλαι φλεόντων θ'—But why the altar-places should be filled by counsellors, we are not informed; as if the properplace for such 'most potent, grave, and reverend signors,' as they are called in Othello, were not the council-hall rather.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> H. reads  $\tau \dot{\omega} c$   $\pi \delta \lambda c$  with Rob. and  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \nu$  with Ald., and retains  $\delta' \dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$ —with the MSS. and edd. pr., against Canter's  $\Delta i' \dot{\upsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ - $\tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> H. adopts Ahren's reading, Γικτεσθαι δὲ φόρους, elicited from Τίκτεσθαι δ' ἐφόρους in MSS.

 $<sup>\</sup>dot{\theta}$  H. reads  $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \iota \epsilon \nu$  in lieu of  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta o \iota \epsilon \nu$  in MSS., although he confesses that  $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta a \lambda o \nu$  is an aor. 2, not to be found except in Pseud-Homeric H. Pan. 33.

Line in Greek Text. 664,5. εὐφήμοις & ἐπὶ βωμοῖς	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
μοῦσαν θείατ' ἀοιδοί  And let the minstrels compose a strain at the altar with good-omened words.   page	225 line 27
668. φυλάσσοι τ' ἀρτέμεια τιμάς And let soundness guard honours*	225 28
669. τὸ δημιον, τὸ πτόλιν κρατύνει The people, that rules the city—'	225 29
697. ἴσως γὰρ ᾶν κήρυξμόλοι For perhaps a heraldwill come	226 14
720. δολόφρονες δ' ἄγαν— And with very deceitful minds <sup>5</sup>	227 4
724. εὶ σοί τε καὶ θεοῖσιν If both to you and the gods—6	227 8
730,1. ἀλλ' ἔστι φήμη κρείσσονας λύκους κυνῶν είναι	
But there is a saying that wolves are better than dogs.	227 13

<sup>1</sup> H. alters μοῦσαι θεαί τ' into μοῦσαν θείατ'—But as the middle voice θείατο would be incorrect, Ahrens suggested θεῖεν—

<sup>2</sup> H. alters ἀτιμίας into ἀρτέμεια—to which he was probably led by

ἀτρεμαῖα, suggested by Paley.

3 So H. in the text; but in the Notes he would read τὸ δήμιον τε πτόλιν κρατύνοι—partly with Bamberger: while in the next verse he reads προμηθίς—a form, he says, found in Antholog. Palat. XIII. 7, 5, as applied to the name of a woman.

4 So H. reads with myself  $\partial \nu \kappa \eta_0 \nu \xi .... \mu \delta \lambda_0 \iota$  in lieu of  $\eta .... \mu \delta \lambda_0 \iota$ : although he had on Viger. p. 784, asserted that  $\mu \delta \lambda_0 \iota$  could be used in

a potential sense without av

<sup>5</sup> H. alters  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  kai into  $\delta'$  äyav to suit the äyav in the antistrophé.

<sup>6</sup> So H. in the text; but in the Notes he prefers Εί σύν γε καὶ—But σύν γε καὶ θεοῖσιν— together with the gods likewise —would be ill-

suited to the train of thought.

7 So H. He should have read τοὺς λύκους κρείσσους—found in MS. Med., where from the other reading κρείσσων came κρείσσονας in Rob., or, what is preferable, H. should have adopted my 'Αλλ' ἔστι φήμη τις.... λύκους—for in such a proverbial expression the article would be inadmissible.

Line in Process of the Control of th	Reference Bohn's E	
732,3. ἔμπας ματαίων ἀνοσίων τε κνωδάλων ἔχοντας ὀργὰς χρὴ φυλάσσεσθαι κράτος. It is altogether requisite to guard against those, who possess the rage of silly and unholy monsters. <sup>1</sup> page		15
735. οὐδὲ πεισμάτων σωτήρια		18
741. κᾶν ἢ γαλήνη νήνεμος δ' εὖδη κλυδών Although there is a calm, and the wave windless sleeps³	227	18
747. là γâ βοῦνις— Ο hilly land—'	227	32
	227	21
754,5. ἄλυκτον δ' οὐκ ἔτ' ἃν πέλοι νόαρ' κελαινόχρων δὲ πάλλεται πρὸ καρδίας. The phantom would not be perplexed any longer; but is tossed about of a dark colour before the heart. <sup>6</sup>	227	22

<sup>1</sup> H. reads from conjecture  $i\mu\pi\alpha\varsigma$  in lieu of  $i\varsigma$   $\kappa\alpha i$ —and from Turneb.  $i\gamma \rho \nu \tau \rho \varsigma$  for  $i\gamma \rho \nu \tau \rho \varsigma$ —

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts in the text Scaliger's πεισμάτων σωτήρια, similar to ναύδετα....πρυμνᾶν in Eurip. Tro. 810. But in the Notes he would read πείσματος σωτηριόυ—conceiving that a verse had dropped out.

<sup>4</sup> H. reads with Pauw βοῦνις in lieu of βουνίτι.

6 Such is the literal version of the text of H. But what he understood

<sup>3</sup> This Supplement, suggested by Paley, has been adopted by H. where καν η γαλήνη have been elicited from καὶ γαλήνη, preserved by Plutarch II. p. 1090. A. and νήνεμος δ΄ εὕδη κλυδών, invented by Paley, who doubtless remembered Agam. 549, πόντος....κοίταις ἀκύμων νηνέμοις εὕδη πεσών.

<sup>5</sup> H. here elicits ἀφάντως ἀμπετης εἰς ἀος ὡς from ἄφαντος ἀμπετήσας δόσως in MS. G., and quotes ὅμμα ἀμπετὲς ἀκλήϊστον from Heliodorus in Stobæus XCVIII. (C. Herm.) p. 540, and Hesych. ᾿Αος πνεῦμα ἢ ἵαμα, correcting there ἢ ἄημα. But as nothing is known of the strange word ᾿Αος, it would be hazardous to introduce it here; and the more so, as it is easy to read in Hesychius ᾿Αοῦς ἄημ' ἡν πνεῦμα, 'There was the breath of morn '—For the gl. is a fragment of a Doric poet, probably Epicharmus, who added, I suspect, ἡδὸ— and thus the whole fragment would mean—'Sweet was the breath of morn '— in Greek, ᾿Αοῦς ἄημ' ἡν ἡδύ.

<ul> <li>759. πρὶν ἄνδρ' ἀπευκτὸν τῷδε χριμφθῆναι χροί.         Before an abominable man comes close to this skin¹ page 228 line 3</li> <li>762. πρὸς ὁν κύφελλ' ὑδρηλὰ γίγνεται χιών.         At which the misty and watery clouds become snow.² 228 5</li> <li>767,8. πρὶν δαϊκτορος βία με</li> </ul>	i L
At which the misty and watery clouds become snow. <sup>2</sup> 228 5 767,8. πρὶν δαϊκτορος βία με	3
	5
καρδίας γάμου κυρήσαι Before I meet in defiance of my heart with a killing marriage.* 228 8	8
775,6. ἢ τίν' ἀμφυγὰν ἔτ' ἢ πόρ- ον τέτμω γάμου λυτῆρα Or what escape or road shall I discover as the freer from marriage—4 228 12	2
777–80. ἴυζε δ΄ δμφὰν, οὐράνια μέλη, θεοῖσι λίτανα, καὶ τέλεα δύας πελόμενα μοι λύσιμα.	
Moan out a voice, heavenly strains, prayers to the gods, and [pray] for them to be the releasers from calamity————————————————————————————————————	R
780. μάχαν δ' ἔπιδε, πάτερ, And look upon the fight, father,6 228 16	

by those words, I must leave for the reader to discover: especially as  $\nu\delta\alpha\rho$  is not only never found in any good Greek author, but is a manifest corruption in the opinion of Lobeck, in Paralipom. p. 176, as H. states himself; who, however says, that the whole passage alludes to the imagined appearance of the dark crew, spoken of in the speech of Danaus, when he first descried their approach.

1 H. adopts τῷδε χριμφθηναι from MS. P. and χροί from MS. E.

<sup>2</sup> H. adopts Dindorf's alteration of νέφη δ' ὕδρηλα into κύφελλ' ὑδρηλά—which is however repudiated by Dindorf himself in Steph. Thesaur., where he now prefers νέφη δίνδρα—for he had discovered that κύφελλα was only Alexandrian Greek. What Æschylus really wrote, it would not be difficult perhaps to discover.

3 H. inserts με between βία and καρδίας—

4 Such is the text of H. in lieu of τίν' άμφ' αὐτᾶς ἔτι πόρον τέμνω

γάμου καὶ λυτήρια.

Such, I presume, is the version of the text of H. where he has adopted from Rob. ἴυζε....οὐράνια μέλη λίτανα θεοῖσι and καὶ τέλεα from Ald. and substituted δύας for δέ μοι πως—

6 H. changes μάχιμα into μάχαν—

Line in Greek Text	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
781. βίαια μὴ στέρξης δρών  Do not love to look on forcible acts page	<b>228</b> line 15
785-9. γένος γὰρ Αἰγύπτειον ὕβρι δύσοιστον ἀρπενογενὲς, οἱ μετά με δρόμοισι διόμενοι φυγάδα μάταισι πολυθρέοις βίαια δίζηνται λαβεῖν.  For an Ægyptian insulting race, hard to be borne, of male birth,² who, pursuing me an exile by their racing, seek to lay hold of me violently through their very clamorous acts	
	228 19
793. στρ. δ'.  Ημιχορ. α'. δ', δ', ά', ά',  δ δὲ μάρπτις δ νάϊος, γάϊος,  ηέ, ηέ.  τῶν πρὸ σὺ, μάρπτι, κάμνοις.  δσιόφρονα λύσιν καββασίας δλωλ-  υῖα βόαμα φαίνω.  Hemichor. 1. Oh, oh! ah, ah! the seizer [is]  here, by sea and land. Oh! oh! in return  for which, may you, seizer, be in trouble. I  am lost and shew forth a crying-out, the  holy-thinking deliverance from a descent  [upon land.]	228 21
799. ἀντιστρ. δ.	
Ήμιχορ. β΄. όρῶ. όρῶ <sup>*</sup> τὰ δὲ φροίμι ἐμῶν βιαίων πόνων ἢέ ἢέ. βαῖνε φυγᾳ πρὸς ἀλκάν. βλοσυρόφρονι χλιδᾳ δύσφορα ναῖ τἀγ- γάῖ, ἄναξ, προτάσσου.	•

H. alters μη φιλεῖς—an abbreviation, as he supposed, for μη φιλήσης
 —into στέρξης—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. reads, in lieu of  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o c \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  Ai $\gamma \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota o \nu$   $\ddot{\nu} \beta \rho \iota \nu$  δύσφορον—and considers  $\ddot{\nu} \beta \rho \iota$  as a neuter adjective.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In this remodelled text διόμενοι and δίζηνται are furnished by Rob., and οι is added from conjecture.

In these verses, where the MSS. and early editions present only a continued series of corruptions, it will be sufficient to give Hermann's remodelled text, with a literal English version, leaving the inquisitive reader to discover from Hermann's notes the reasons that have led to the alterations, and by which they are supported.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.
Hemichor. 2. I see, I see. These are the	Donn's Part.
preludes of my compulsory troubles. Oh!	
oh! go in flight towards strength, O king,	
with a haughty-minded pride, do thou be	
ordered things hard to be borne on ship-	
board and on land.] page	228 line 24
805, στρ. ε΄.	
ΚΗΡΥΖ. σοῦσθε, σοῦσθ ἐπὶ βῶριν ὅπως ποδῶν	
ΧΟΡ. οὐκοῦν, οὐκοῦν μεσφδ.	
τιλμοί, τιλμοί καί στιγμοί,	
πολυαίμων φόνιος ἀποκοπὰ κρατός.	
HERALD. Rush, rush, to the bark, as quick	000
	229 1
CHO. There are then tearings and scratch-	
ings, and the cutting-of of heads with much	_
gore and blood	229 2
000 7	
809, ἀντιστρ. ε΄.	
ΚΗΡ. σοῦσθε, σοῦσθ ὐλοαὶ μέγ' ἐπ' ἄμαλα.	
HER. Rush, rush, ye lost greatly, to the sea-	
cutting [vessel]	229 4
810. στρ. ε΄.	
Ήμιχορ. α΄. εἶθ ἀνὰ πολύρυτον	
άλμιόεντα πόρον	
' δεσποσίω ξὺν ῧβρει	
γομφοδέτω τε δόρει διώλου.	
αΐμον ΐσως σέ γ' ἐπ' ἄμαλα	
ήσει δουπίαν τάπλ γᾶ.	
ΚΗΡ. κελεύω βία μεθέσθαι σ' ίχαρ,	
φρενός ἄφρονα τ' ἄγαν.	
Ήμιχορ. β. ἰού, ἰού,	
λείφ' έδρανα, κί' ές δόρυ,	
ἀτίετος ἀνὰ πόλιν ἀσεβῶν.	
Hemichor. 1. I wish that along the much-	
	•
flowing and briny path thou hadst perished	
utterly with thy lordly insolence and the	
bolt-bound bark. Perhaps the [forces] on	
land will send thee with blood to the noisy	000
ship	229 6
HER. I command thee to give up thy desire	
	229 8
Hemichor. 2. Oh! oh! Leave the seats. Go	
to the ship thou, who art in no honour,	
	229 11

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
821. ἀντιστρ. ε΄.		
Ήμιχορ, α΄. μήποτε πάλιν ίδοιμ'		
άλφεσίβοιον ὕδωρ,		
ενθεν ἀεξόμενον		
ζώφυτον αίμα βροτοίσι θάλλα.		
γείος έχω βαθυχάϊος		
βαθρείας, βαθρείας, γέρον.		
KHP. σὺ δ' ἐν ναῒ, ναῒ βάσει τάχα θέλεος, ἀθέλεος.		
Hemichor. 1. Never may I again behold the		
cattle-feeding water, where the life-blood		
being increased is in vigour for mortals. I		
possess, as an indigenous person of a high		
Achean [origin], seats, seats, old man. page	229 line	31
		_
HER. But thou on board, on board, shalt go	990	17
quickly, willing [or] unwilling	229	11
Ήμιχορ. α΄. βία, βία. φροῦδα πολέα βᾶθί μοι, πρόκακα πάθ ὀλόμενε παλάμαις.  Hemichor. 2. Violence, violence. Out of sight! go far off from me; suffer, thou lost-one! previously evils from hands	229	18
830. στρ. ζ΄.		
'Ημιχορ. β'. αἰαῖ, αἰαῖ '		
εί γὰρ δυσπαλάμως δλοιο		
δι' άλίρρυτον άλσος		
κατὰ Σαρπηδόνιον χῶμ-		
α πολύψαμμον ἀλαθεὶς		
'Αερίαισιν αθραις.		
ΚΗΡ. ΐυζε καὶ λάκαζε καὶ κάλει θεούς		
Αλγυπτίαν γὰρ βᾶριν οὐχ ὑπερθορεῖ,		
χέουσα καὶ πικρότερον οἰζύος νόμον.		
Hemichor. 2. Alas! alas! Would that thou		
hadst perished by sad hands in the open		
space, where the sea flows, while wandering		
along the mound of Sarpedon, [caught] by		
	229	20
HER. Moan and tear thy dress and call upon		-
the gods. For thou shalt not overleap the		
Ægyptian bark, while pouring forth a strain		
of woe still more bitter.	. 229	53

Line in Greek Text. 841. ἀντιστρ. ζ.	Reference Bohn's E	
Ήμιχορ. β΄. οἰοῖ, οἰοῖ.  λυμανθεὶς σὰ πρὸ γᾶς ὑλάσκοις περίκομπα βρυάζων. ὁ δὲ βώτας, ὁ μέγας Νεῖλ- ος ὑβρίζοντά σ' ἀποτρέψ- ειεν ἄοιστον ὕβριν.		
KHP. βαίνειν κελεύω βᾶριν εἶς ἀμφίστροφον, ὅσον τάχιστα, μηδέ τις σχολαζέτω. ὁλκὴ γὰρ οῦτοι πλόκαμον οὐδάμ᾽ ἄζεται.		
Hemichor. 2. Woe! woe! mayest thou, ill- treated before the land, howl out, although making great boasts. May the nourisher, the great Nile, overturn thee, while insulting with insult not to be borne page	229 line	26
HER. I order thee to go the bark, rowed on both sides, as quickly as possible. Nor let any one delay. For a dragging pays no regard at all to the locks of hair.	229	29
850. στρ. η΄. Ἡμιχορ. α΄. οἰοῖ πάτερ, βρέτεος ἄρος ἄτα. ἀμαλάδ' ἄγει μ', ἄραχνος ὡς βάδην νόαρ, νόαρ μέλαν. ὀ το το το τοῖ μᾶ Γᾶ, μᾶ Γᾶ, βοᾶ Φοβερὸν ἀπότρεπε. ὧ βᾶ, Γᾶς παῖ, Ζεῦ.		
ΚΗΡ. οὔτοι φοβοῦμαι δαίμονας τοὺς ἐνθάδε· οὐ γάρ μ' ἔθρεψαν, οὐδ' ἐγήρασαν τροφῆ.		
Hemichor. 1. Alas! father! The protection an image is a calamity. A phantom, a dark phantom, is dragging me, step by step, like a spider, to the sea-cutting bark. Mother Earth! mother Earth! through my clamour turn aside what is frightful. O king Zeus,		
son of the Earth! HER. I do not fear the deities, who are here.  For they have not brought me up, nor	•	32
have they caused me to grow old by their nurture	230	3

Line in: Greek Text. 860. ἀντιστρ. η'.	Reference Bohn's Ed	
Ήμιχορ, β΄. μαιμᾶ πέλας		
δίπους ὄφις,		
οιπους οφις, ἔχιδνα δ' ως μέ τις πόδ' ἐνδακοῦσ  ἔχει.		
ό το το τοῖ.		
μᾶ Γᾶ, μᾶ Γᾶ, βοᾶ		
φοβερον απότρεπε.		
$\vec{\omega}$ $\beta \hat{a}$ , $\Gamma \hat{a}$ s $\pi a \hat{i}$ , $Z \epsilon \hat{v}$ .		
ΚΗΡ. εί μή τις ές ναθν είσιν αίνέσας τάδε,		
λακίς χιτώνος έργον ου κατοικτιεί.		
Hemichor. 2. There is raging near		
a two-footed serpent, and like some viper it		
is laying hold of and biting my foot. Alas! mother Earth, mother Earth, through [my]		
clamour turn aside what is frightful, O king		
	239 line	6
HER. Unless a person goes to the ship, endur-		
ing these things, a tearing shall not pity		
the work of a garment	<b>23</b> 0	9
$\cdot$ 870. $\sigma  au  ho$ .	•	
Ήμιχορ. α΄. ἰὼ πόλεως ἀγοὶ πρόμοι, δάμναμαι.		
ΚΗΡ. έλξειν έοιχ' ύμας αποσπάσας κόμης		
έπεὶ οὐκ ἀκούετ' ὀξὺ τῶν ἐμῶν λόγων.		
Hemichor. 1. O leaders [and] chiefs of the city,		Q
I am overcome,	230	6
HER. It seems I shall drag you away, pulling you by the hair; since you do not hearken		
quickly to my words		
873. ἀντιστρ. θ'.	•	
εμιιχορ. β΄. διωλόμεσθ' ἄελπτ', ἄναξ, πάσχομεν.		
ΚΗΡ. πολλούς ανακτας, παίδας Αιγύπτου, τάχα		
KHP. πολλούς ἄνακτας, παίδας Αἰγύπτου, τάχα ὄψεσθε θαρσεῖτ', οὐκ ἐρεῖτ' ἀναρχίαν.		
ΒΑΣ, ούτος, τί πυιείς; εκ τίνος φρονήματος—		
Hemichor. 2. We are destroyed; O king, we	1	
are suffering things unexpected.	<b>,</b>	
HER. Kings many ye will quickly see in the sons of Ægyptus. Be of good cheer, ye will	P L	
not call it an anarchy.2	•	
KING. You, fellow, what are you doing		
	230	19

In lieu of ἐκ ποίου, H. adopts ἐκ τίνος, as suggested by Briggs.
 H. arranges the speeches as recommended by Heath, whom Dindorf has improperly refused to follow.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit
882. [After ἐπίστασαι H. marks the loss of a distich by asterisks.] page	<b>23</b> 0 line <b>2</b> 6
895. λέγοιμ' ἄν ελθών— I will, after coming, tell—1	231 1
900. [The tetrastich, which is commonly read here after στόλον, H. transposes after 913, αἴρεσθαι νέον. And so I had edited, although H. says nothing of what I had done.]	•
902,3. τί σοὶ λέγειν χρὴ τοῦνομ'; ἐν χρόνφ μαθὰν εἴσει σύ τ' αὐτὸς—  Why need I tell you the name? Learning it in time, both you shall know it yourself—²	
913. εἰ σοὶ τόδ' ἡδὺ, πόλεμον αἴρεσθαι νέον It this is agreeable to you, to undertake a new war—'	231 22
926. εὶ θυμός ἐστιν εὐτύκους ναίειν δόμους.  If you have a mind to inhabit well-built abodes. <sup>5</sup>	231 29
930. ἀτρεστὶ λωτίσασθε Take without fear—6	231 31
939,40. πᾶς τις	232 5

1 H. adopts Heath's λέγοιμ' αν in lieu of λέγοις αν-

<sup>2</sup> In lieu of  $\epsilon i \sigma \theta \iota \gamma' a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{o} \varsigma$  or  $i \sigma \omega \varsigma \gamma' a \dot{\nu} \tau o \varsigma$ , H. adopts Bothe's  $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \dot{\nu} \tau' a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{o} \varsigma$ —which he wrongly attributes to myself; while both Haupt and Ahrens have taken the credit of the restoration to themselves.

<sup>3</sup> In lieu of  $i\sigma\theta\iota$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}\delta'$ —H. reads  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}$   $\sigma o\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\dot{\delta}\delta'$   $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\upsilon}$ —and he imagines that a distich has been lost after  $\nu\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$ , of which the sense was, 'See then whether you are looking well to the benefit of your people, should you, for the sake of women, involve them in a war.'

<sup>4</sup> Here, again, H. supposes the existence of a lacuna after  $\beta i\omega \nu$ , but

without attempting even to guess at the sense of the missing matter.

<sup>5</sup> So H. in lieu of Εὐθυμεῖν ἐστιν εὐτυχεῖς ἢ ναίειν: where <math>Εἰ θυμὸς is due to Bothe and εὐτύκους to Porson.

<sup>6</sup> H. reads  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau$ i  $\lambda\omega\tau$ i $\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$  in lieu of  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau$ i  $\lambda\omega\tau$ i $\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha$ i. But he does not state he was indebted to Canter for  $\lambda\omega\tau$ i $\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , and to myself for  $\ddot{\alpha}\tau\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau\alpha$ , for which he has substituted  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau$ i, although he confesses that  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau$ i is not to be found elsewhere.

7 H. reads with Spanheim εὖτυκος in lieu of εὖτυχος. But how

εῦτυκος could be here applied to a person, we are not informed.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference Bohn's E	
940. [After τὰ λῶστα H. supposes a tristich to have been lost; for otherwise the two anapæstic systems will be of a different length.] page	232 line	7
944-7. — καὶ ἀμηνίτφ βάξει λαῶν τῶν ἐγχώρων, τάσσεσθε, φίλαι, δμωΐδας οὖτως ὡς		
And with the not-angry language of the people of the country put in order, O female friends, the house-maids in such a way, that—2	232	8
952,3. καί μοι τὰ μὲν πραχθέντα πρὸς τοὺς ἐκγενεῖς μάλ' οὐ πικρῶς ἤκουσαν αὐτανεψίους.  And they have heard not very bitterly what has been done by me towards degenerate cousin-germans	232	13
958-9. τοιῶνδε τυγχάνοντας ἐν πρύμνη φρενδς χάριν σέβεσθαι τιμιωτέραν θέμις.  And for persons obtaining things so great, it is just to reverence in the steering-place of thought the favour with greater honour.*		20
960. καὶ ταῦθ ἄμ' ἐγγράψασθε πρὸς γεγραμμένοις And these to boot inscribe ye in addition to what has been written	232	21

<sup>1</sup> H. alters  $\lambda a \tilde{\omega} \nu$  ἐν  $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \varphi$  into  $\lambda a \tilde{\omega} \nu$  τ $\tilde{\omega} \nu$  ἐγχ $\dot{\omega} \rho \omega \nu$ , and takes τ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ -  $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$  in an active sense, as in Eurip. Heracl. 664. Androm. 1099.

3 H. in lieu of εὐπρυμνῆ φρενὸς....τιμιωτέραν ἐμοῦ has given ἐν πρύμνη φρενὸς—τιμιωτέραν θέμις—observing that Paley had likewise suggested ἐν πρύμνη—and so I had edited long ago from my own conjecture and that of Valckenaer in Not. MSS., who refers to τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀκρόπολιν in Plato, Rep. VIII. p. 560. B.

<sup>4</sup> So H. instead of  $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ —But as the daughters are not told where they are to inscribe the advice of their father, I prefer my conjecture,  $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \nu \tilde{\varphi}$  γγρά $\psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ —

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in lieu of καί μου τὰ μὲν πραχθέντα πρὸς τοὺς ἐκτενεῖς φίλου πικρῶς ἤκουσαν αὐτανεψίους in MS. Med. and he renders ἐκγενεῖς, to which he was probably led by Heath's ἐγγενεῖς, 'degenerate,' referring to Soph. Œd. T. 506, where Dindorf would read ἐκγενεῖς instead of ἐγγενεῖς.

Line in Greek Text.		erance to in's Edit.
963. ——γλῶσσαν εὕτυκον	232	line 24
968. θήραις δὲ κηραίνουσί νιν βροτοί· τί μήν; And with hunting mortals hurt it. How not?	232	28
969. [After this verse H. has placed between asterisks the supplement of another— καὶ νηκτὰ πάντως ἐστὶν ἀρπάζοντ' ἰδεῖν  'And it is possible to see swimming animals seize it altogether'—']  971. καρπώμαθ, ἀ στάζοντα κηρύσσει Κύπρις, κᾶωρα κωλύουσά θ' ὡς μένειν ὅρφ· Fruits, which Venus proclaims as distilling with drops and unripe, and prohibiting so	232	29
	232	29
1002. — γάμοςΚυθέρειος A Cytherean marriage <sup>5</sup> — —	233	18
1003. στυγερῶν πέλοι τόδ' ἀθλον.  May this be the prize of persons hated?	233	19
1012. δέδοται δ' άρμονία μοῖρ' 'Αφροδίτας  The power of Aphrodité, leading to concord,  has been given.'	233	23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here again H. has adopted εὖτυκον, the conjecture of Spanheim, in lieu of εὖτυχον. But as γλῶσσα εὖτυκος is quite unintelligible,—at least, it is not found elsewhere—H. should have preferred my γλῶσσαν εὖτροχον—found likewise in Eurip. Bacch. 264, and similar to ἐπιτρυχάδην ἀγορεύεις, in Ιλ. Γ. 213.

<sup>2</sup> In lieu of  $\theta \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon \varsigma$  H. adopts Weiseler's  $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha \iota \varsigma$ , and Linwood's  $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  for  $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ : although he has neglected to refer to Linwood's note on

Eumen. in Addend. p. 199.

<sup>3</sup> To this verse, inserted after  $\pi \epsilon \delta o \sigma \tau \iota \beta \eta$  from conjecture, it may be objected that, except in the case of Andromeda, we have not heard of a fish coming out of the sea to seize upon a maiden; and even that monster was destroyed by Perseus, before it laid hold of the lady.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the literal and to myself unintelligible version of the text of H.; where, to say nothing of  $\tau \epsilon$ , which follows  $\kappa \omega \lambda \acute{\nu} o \nu \sigma a$  and couples nothing, H. seems to have forgotten that unripe fruits cannot be said to distil drops.

<sup>5</sup> So H. with one MS., observing that  $\gamma \acute{a}\mu o \varsigma$  Ku $\theta \acute{s}\rho \epsilon \iota o \varsigma$  means 'an honorable marriage;' an assertion more easily made than proved.

<sup>6</sup> So H. has corrected στυγερον in Turn.

<sup>7</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text. But how such a meaning can be elicited from the Greek, I must leave for others to discover.

Line th  Greek Text.		erence to in's Edit.
1013. ψέδυραι τρίβοι τ' ἐρώτων And the whispering paths of Loves page	233	line 24
1014. φυγάδεσσιν δ' ἐπινοίαις On account of my design in flying <sup>2</sup>	233	25
1022,2. μετὰ πολλῶν δὲ γάμων ἄδε τελευτὰ	233	'26
προτερᾶν πέλοι γυναικῶν But with many marriages of former women may this end take place <sup>t</sup>	233	29
1033. τὰ θεῶν μηδὲν ἀγάζειν Not to bear with difficulty things sent by the gods <sup>5</sup>	234	2
1036-7. — ελύσατ εὖ χειρὶ παι- ωνία Has freed well with a healing hand <sup>6</sup>	234	4

<sup>1</sup> In lieu of ψεδυρά in two MSS. H. has edited ψέδυραι, referring to

Hesych.—ψέδυρος· ψίθυρος.

<sup>8</sup> Instead of  $\epsilon \tilde{v}\pi \lambda o i a \nu$  H. reads  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\pi \lambda o i a \nu$ , and refers  $\delta i \omega \gamma \mu o i \sigma i$  not to the pursuit of the daughters of Danaus, but to the running-away of the sons of Ægyptus. But as  $\delta i \omega \gamma \mu \delta c$  never has such a meaning elsewhere, it would be hazardous to take it in that sense here; even if the train of ideas did, what it does not, admit of such an interpretation.

<sup>4</sup> Such is the literal and to myself unintelligible version of the text of H. who has aftered  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$  into  $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\tilde{a}\nu$ . For most assuredly the wish in  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\sigma\iota$ , which relates to a future time, is at variance with  $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\tilde{a}\nu$ , which relates to a past.

<sup>5</sup> H. adopts, with Paley, Stanley's interpretation of ἀγάζειν, which

Hesych. explains by βαρέως φέρειν.

<sup>6</sup> So H. inserts εὐ before χειρί—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such is the English of the Latin version by H. of his own text; where I was the first to edit  $\phi \nu \gamma \acute{a} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ , for the sake of the metre, in lieu of  $\phi \nu \gamma \acute{a} \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ —an emendation attributed by Scholefield to Wellauer, and by Paley to Haupt; while Ahrens takes the credit of it to himself. With regard to the sense, by no process could the words  $\phi \nu \gamma \acute{a} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu \acute{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu o \acute{\epsilon} a \iota \varsigma$  mean, what H. fancied they did.

Line in Greek Text.	Reference to Bohn's Edit.		
1037,8. <del>κατα</del> στροφ <del>άν</del> εὐμενεῖ βία κτίσας			
Making a catastrophe with a kindly force	page	234 line	5
1041. καὶ δίκα δίκας ἔπεσθαι And for justice to follow justice.*	••••	234	9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H. alters κατασχεθών into καταστροφάν—which means, he says, either 'a simple change' or 'a refuge.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So H. in the text; but as he says in the Note—'Emendavit Burgesius'—it is evident that he intended to write— $\delta i \kappa q$   $\tau i \chi a \varsigma$ —for such is my emendation.

